

Designing reusable online clinical reasoning templates: A preliminary evaluation

Helen Wozniak

Centre for Innovation in Professional Health Education and Research, Faculty of Medicine
University of Sydney

Mark Hancock

School of Physiotherapy, Faculty of Health Sciences
University of Sydney

Joanne Munn

School of Physiotherapy
University of Otago, New Zealand

Gosia Mendrela

Flexible Online Learning Team, Office of PVC Learning & Teaching
University of Sydney

As increasing resources are devoted to the production of online learning materials it is important that both the usability of such resources by educators and the educational usefulness of these resources for student learning are evaluated. Outcomes from such evaluations provide information that can be used to inform future development of online learning materials. This paper describes two clinical reasoning templates that were developed to enable easy incorporation of content materials by educators without specialist web design skills, and easy access to the materials by students with minimal software requirements. Preliminary evaluation data will be presented describing the usability of the templates by educators and students.

Keywords: online learning, health science education

Background

Whilst evaluation of learning in higher education is a well researched area, evaluation of online learning materials is only just emerging (Richardson, 2005; Hess et al, 2005). Effective implementation of online learning materials requires careful evaluation of both the student experience with the online learning materials and the usability of the templates by educators with little or no online educational design skills (Sheard & Markham, 2005). A common problem with the development of online learning materials is that their use is not sustainable over time requiring complex technical skills before the materials can be disseminated to different learning contexts. In addition there are frequently problems related to access and usability of the materials by students.

During 2005 two online clinical reasoning templates were developed as part of a USyd eLearning project (University of Sydney, 2005). The aim of the materials was to allow students to work through clinical information on their own, and model the clinical reasoning process undertaken by practicing clinicians to determine the patient's clinical problem. The templates needed to provide opportunities for students to follow their clinical reasoning processes and also where indicated, receive pre-designed feedback. The templates also needed to have components that can adapt to different disciplines, be easy to use, and create an interesting learning experience for students.

The templates

A team consisting of two educators in the School of Physiotherapy, two educational designers and a project manager developed two templates that enable students to work through the patient assessment (history taking and physical examination) and develop a clinical diagnosis and treatment plan. The project was limited by the lack of availability of a data base storage system that would allow individual student

responses to be stored as they work through the clinical case. Initially the templates were developed for the discipline of physiotherapy; however as described below in “the educator’s view” section below, are able to be adapted for any context. The project required 650 hours of educational designer and project manager’s time which included planning with a review of the available technology, development with formative evaluation of project processes, construction of a website with guidelines for modifying the template content, and two sessions to introduce the templates to other interested academics as part of the implementation phase of the project.

The student view

Students require the program Adobe Flash Player 8, which is commonly used by web providers, to work through the two types of cases. If the computer does not have the necessary software the template is able to detect this and direct the user to download the free software. In this study the cases were delivered in the Unit of Study’s website through the learning management system (LMS) WebCT. The case instructs students to move through each of the sections at their own pace and work independently of any lecturer input. Depending on the template used the student is required to input their reasoning processes with justifications.

The first model “Clinical Reasoning” asks students to engage in a deductive clinical reasoning process by recording and refining their thought processes as clinical information is progressively revealed. The design was influenced by the hypothetico-deductive approach to clinical reasoning which is commonly used by novice practitioners (Higgs & Jones, 2000). At the completion of the case the students are able to compare their reasoning with that of the academic who developed the case. The Clinical Reasoning model has five sections (Figure 1):

- Instructions for the students
- History section, where after each presentation of information the student is required to enter their hypothesis and reasons based on the information they received. As further information is revealed they are able to modify their hypotheses with the aim of reducing the number of hypotheses as more information is given
- A physical examination section whereby a similar process continues
- A solution section where the student is able to compare their final hypothesis and reasoning about the patient’s clinical problem with the lecturer’s answer
- A treatment section where the student develops a treatment plan

The second “Efficiency” model encourages students to be selective in their choice of clinical tests to arrive at the correct diagnosis in an efficient manner. It encourages more of a ‘pattern recognition’ approach to clinical reasoning which is characterised by speed and efficiency (Higgs & Jones, 2000). After being supplied with the patient’s history, students are asked to select the most important examination results, hence recognizing clinical pattern of a condition, before making a diagnosis. The Efficiency model consists of four sections which were applied to the discipline of ophthalmology as follows:

- Instructions for the students
- History section for patient history information and images or movies
- Ocular examination: Results of clinical tests with images and movies where necessary
- Diagnosis where the student chooses a diagnosis and enters justifications for their choice which can be emailed to the lecturer.

The educator’s view

The templates were designed using an Adobe Flash file reading content materials from xml documents, and images and videos from a set directory structure. This allows educators to develop new case studies by inserting their own materials using a simple text editing program such as Notepad or WordPad. The headings can be modified to suit the terminology in various disciplines, and images and movies can be added to enhance the case. The templates are made available to educators through the University’s learning management system (WebCT). This includes fully completed examples, a zipped file with all the

components necessary to create a case (folders and files) and instructions to assist educators to adapt the materials. Educators are able to modify the content of each component of the .xml files (including headings) and review their changes immediately on their local computer.

The main limitation of the templates is that the relationship between each of the sections of the template (xml files and swf file) is not able to be altered without an experienced Adobe Flash developer.




Figure 1: Clinical reasoning model

Preliminary evaluation research

A learning-centred framework (Phillips et al, 2000) was used to select the focus of this evaluation. Since the project aimed to develop accessible and useable templates, summative evaluation data is required to assist in making a judgment about the implementation of the templates and the ability of the templates to transfer to other areas of the institution (maintenance evaluation). The research to be presented aims to begin this process and is specifically seeking to determine information about:

- The usability of the templates by the students and the educators, including the instructions and ability to access and use the learning materials
- The flexibility of online materials and the workload associated with either completing them (students) or developing context specific materials (educators).

Two questionnaires were developed (one for educators and one for students) using guidelines provided in the report by Philips et al (2000). It was decided not to use an online questionnaire because recent research has indicated that a poor response rate of less than 20% is likely to occur with online surveys (Sheard & Markham, 2005). The University of Sydney Human Ethics Committee approved the conduct of the research.

Seventy-eight undergraduate physiotherapy students enrolled in the 3rd year Unit of Study PHTY3053 Musculo-skeletal Physiotherapy C completed the questionnaire (56% response rate). They were asked to complete three different cases as part of this unit of study during the first semester of 2006. Two cases

were modeled on the “Efficiency template” and one was modeled on the “Clinical Reasoning template”. There was no summative assessment component for completion of these cases; it was promoted by the educators as a useful study tool to gain formative feedback on student learning of course content, and that some content would be covered in the written exam. Students were encouraged to complete the questionnaire in the last teaching week of the semester before the final examination, regardless of whether they had accessed the cases. The questionnaire consisted of 15 questions based on Likert scales or open-ended questions asking learners about both the usability of the cases and value of the cases to their learning in this unit of study.

Preliminary results show that the majority of students (86%, n=67) completing the survey had accessed successfully at least one or more of the cases. Of the 14% (n=11) who had not accessed any of the cases, stated reasons ranged from difficulties having a computer to access the materials, to lack of time. Many stated that they planned to use the cases for revision before the final examination.

In addition educators who were currently using or planning to use the templates in their teaching were also asked to complete a similar questionnaire, the details of which are currently being collected. It was felt that the open-ended questions would enable unanticipated issues to be identified, which is considered an important aspect in the conduct of information and communication technology evaluations (Scanlon et al, 2000).

Conclusion

A key to the smarter use of educational technology in higher education is making the learning materials easy to use and adaptable for different applications, whilst being mindful of the development time required to meet these ideals. Evaluation of educational technology needs to be sensitive to the nuances of the online learning process as well as the usability of the online materials. The results of this evaluation will aim to begin to address these issues and highlight aspects that need further consideration, not just for the use of these templates, but more broadly for dissemination within the institution.

References

- Hess, M Barron, A.E., Carey, L, Hilbelink, A. Hogarty, K., Kromrey J.D., Phan, H & Schullo S. (2005). From the learners’ eyes: student evaluations of online instruction. Paper presented at the National Educational Computing Conference Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, June 27–30.
- Higgs, J. & Jones, M. (2000). *Clinical reasoning in the health professions*. 2nd ed. Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Phillips, R., Bain, J., McNaught, C., Rice, M. & Tripp, D. (2000). *Handbook for learning-centred evaluation of computer-facilitated learning projects in higher education*. www.tlc.murdoch.edu.au/archive/cutsd99/handbook/handbook.html [viewed 20 Apr 2006].
- Richardson, J.T.E. (2005). Instruments for obtaining student feedback: a review of the literature. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 30(4): 387–415.
- Scanlon, E., Jones, A., Barnard, J., Thompson, J. & Calder, J. (2000). Evaluating information and communication technologies for learning. *Educational Technology & Society*, 3(4): 101–107.
- Sheard, J. & Markham, S. (2005). Web-based learning environments: developing a framework for evaluation. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 30(4): 353–368.
- University of Sydney. (2005). *Developing WebCT templates for rich online case-based, inquiry-based and problem-based learning modules*, USyd eLearning Strategic Projects, <http://www.usyd.edu.au/webct/projects/CHS/completed05.shtml> [viewed 20 Jul 2006].

Author contact details

Helen Wozniak, Senior Lecturer, Centre for Innovation in Professional Health Education and Research, Faculty of Medicine, Edward Ford Building, The University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia.
Email: hwozniak@med.usyd.edu.au (During this project Helen was responsible for the management of strategic USyd eLearning projects within the health sciences faculties at the University of Sydney)

Mark Hancock, Lecturer, School of Physiotherapy, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Sydney, PO Box 170 Lidcombe, NSW 2141, Australia. Email: m.hancock@fhs.usyd.edu.au

Joanne Munn, Research Fellow, School of Physiotherapy, University of Otago, PO Box 56, Dunedin 9015, New Zealand. Email: jo.munn@otago.ac.nz . During the majority of this project Joanne was based in the School of Physiotherapy, University of Sydney and recently moved to the University of Otago.

Gosia Mendrela, Educational Designer, Flexible Online Learning Team, Office of PVC Learning & Teaching, University of Sydney, Engineering Link Building, The University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia. Email: gosiam@usyd.edu.au

Copyright © 2006 Wozniak, H., Hancock, M., Munn, J. Mendrela, G.

The author(s) assign to ascilite and educational non-profit institutions a non-exclusive licence to use this document for personal use and in courses of instruction provided that the article is used in full and this copyright statement is reproduced. The author(s) also grant a non-exclusive licence to ascilite to publish this document on the ascilite web site (including any mirror or archival sites that may be developed) and in electronic and printed form within the ascilite *Conference Proceedings*. Any other usage is prohibited without the express permission of the author(s). For the appropriate way of citing this article, please see the frontmatter of the *Conference Proceedings*.

The 23rd Annual Conference
of the Australasian Society for Computers in
Learning in Tertiary Education

Who's Learning? Whose Technology?

3–6 December 2006
The University of Sydney
Sydney, Australia

PROCEEDINGS

Volume 2

Editors: Lina Markauskaite, Peter Goodyear, Peter Reimann



Sydney University Press



The University of Sydney



The 23rd Annual Conference of the Australasian Society for Computers in Learning in Tertiary Education “Who’s Learning? Whose Technology?”, 3–6 December, 2006, Sydney, Australia (The University of Sydney, The Australasian Society for Computers in Learning in Tertiary Education), edited by Lina Markauskaite, Peter Goodyear and Peter Reimann. Sydney, Sydney University Press, 2006, 1033 p. (Vol. 1–2: Research papers. Appendix: Selected conference materials: keynotes, special sessions, symposia, posters, workshops).

Contact address:

Centre for Research on Computer Supported Learning and Cognition (CoCo)
Faculty of Education and Social Work (A35)
The University of Sydney
Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

Tel. +61 2 9351 4107 Fax. +61 2 9036 5205 Email. coco@edfac.usyd.edu.au

Published by:

Sydney University Press
University of Sydney Library (F03)
The University of Sydney
Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia
Email. info@sup.usyd.edu.au

© Copyright ascilite and individual authors, 2006

© Copyright Sydney University Press, 2006

ISBN 1-920898-56-5 Vol 1	ISBN13	978-1-920898-56-4 Vol 1
1-920898-57-3 Vol 2	ISBN13	978-1-920898-57-1 Vol 2
1-920898-48-4 Set	ISBN13	978-1-920898-48-9 Set
1-920898-46-8 CDROM	ISBN13	978-1-920898-46-5 CDROM
1-920898-47-6 Web	ISBN13	978-1-920898-47-2 Web

National Library of Australia Cataloguing-in-Publication entry

Proceedings of the 23rd annual conference of the Australasian Society for Computers in Learning in Tertiary Education: Who’s learning? Whose technology? Sydney 3-6 December 2006 / edited by Lina Markauskaite, Peter Goodyear and Peter Reimann.

Includes author index.

ISBN 9781920898489.

ISBN 1 920898 48 4.

1. Learning – Computer supported learning. 2. Education – Tertiary education. I. Markauskaite, Lina. II. Goodyear, Peter, 1952-. III. Reimann, Peter. IV. Title.

378.1734

The papers in this book comprise the proceedings of the 23rd Annual Conference of the Australasian Society for Computers in Learning in Tertiary Education “Who’s Learning? Whose Technology?” Volumes 1 and 2 of the proceedings include selected full research papers. All papers are original, peer-reviewed and based on the research originally disseminated at the meeting. Papers presented at this annual scientific conference reflect the state of the art of research and development in the area of computer supported learning in tertiary education in the Australasian region. The selection of scholarly papers for presentation and publication was based on the outcomes of a rigorous peer review process. Papers reflect the authors’ opinions and their inclusion in this publication does not necessarily constitute endorsement by the editors. The Appendix comprises other selected conference materials: keynotes, special sessions, symposia, poster presentations and workshops, which are not full scientific papers.

Contents

Editorial: Who's learning? Whose technology? Lina Markauskaite, Peter Goodyear, Peter Reimann.	xiii
Volume 1: Research papers	1
The use of a visual learning design representation to document and communicate teaching ideas Shirley Agostinho	3
Whose technology enables learning through discussions? The "shoutboard": A new design for asynchronous discussions Rozz Albon, Lina Pelliccione	9
Dissemination of innovations: A case study Shirley Alexander	21
A blended approach to collaborative learning: Can it make large group teaching more student-centred? Belinda Allen, Alan Crosky, Iain McAlpine, Mark Hoffman, Paul Munroe	33
Spatial imaginings: Learning and identity in online environments Reem Al-Mahmood	43
E-learning: Do our students want it and do we care? Leonie Arthur, Bronwyn Beecher, Roslyn Elliott, Linda Newman	55
Audience response systems in practice: Improving Hong Kong students' understanding of decision support systems David Banks, Ann Monday	61
Collaboration for inter-cultural e-learning: A Sino-UK case study Sheena Banks	71
Collaborative learning: Some possibilities and limitations for students and teachers Matt Bower, Debbie Richards	79
An Agile method for developing learning objects Tom Boyle, John Cook, Richard Windle, Heather Wharrad, Dawn Leeder, Rob Alton	91
Recorded lectures: Looking to the future Kathy Buxton, Kerry Jackson, Melissa deZwart, Len Webster, David Lindsay	101
Teaching with technology: Using online chat to promote effective in-class discussions Leanne Cameron	105
Everyone's learning with podcasting: A Charles Sturt University experience Anthony Chan, Mark J.W. Lee, Catherine McLoughlin	111
A participatory design approach to the development of online tutor training materials: A case study from China Zehang Chen	121
Driving online education: The Swedish Net University - A case study in purpose and pedagogy Michael Christie	131
Real use research evaluation of an online essay writing module: Information literacy eLearning modules project Dawn Coburn, Dave Keen, Wendy Ritson-Jones, Bronwyn Hegarty, Jenny McDonald	137
Learning with wireless mobile devices and social software Thomas Cochrane	143
Argumentation and text-based conferencing: Who is learning and what is being learned? Caroline Coffin, Ann Hewings, Sarah North	147
An in-depth case study of students' experiences of e-learning – how is learning changing? Gráinne Conole, Maarten de Laat, Teresa Dillon, Jonathan Darby	153
Analysing online discussions: What are students learning? Deborah Cotton, Jon Yorke	163

An experience with conducting a role-play in decision making for a food and nutrition policy course Pippa Craig, Leah Bloomfield	173
Who's learning and how? Researching the learner experience Linda Creanor, Kathy Trinder, Doug Gowan, Carol Howells	179
Using fMRI to explore interactivity and cognition: A methodological case study Barney Dalgarno, Gregor Kennedy, Sue Bennett	189
Whose assessment in a problem based learning medical program? Peter Davy	199
Re-purposing an online role play activity: Exploring the institutional and pedagogical challenges Elizabeth Devonshire	205
Learner identities in transition: Getting to know our students better through a comparative investigation of two British and Australian postgraduate programs Sophie di Corpo, Siân Bayne	209
Taking ownership of technology: Educators as LMS learners Iain Doherty, Michelle Honey	213
Using educational technologies to understand how learners solve problems Kristine A. Elliott, Gregor E. Kennedy	217
Personality type and learning environments: Two case studies Ainslie E. Ellis	227
Perspectives of stakeholders on eLearning in science education at university Robert A. Ellis, Tom Hubble, Andrelyn C. Applebee, Mary Peat	237
Fostering communities of practice during the creation of an online classroom-based simulation Brian Ferry, Lisa Kervin	247
Sustaining and transferring curriculum and pedagogical innovation through establishing communities of practice Robert Fox, Lee Yeung, Nancy Law, Allan Yuen, Alison Yueng	251
Iterative learning: Self and peer assessment of group work Mark Freeman, Diane Hutchinson, Lesley Treleaven, Chris Sykes	257
Sharing open courseware content through learning objects standards Sergio Freschi, Rafael Calvo	267
To post or not to post: Undergraduate student perceptions about participating in online discussions Philippa Gerbic	271
Prototyping a wholly online IT unit Annegret Goold, Naomi Augar	283
Who's learning? Responding to the needs of a culturally diverse world of online learners Andrea Hall	293
Professional development for online teaching practices Julia Hallas	303
Using the internet for professional development: The experience of rural and remote professionals Anthony Herrington, Jan Herrington	313
Innovation through action learning Beth Hobbs, Paula Williams, Louise Turnbull	323
New students, new learning, new environments in higher education: Literacies in the digital age Dale Holt, Ian Smissen, Stephen Segrave	327
Designing for disruption: Remodelling a blended course in technology in (language) teacher education Debra Hoven	339
Learning, study and review methods 101: A fun way to learn and study complex theoretical content Cheryl Howard	351
Reflective practice in lesson design Chun Hu, Miriam Weinel	363

Online facilitation: Strategies for gaining engagement in different OLEs	
Chris Hughes, Sophie di Corpo, Lindsay Hewson	.367
Analysing the efficacy of blended learning using Technology Enhanced Learning (TEL) and m-learning delivery technologies	
Kevin Johnson, Cathal McHugo, Timothy Hall	.379
Towards a reference model for the personal learning environment	
Mark Johnson, Paul Hollins, Scott Wilson, Oleg Liber	.385
Who will own the new VLE? Sharing practice, problems and alternative solutions	
Chris Jones, Gráinne Conole	.391
An activity theory approach to the exploration of tutors' perceptions of effective online pedagogy	
Gordon Joyes	.401
Reinventing and reinvigorating instructional design: A theory for emergent learning	
Elena Kays, Rod Sims	.409
Questioning the net generation: A collaborative project in Australian higher education	
Gregor Kennedy, Kerri-Lee Krause, Kathleen Gray, Terry Judd, Susan Bennett, Karl Maton, Barney Dalgarno, Andrea Bishop	.413
A partnership for iPod pedagogy: Using the technology of millennial learners across educational contexts	
Lisa Kervin, Doug Reid, Jeff Vardy, Carroll Hindle	.419
The role of problematizing in online knowledge building	
Ming Lai	.423
Monitoring eLearning environments through analysing web logs of institution-wide eLearning platforms	
Paul Lam, Christina Keing, Carmel McNaught, Kin-Fai Cheng	.429
Moving towards a university-wide implementation of an ePortfolio tool	
Sarah Lambert, Linda Corrin	.441
Modelling blended learning environments: Designing an academic development blog	
Geraldine Lefoe, Wendy Meyers	.451
Who's designing what for whom? Comparing taxonomies in web-based educational design galleries	
Tim Lever	.455
Reusing learning designs: Role play adaptations of the Mekong and Ha Long Bay e-Sim	
Kate Lloyd, Melissa Butcher	.465
Educational animation: Who should call the shots?	
Richard Lowe	.469
Is role-play an effective teaching approach to assist tertiary students to improve teamwork skills?	
Joseph Luca, Deanna Heal	.473
Bringing e-learning home: An experiment in embedding e-learning using departmental e-learning advocates	
Brett Lucas	.479
iPod, uPod? An emerging mobile learning tool in nursing education and students' satisfaction	
Margaret Maag	.483
Who is learning? A preliminary study of an online elearning dissemination strategy	
Mary Jane Mahony	.493
Educational design and online support for an innovative project-based course in engineering design	
Iain McAlpine, Carl Reidsema, Belinda Allen	.497
What do first year students think about learning graphics packages?	
Joshua McCarthy	.509
Chinese higher education teachers' conceptions of e-Learning: Preliminary outcomes	
David McConnell, Jianhua Zhao	.513
Electronic delivery of oral feedback on graphic design projects	
Coralie McCormack, Mary-Jane Taylor	.525

The role of e-teaching in e-learning	
Jacquelin McDonald	.529
Learning object: A new definition, a case study and an argument for change	
Jenny McDonald	.535
Volume 2: Research papers	547
You, me and iLecture	
Julie McElroy, Yvette Blount	.549
Beyond marks and measurement: Developing dynamic and authentic forms of e-assessment	
Catherine McLoughlin, Joe Luca	.559
Online student contracts to promote metacognitive development	
Mark McMahon, Joe Luca	.563
The 'copy and paste' function: A flawed cognitive tool in need of redesign	
Michael Morgan, Gwyn Brickell, Barry Harper	.573
Benchmarking e-learning in UK higher education	
Derek Morison, Terry Mayes, Eddie Gulc	.583
Increasing success in first year courses: Assessment re-design, self-regulation and learning technologies	
David Nicol	.589
Professional development for professional developers: Who's learning about e-learning from whom?	
Margaret O'Connell, Robyn Benson, Gayani Samarawickrema	.599
The trial of learning objects: Exploring the design and delivery of VTE courses with learning objects	
Ron Oliver, Mark McMahon, Peter Higgs, Rose Shum, Lisa Wait, Dominic Lou	.603
How does hypermedia support learning? The role of different representational formats and varying levels of learner control for the applicability of multimedia design principles	
Maria Opfermann, Peter Gerjets, Katharina Scheiter	.615
Online student portfolios for demonstration of engineering graduate attributes	
Stuart Palmer, Wayne Hall	.623
Mediated electronic discourse and computational linguistic analysis: Improving learning through choice of effective communication methods	
Rachel Panckhurst	.633
A pragmatic and strategic approach to supporting staff in inclusive practices for online learning	
Elaine Pearson, Tony Koppi	.639
Going with the grain: Mobile devices in practice	
John Pettit, Agnes Kukulska-Hulme	.647
Implementing new technologies across the organisation: The LAMS@Macquarie project	
Robyn Philip, Angela Voerman	.657
Tools used in Learning Management Systems: analysis of WebCT usage logs	
Rob Phillips	.663
Repurposing an online tutor training resource	
Jenny Pizzica, Mary Jane Mahony, Elizabeth Devonshire	.675
Learning from Web 2.0 practices: A tool to support real-time student collaboration	
Tim Plaisted, Stuart Irvine	.679
Supporting peer assessment of individual contributions in groupwork	
Richard Raban, Andrew Litchfield	.685
Fun and feedback at the press of a button	
Debbie Richards, Catherine Braiding, Alan Vaughan	.695
The evolution of audiographics: A case study of audiographics teaching in a business faculty	
Stephen Rowe, Allan Ellis, Bao Tran	.707
E -Scholars: Staff development through designing for learning	
Diane Salter	.717

Design and evaluation of an e-learning environment to support the development and refinement of clinical reasoning and decision-making	
Justin Newton Scanlan, Catherine McLoughlin, Nicola Hancock	.727
Impact on student learning: Student evaluations of online formative assessment in fluid mechanics	
Karen M. Scott, Mary-Helen Ward, Graeme Wood	.737
Design-based research and the learning designer	
Deidre Seeto, Jan Herrington	.741
Integrating culture in the second language curriculum through a three-dimensional virtual reality environment	
Sachiyo Sekiguchi, Paul Gruba, Abdul Rahman Al-Asmari	.747
Why don't students attend lectures and what can be done about it through using iPod nanos?	
Susan Shannon	.753
Implementing e-learning across a faculty: Factors that encourage uptake	
Paul Sheehy, Gerard Marcus, Federico Costa, Rosanne Taylor	.757
Persistent technologies: Why can't we stop lecturing online	
Stephen Sheely	.769
Bloggng for learning: Integrating social networks for staff development	
Rod Sims, Diane Salter	.775
Student learning processes using an online PBL module in an art and design education course	
Kim Snepvangers, Iain McAlpine	.779
Impact of video recorded lectures among students	
Alan Swee Kit Soong, Lay Kock Chan, Christopher Cheers, Chun Hu	.789
Influence of teacher beliefs on web-enhanced learning experiences: Learners and teachers	
Caroline Steel	.795
Pragmatic approach to learning materials	
Denise Sweeney, Willy Sher	.807
Computer-mediated interaction in context	
Mary Thorpe, Steve Godwin	.813
Podcasting, student learning and expectations	
Belinda Tynan, Stephen Colbran	.825
Describing a design pattern: Why is it not enough to identify patterns in educational design?	
Christian Voigt, Paula M.C. Swatman	.833
Thoughts on blogging as an ethnographic tool	
Mary-Helen Ward	.843
Use of visualisation software to support understanding of chemical equilibrium: The importance of appropriate teaching strategies	
Anula Weerawardhana, Brian Ferry, Christine Brown	.853
Student evaluations of elearning technologies in undergraduate psychology: A blended model for the future	
Fiona A. White, Martin Daly, Karen M. Scott	.863
Moving from face-to-face to online classrooms: The reflective university teacher	
Faye Wiesenber, Elizabeth Stacey	.871
The Lectopia service and students with disabilities	
Jocasta Williams	.881
Throwing a pebble into the pond: E-portfolios and student engagement	
Hazel Willis, Phil Gravestock, Martin Jenkins	.885
Facilitating uptake of online role play: Reusability, learning objects and learning designs	
Sandra Wills, Anne McDougall	.889
Gathering online representations of practice about assessment for use as a professional development tool: A case in progress	
Gail Wilson, Rosemary Thomson, Janne Malfroy	.893

At the limits of social constructivism: Moving beyond LMS to re-integrate scholarship	
Lisa Wise, James Quealy899
Understanding complex calculations: Automated spreadsheets with built-in feedback	
Graeme Wood, Mary-Helen Ward909
Designing reusable online clinical reasoning templates: A preliminary evaluation	
Helen Wozniak, Mark Hancock, Joanne Munn, Gosia Mendrela915
Learning through online discussions: A focus on discourse analysis and language functions	
Dai Fei Yang, Peter Goodyear921
Teaching through technology-enhanced environments in higher education: Moderating for effective computer conferencing	
Maria Zenios931
Analysing teaching design repositories	
Danyu Zhang, Rafael Calvo, Nicholas Carroll, John Currie937
A lexical analysis of 1995, 2000 and 2005 ascilite conference papers	
Craig Zimitat947
Appendix: Selected conference materials	953
Keynote presentations	955
Orchestrating integrated learning scenarios	
Pierre Dillenbourg955
Assessing who is learning and how	
J. Michael Spector956
Special sessions	957
eLearning for campus-based universities: Engaging the executive	
Rob Ellis, Shirley Alexander, Eddie Gulc, Sandra Wills957
ascilite and the Carrick Resource Identification Network project	
Geraldine Lefoe, Meg O'Reilly, Jenny Millea958
Publishing your research in journals: 'Meet the editors'	
Catherine McLoughlin, Roger Atkinson, Gráinne Conole, John Hedberg960
Symposia	963
Intercultural e-Learning: Experiences of research in a Sino-UK context	
David McConnell, Gordon Joyes963
Realities of reuse, migration and repurposing of e-learning designs	
Mary-Jane Mahony965
Poster presentations	967
The Merlin Affair: Addressing students' needs in learning media law though the use of multimedia environments	
Des Butler967
Understanding the impact of tablet PCs on student learning and academic teaching	
Steve Clark, Lucy Taylor, Joanne Pickering, Andrew Wait968
New activity centred technology challenges students of midwifery: An evaluation	
Ingrid D'Souza, Maria Miller, Jeremy Gauder, Ian Kershaw969
Developing online postgraduate coursework to promote change in animal industries	
Hannah Forsyth, Chris Moran, Jaime Gongora Ruth Laxton, Julius van der Werf970
"I haven't studied for twenty years and now I have to do it online": Online orientation for postgraduate students in veterinary science	
Hannah Forsyth, Meg Vost, Jenny-Ann Toribio, Sarah Graham, Karen Black, Ruth Laxton972

Benchmarking e-learning: The UK experience	
Eddie Gulc974
Reducing staff and student workload: Redevelopment of an online law unit	
Kerryn Jackson, Bill Potter, David Lindsay, Len Webster, Kathy Buxton, Melissa deZwart976
I want to tell you a story...	
Martin Jenkins, Kenny Lynch977
Investigating teachers authoring their own learning designs	
Matthew Kearney, Anne Prescott, Kirsty Young978
Learning to teach online online: Training remote facilitators in postgraduate veterinary science programs	
Ruth Laxton, Hannah Forsyth, Jenny-Ann Toribio979
The collaborative work between learning technologists and academics in implementing online learning	
Yik Sheng Lee, Siaw Way Pow980
Semi-automated assessment and workload expectations mapping	
Melinda Lewis, Mary Jane Mahony, Ann Poulos981
Do we know what skills our students think are being tested in exams?	
Jennifer Lingard, Laura Minasian-Batmanian, Ian Cathers, Mary-Jane Mahony, Gilbert Vella982
Team Contribution Tracking System (TeCTra) for assessment of individual contributions in groupwork	
Andrew Litchfield, Ryszard (Richard) Raban984
A French Master's degree in e-learning: Are the students' needs met?	
Debra Marsh, Rachel Panckhurst985
Interactions of students in online graduate courses	
Joyce McCauley, Susan Wegmann, Catherine Stoicovy, Mary Robbins987
Podcasting and education: Time to start listening	
Leon Newnham, Charlynn Miller988
Evaluation of genetics educational technologies used by science teachers	
Amy Nisselle, Gregor Kennedy, Sylvia Metcalfe, MaryAnne Aitken989
There's more to it than instructional design: The role of individual characteristics in hypermedia learning	
Maria Opfermann, Peter Gerjets990
Bringing together accessibility research and enterprise activities	
Elaine Pearson, Steve Green991
Pilot study to review use of WebCT in taught units	
Lynnae Rankine, Janne Malfroy992
Living English simulation learning for non-native English speakers	
David Ross, Fiona McMullen993
Debating pain: A collaborative online group activity	
Grace Tague, Liz Devonshire, Allan Molloy, Stephen Loftus, Philip Siddall994
What is ED?	
Elizabeth Tuckerman995
All the world's a stage: Using dramatised scenarios to foster discussion in online management courses	
Keith Tyler-Smith996
21st Century higher education management: Networked educational management	
Philip Uys997
Developing effective digitally-enhanced blended learning environments: A comparative study of Australian universities	
Philip Uys, Janet Buchan, Linda Ward998

Blending synchronous and asynchronous forms of communication in an online teacher education class	
Miriam Weinel, Chun Hu999
Online learning modules: Does one version fit all?	
Alexandra Yeung, Siegbert Schmid, Roy Tasker	1000
Multimedia learning and the World Wide Web: Considerations for learners with a mental retardation	
Peter Zentel, Maria Opfermann, Jan Krewinkel	1001
Workshops	1003
What makes blended learning “good”? A conceptual model supported by real examples	
Josie Csete, Paula Hodgson, Peter Duffy	1003
Online information literacy e-learning modules from the OIL project: Project background, module use, and adaptation for use in new contexts	
Bronwyn Hegarty, Jenny McDonald, Dawn Coburn	1006
Designing engaging online learning experiences	
Anouk Janssens Bevernage, Sue Dark	1009
Architectures for effective online learning and performance	
Elena Kays, Rod Sims	1011
Giving effective and interactive presentations	
Tony Koppi, Elaine Pearson	1014
How to develop ‘on-demand and on-the-go’ ubiquitous educational multimedia for connected communities	
Margaret Maag	1016
Embedding quality guidelines into e-learning practice	
John Milne, Andrew Higgins	1019
A comprehensive introduction to Elluminate Live! A web browser based synchronous learning and teaching environment	
Stephen Rowe, Allan Ellis	1021
Course re-design within a community of practice model	
Diane Salter	1023
Using iPods & iTrips as knowledge acquisition tools for problem-based learning in the workplace	
Paula Williams, Beth Hobbs	1026
Improving your publications profile	
Craig Zimitat	1029
Author index.	1030