

# The Cambridge Handbook of Facial Recognition in the Modern State

Edited by

**RITA MATULIONYTE**

Macquarie University and the Lithuanian Centre for Social Sciences

**MONIKA ZALNIERIUTE**

The University of New South Wales and the  
Lithuanian Centre for Social Sciences



**CAMBRIDGE**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS



Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8EA, United Kingdom  
One Liberty Plaza, 20th Floor, New York, NY 10006, USA  
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia  
314–321, 3rd Floor, Plot 3, Splendor Forum, Jasola District Centre, New Delhi – 110025, India  
103 Penang Road, #05–06/07, Visioncrest Commercial, Singapore 238467

Cambridge University Press is part of Cambridge University Press & Assessment, a department of the University of Cambridge.

We share the University's mission to contribute to society through the pursuit of education, learning and research at the highest international levels of excellence.

[www.cambridge.org](http://www.cambridge.org)

Information on this title: [www.cambridge.org/9781009321198](http://www.cambridge.org/9781009321198)

DOI: [10.1017/9781009321211](https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009321211)

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2024

This work is in copyright. It is subject to statutory exceptions and to the provisions of relevant licensing agreements; with the exception of the Creative Commons version the link for which is provided below, no reproduction of any part of this work may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

An online version of this work is published at [doi.org/10.1017/9781009321211](https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009321211) under a Creative Commons Open Access license CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 which permits re-use, distribution and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial purposes providing appropriate credit to the original work is given. You may not distribute derivative works without permission. To view a copy of this license, visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0>

All versions of this work may contain content reproduced under license from third parties. Permission to reproduce this third-party content must be obtained from these third-parties directly.

When citing this work, please include a reference to the DOI [10.1017/9781009321211](https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009321211)

First published 2024

*A catalogue record for this publication is available from the British Library*

*A Cataloging-in-Publication data record for this book is available from the Library of Congress*

ISBN 978-1-009-32119-8 Hardback

Cambridge University Press & Assessment has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

# Contents

<i>List of Figures</i>	page ix
<i>List of Contributors</i>	xi
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xix
<b>Introduction: Facial Recognition in the Modern State</b>	<b>1</b>
Rita Matulionyte and Monika Zalnieriute	
<b>PART I FACIAL RECOGNITION TECHNOLOGY IN CONTEXT: TECHNICAL AND LEGAL CHALLENGES</b>	
<b>1 Facial Recognition Technology: Key Issues and Emerging Concerns</b>	<b>11</b>
Neil Selwyn, Mark Andrejevic, Chris O'Neill, Xin Gu, and Gavin Smith	
<b>2 Facial Recognition Technologies 101: Technical Insights</b>	<b>29</b>
Ali Akbari	
<b>3 FRT in 'Bloom': Beyond Single Origin Narratives</b>	<b>44</b>
Simon Michael Taylor	
<b>4 Transparency of Facial Recognition Technology and Trade Secrets</b>	<b>60</b>
Rita Matulionyte	
<b>5 Privacy's Loose Grip on Facial Recognition: Law and the Operational Image</b>	<b>74</b>
Jake Goldenfein	
<b>6 Facial Recognition Technology and Potential for Bias and Discrimination</b>	<b>87</b>
Marcus Smith and Monique Mann	

7	<b>Power and Protest: Facial Recognition and Public Space Surveillance</b> Monika Zalnieriute	96
8	<b>Faces of War: Russia's Invasion of Ukraine and Military Use of Facial Recognition Technology</b> Agne Limante	112
<b>PART II FACIAL RECOGNITION TECHNOLOGY ACROSS THE GLOBE: JURISDICTIONAL PERSPECTIVES</b>		
9	<b>Government Use of Facial Recognition Technologies under European Law</b> Simone Kuhlmann	127
10	<b>European Biometric Surveillance, Concrete Rules, and Uniform Enforcement: Beyond Regulatory Abstraction and Local Enforcement</b> Paul De Hert and Georgios Bouchagiar	139
11	<b>Lawfulness and Police Use of Facial Recognition in the United Kingdom: Article 8 ECHR and <i>Bridges v. South Wales Police</i></b> Nora Ni Loideain	155
12	<b>Does Big Brother Exist? Facial Recognition Technology in the United Kingdom</b> Giulia Gentile	173
13	<b>Facial Recognition Technologies in the Public Sector: Observations from Germany</b> Andreas Engel	186
14	<b>A Central-Eastern Europe Perspective on FRT Regulation: A Case Study of Lithuania</b> Eglė Kavoliūnaitė-Ragauskienė	198
15	<b>An Overview of Facial Recognition Technology Regulation in the United States</b> Mailyn Fidler and Justin (Gus) Hurwitz	214
16	<b>Regulating Facial Recognition in Brazil: Legal and Policy Perspectives</b> Luca Belli, Walter Britto Gaspar, and Nicolo Zingales	228

- 17 **FRT Regulation in China** 242  
Jyh-An Lee and Peng Zhou
- 18 **Principled Regulation of Facial Recognition Technology:  
A View from Australia and New Zealand** 253  
Nessa Lynch and Liz Campbell
- 19 **Morocco's Governance of Cities and Borders: AI-Enhanced  
Surveillance, Facial Recognition, and Human Rights** 267  
Sylvia I. Bergh, Issam Cherrat, Francesco Colin, Katharina Natter,  
and Ben Wagner



## Figures

2.1 AI system life cycle	<i>page</i> 32
2.2 AI system key components	35
2.3 AI versus ML	37
2.4 Symbolic AI versus ML	38





## Contributors

**Ali Akbari** is a leading industry expert with a PhD from Tokyo Institute of Technology, specialising in computer vision and NLP. He has 20 years of experience in various industries delivering successful AI solutions for market leaders such as KPMG, Australian Dep. of Home Affairs, Kansai Airport, Commonwealth Bank, and many East Asian semiconductor manufacturers. Actively advocating safe and ethical AI, currently Ali is director of AI practice at Gradient Institute and represents Australian Institute of Company Directors on the National AI Committee of Standards Australia.

**Mark Andrejevic** is Professor of Media and Communication at Monash University and a chief investigator at the Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for Automated Decision-Making and Society. Andrejevic is particularly interested in social forms of sorting and automated decision-making associated with the online economy. He writes about digital technologies from a socio-cultural perspective, and his current research interests encompass digital media, surveillance, and data mining. With Neil Selwyn, Andrejevic is co-author of *Facial Recognition* (Polity, 2022).

**Luca Belli** is Professor of Digital Governance and Regulation at the Getulio Vargas Foundation Law School, Rio de Janeiro, where he directs the Center for Technology and Society and the CyberBRICS project. Luca is also Editor of the *International Data Privacy Law Journal*, published by Oxford University Press, a board member of the Alliance for Affordable Internet and Director of the Latin-American Computers, Privacy and Data Protection conference. He is the author of more than fifty publications, which have been quoted by numerous media outlets, including *The Economist*, the *Financial Times*, *Forbes*, *Le Monde*, the BBC, *China Today*, the *Beijing Review*, *The Hill*, *O Globo*, and *Folha*.

**Sylvia I. Bergh** is a senior researcher at the Research Group Multilevel Regulation and the Centre of Expertise on Global and Inclusive Learning at The Hague University of Applied Sciences. She also holds the position of Associate Professor

in Development Management and Governance at the International Institute of Social Studies, Erasmus University Rotterdam. She completed a MPhil in modern Middle Eastern studies and a DPhil in development studies, both at the University of Oxford. Sylvia has published widely on state–society relations in the Middle East and North Africa.

**Georgios Bouchagiar** is a doctoral researcher in criminal law and technology at the University of Luxembourg and the Free University of Brussels. He holds a law degree (Athens Law School, 2011), a Master of Science degree in information technology (High Honours, Ionian School of Informatics and Information Science, 2018) and a Master of Laws degree in law and technology (with Distinction, Tilburg Institute for Law, Technology, and Society 2019). Since 2018, his professional experience has included tutoring and lecturing on information law and general principles of law, and research on information law, distributed ledger technology, and face recognition and spying technologies.

**Liz Campbell** is the inaugural Francine McNiff Chair of Criminal Jurisprudence at Monash University Law School, having previously been Professor of Criminal Law at Durham University. She is Adjunct Professor at Queensland University of Technology School of Justice and University College Cork. Professor Campbell is an expert in corporate crime, organised crime, corruption, and biometric evidence, and an appointed member of the UK Home Office Biometrics and Forensics Ethics Group. Previously she chaired Durham Constabulary's Ethics Committee and served on the National Health Service Research Ethics Committee (Scotland).

**Issam Cherrat** is an Emerging Research Affiliate at the Center for Applied Research in Conflict Transformation (CARiCT), and an independent consultant. Issam worked extensively on local development, governance, community mobilization, youth engagement and empowerment with local and international NGOs. Issam holds a Master's degree in International Politics and Security Studies from Bradford University, United Kingdom, and a Certificate in Peace from the Hiroshima University, Japan.

**Francesco Colin** is a PhD researcher at the International Institute of Social Studies and an associate fellow at the Moroccan Institute for Policy Analysis in Rabat. His doctoral research focuses on civic engagement and active citizenship through local petitions in Morocco. He collaborates with different research projects on political participation, social accountability, decentralisation, and the impact of technology on local governance. Prior to his research activities, Francesco graduated from the Erasmus Mundus Joint Master Degree 'Crossing the Mediterranean: Towards Investment and Integration' and worked for the Heinrich Böll Foundation in Rabat as a junior expert in the democratisation component.

**Andreas Engel (Dr iur, LL.M. (Yale))** is a lecturer at Heidelberg University. He is interested in the law's reaction to digitalisation and in this context has recently been working on data protection law, intellectual property, AI, and cyber-security. A second focus of his research is the field of private international law, on which he wrote his doctoral dissertation at the Max Planck Institute for Comparative and International Private Law in Hamburg. Dr Engel has studied law at Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich, New College, Oxford, and Yale Law School and clerked at the German Constitutional Court.

**Mailyn Fidler** is an assistant professor at the University of New Hampshire Franklin Pierce School of Law. Her research focuses on the intersection of criminal law, technology, and speech. Her current projects look at changing technology and the Fourth Amendment, speech at 'non-standard' moments of the criminal process, and the regulation of cyber-security. She teaches criminal law, criminal procedure, cyber-security, and copyright. Previously, she clerked on the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals, served as the Tech & First Amendment Fellow at the Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press, and was a fellow at the Berkman Klein Center for Internet & Society at Harvard University.

**Walter Britto Gaspar** is a researcher at the Centre for Technology and Society at Fundação Getúlio Vargas Law School. He is a PhD student in the Public Policies, Economy and Development programme at the Federal University of Rio de Janeiro and holds a Master's degree in public health from the Social Medicine Institute at the Rio de Janeiro State University. He has been the National Coordinator of Universities Allied for Essential Medicines in Brazil, worked in research projects with Fiocruz and the Shuttleworth Foundation, among others, and published books and book chapters on the overlap between science, technology, and innovation in society.

**Giulia Gentile** is Fellow in Law at the London School of Economics (LSE). Her research interests lie in European Union (EU) constitutional law, the protection of EU citizens' rights in the post-Brexit era, and the promotion of human rights within the digital environment. Dr Gentile joined LSE Law School in 2021, having previously worked as Lecturer and Postdoctoral Researcher at Maastricht University and as Visiting Lecturer at King's College London. She holds a PhD and LL.M. from King's College London and an LL.B./M.A. from the University of Naples Federico II.

**Jake Goldenfein** is a senior lecturer at Melbourne Law School and a chief investigator in the Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for Automated Decision-Making and Society. Jake writes about how law constructs the data economy, platform regulation, digital surveillance and facial recognition, and the governance of automated decision-making. Prior to his appointment at Melbourne Law School, he was a postdoctoral researcher at the Digital Life Initiative at Cornell Tech. He is the author of *Monitoring Laws: Profiling and Identity in the World State* (Cambridge University Press, 2019).

**Xin Gu** is Senior Lecturer in the School of Media, Film and Journalism at Monash University. She is an expert appointed under the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization 2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Expression of Cultural Diversity. She has published widely on urban creative clusters and agglomerations, cultural work, creative entrepreneurship, cultural and creative industries policy, media cities, maker culture, and cyber-culture. Her recent publications include *Red Creatives* (Intellect, 2020), *Re-imagining Creative Cities in Twenty-First Century Asia* (Palgrave Macmillan 2020) and 'Media Capital and Digital Media Cities in Asia' (in *Media in Asia*, Routledge, 2022).

**Paul De Hert** is a professor of law at the Free University of Brussels and associated professor at Tilburg University. His work addresses problems in privacy and technology, human rights, and criminal law. Professor De Hert is Vice-Dean of the Faculty, Director of the Research Group on Human Rights, and former Director of the Research Group on Law, Science, Technology & Society, and of the Department of Interdisciplinary Studies of Law. He is a board member of several Belgian, Dutch, and other international scientific journals, such as the *Computer Law & Security Review*, the *New Journal of European Criminal Law*, and *Criminal Law & Philosophy*.

**Justin (Gus) Hurwitz** is Senior Fellow and Academic Director of the Center for Technology, Innovation, and Competition at the University of Pennsylvania. He is also the Director of Law & Economics Programs at the International Center for Law & Economics and was previously Professor of Law and Founding Director of the Governance & Technology Center at the University of Nebraska. His work draws on his background in law, economics, and computer science to study the relationship between technology and society.

**Eglė Kavoliūnaitė-Ragauskienė** is a researcher at the Lithuanian Centre for Social Sciences. Since 2002 she has authored over thirty research papers and has worked on a wide range of issues in legal regulation, public administration, and policy making, including the Public Accountability Mechanisms Initiative (World Bank, 2010); the Global Integrity Report 2008 (Global Integrity, 2008); as EU Profiler (Roman Schuman Centre for Advanced Studies, European University Institute, 2009); and EUandI (European University Institute, 2014). Dr Kavoliūnaitė-Ragauskienė provided training to law enforcement officials under the Rising of the Anticorruption System project (Warsaw, Poland, 2013–2014).

**Simone Kuhlmann** is a postdoctoral researcher at the Centre of Law in Digital Transformation at the Law Faculty of the University of Hamburg. After she graduated from the University of Göttingen, Dr Kuhlmann worked as a research assistant to the Chair of Public Law, Media and Telecommunication Law at the Law Faculty of the University of Hamburg, as well as at the law firm Taylor Wessing in the technology, media, and telecoms practice area. Her research focuses on knowledge

generation based on data, in particular in the context of health care and security concerns, as well as on media law and public law.

**Jyh-An Lee** is Professor and Executive Director of the Centre for Legal Innovation and Digital Society at the Chinese University of Hong Kong Faculty of Law. He is an expert in intellectual property law and information law. Professor Lee has been appeared on ABC News, BBC News, and Bloomberg News, and been featured in the *Financial Times*, *Fortune*, the *South China Morning Post*, and the *Wall Street Journal* as an expert on intellectual property and internet law. His work on intellectual property has been cited by the US Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit and the UK High Court of Justice.

**Agne Limante** is a chief researcher at the Law Institute of the Lithuanian Centre for Social Sciences. She received an MA in EU law from King's College London (awarded with the Prize for Best MA Dissertation in EU Law) and a PhD from Vilnius University. Dr Limante is an expert in human rights and has authored several publications in this area. Since receiving her PhD, Dr Limante has published over forty papers, including articles in national and international journals and book chapters. Dr Limante also has extensive experience working in international teams and conducting comparative research.

**Nora Ni Loideain** holds BA, LLB, and LLM degrees from the National University of Ireland (Galway) and a PhD from the University of Cambridge. She is Director and Senior Lecturer in Law at the Information Law & Policy Centre, Institute of Advanced Legal Studies, University of London. Her research focuses on European human rights law, EU law, and data protection. In 2019, she was appointed to the UK Home Office Biometrics and Forensics Ethics Group. She is an editor of the journal *International Data Privacy Law* and author of the forthcoming monograph *EU Data Privacy Law and Serious Crime* (Oxford University Press).

**Nessa Lynch** is an associate professor in the Faculty of Law, Te Herenga Waka–Victoria University of Wellington. Her expertise is in youth justice, sentencing, and biometrics and state surveillance, particularly FRT. In 2019/2020, she led a Law Foundation-funded team which produced a report, *Facial Recognition Technology – Towards a Legal and Ethical Framework*, which has directly influenced government policy and public awareness of the risks and benefits of the technology in New Zealand. Recently she carried out an independent review of the use and potential use of FRT by New Zealand Police.

**Monique Mann** is a senior lecturer in criminology and a member of the Alfred Deakin Institute for Citizenship and Globalisation at Deakin University, and an adjunct researcher with the Law, Science, Technology and Society Research Centre at the Free University of Brussels. Dr Mann's research focuses on new technology for policing and surveillance, human rights and social justice, and

governance and regulation. She is the author of *Politicising and Policing Organised Crime* (Routledge, 2020) and *Biometrics, Crime and Security* (Routledge, 2018) and the editor of *Good Data* (Institute of Network Cultures, 2019). She is Vice Chair of the Australian Privacy Foundation and Vice President of Liberty Victoria.

**Rita Matulionyte** is an associate professor at Macquarie University Law School, a senior fellow at the Lithuanian Centre for Social Science, and an affiliate at the Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for Automated Decision-Making and Society. She is an international expert in intellectual property and technology law, with her most recent research focusing on legal and governance issues surrounding AI technologies. Rita has had over fifty research papers published by leading international publishers and has co-authored commissioned reports for the European Patent Office and the governments of South Korea and Australia.

**Katharina Natter** is an assistant professor at the Institute of Political Science at Leiden University. She researches migration politics from a comparative perspective, with a particular focus on the role of democratisation and autocratisation in immigration policy-making and has worked on European migration policies and on the link between migration and development. Assistant Professor Natter received her PhD in political sociology from the University of Amsterdam in 2019. Prior to that, she worked at the International Migration Institute (University of Oxford) and studied comparative politics at Sciences Po.

**Chris O'Neill** is a research fellow in the School of Media and Communication at Monash University and a postdoctoral research fellow in the Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for Automated Decision-Making and Society. Chris completed his PhD at the University of Melbourne in 2020. His doctoral research examined the analysis of body-sensing technologies, such as heart rate monitors and productivity sensors. Chris's current research involves analysing the social and operational issues arising from the deployment of automated decision-making systems, including biometric technologies such as facial recognition cameras.

**Neil Selwyn** is a professor at the School of Education, Culture & Society, Monash University, having previously worked in the University College London Institute of Education and the Cardiff School of Social Sciences. His research and teaching focuses on the place of digital media in everyday life and the sociology of technology (non-)use in educational settings. He is currently working on nationally funded projects examining the roll-out of educational data and learning analytics, AI technologies, and the changing nature of teachers' digital work. With Mark Andrejevic, Selwyn is co-author of *Facial Recognition* (Polity, 2022).

**Gavin Smith** is an associate professor in the School of Sociology at the Australian National University, having previously worked at the University of Sydney and City University London. Much of Gavin's research focuses on the social impacts of digital technologies, data practices, and dataveillance – with a particular interest

in the social impacts of surveillance, specifically looking at the intersubjective meanings ascribed to everyday practices of watching and being watched, be that through CCTV camera surveillance systems or social media cultures. Gavin is the author of *Opening the Black Box: The Work of Watching* (Routledge, 2014).

**Marcus Smith** is an associate professor of law at Charles Sturt University in Canberra. His qualifications include an MPhil from the University of Cambridge and LL.M and PhD degrees from the Australian National University. Prior to entering academia, he worked in a range of Australian government research and policy agencies. He currently undertakes research and teaching across the field of technology law and regulation but has a particular interest in law and policy associated with biometrics. His publications include thirty academic articles and five books, most recently, *Technology Law* (Cambridge University Press, 2021) and *Biometric Identification, Law and Ethics* (Springer, 2021).

**Simon Michael Taylor** is a 2023–24 Visiting Fellow at the School of Regulation and Global Governance, the Australian National University. As a Science & Technology Studies scholar he interrogates infrastructural and genealogical dimensions that constitute autonomous decision systems. This includes data and digital elements from biometrics, machine learning, operational sensing, and autonomous drones. As a committee member of Standards Australia he has contributed to working groups on Artificial Intelligence and to policy on Cyber-Security, the Internet of Things, Privacy, and digital identity fields. Publications include articles for a special law issue of *AI & Society* (2020), for *Science, Technology & Human Values* (2022), and in a collection edited by members of the Mellon Sawyer Seminar *Histories of AI: A Genealogy of Power* for Cambridge University.

**Ben Wagner** is Assistant Professor at the Faculty of Technology, Policy and Management at Delft University of Technology, as well as Professor of Media, Technology and Society and Director of the Sustainable Media Lab at Inholland University of Applied Sciences. His research focuses on the governance of socio-legal systems, in particular human rights in digital technologies, and designing more accountable decision-support systems. He is a visiting researcher at the Human Centred Computing Group at Oxford University, an advisory board member of the data science journal *Patterns*, and is on the International Scientific Committee of the UK Research and Innovation Trustworthy Autonomous Systems Hub.

**Monika Zalnierute** is a Senior Lecturer (Associate Professor) at the University of New South Wales, Sydney; and a Senior Fellow at the Lithuanian Centre for Social Sciences. Monika is also an Australian Research Council DECRA Fellow and Associate Investigator at the Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for Automated Decision-Making and Society. Her research on law and technology has been translated to German, Russian and Mandarin, and is widely drawn upon by scholars and organisations such as the Council of Europe, the

World Bank, the European Parliament, and WHO. She is the co-editor of *Money, Power and AI* (CUP 2023).

**Peng Zhou** is a PhD student at the Faculty of Law of the Chinese University of Hong Kong (CUHK). Dr Zhou holds a PhD in Art History from CUHK. He also has a Master of Music from Yale University and a Bachelor of Music from Oberlin College, USA. Before joining the CUHK Faculty of Law, Zhou had practiced law in the People's Republic of China. His current research includes a comparative analysis of data protection and AI governance laws, focusing on machine ethics and China's digital governance.

**Nicolo Zingales** is Professor of Information Law and Regulation at the Getulio Vargas Foundation in Rio de Janeiro, where he heads the e-commerce research group. His work on digital rights spans data governance, fundamental rights, and platform regulation. He is a founding member of the MyData Global Network, a director of the Computers Privacy and Data Protection Conference (Latin-American edition), and a member of the Medialaws Steering Committee. He is also an affiliate scholar at the Stanford Center for Internet and Society, the Tilburg Institute for Law, Technology and Society, and the Tilburg Law and Economics Center.



## Acknowledgements

This book is the outcome of the project ‘Government Use of Facial Recognition Technologies: Legal Issues and Possible Solutions’, funded by the Lithuanian Research Council (2021–2023, agreement number S-MIP-21-38). We sincerely thank our co-investigators in this project, Dr Agnė Limantė and Dr Eglė Kavoliūnaitė-Ragauskienė, for their invaluable co-operation and collaboration in working towards the objectives of this project.

The papers published in this book were first presented at the Facial Recognition in the Modern State international conference, which took place on 15 September 2022 (online); this was organised by the Law Institute of the Lithuanian Centre for Social Sciences and co-hosted by Macquarie University Law School, the University of New South Wales, the Australian Research Council’s Centre for Automated Decision-Making and Society, the LSE Law School, the Internet Governance Project at George Tech, the Centre for Law in the Digital Transformation at the University of Hamburg, and the University of New South Wales Allens Hub. We sincerely thank the organisers and the co-hosts of this conference for contributing to the success of this event, as well as all presenters at the conference for their insightful and highly engaging presentations.

Most of all we express our gratitude to all the thirty-two contributors who wrote chapters for this book. We are grateful for them sharing their knowledge and insights on this topic and appreciate the hard work involved in writing the chapters. We thank you for your patience and co-operation in finalising the manuscript of this book.

We hope the book will contribute to the important international discussion on the challenges posed by automatic facial recognition technologies, how they have been managed so far in different national and regional jurisdictions around the world, and how the regulation of these controversial technologies could look like in the future.



# Introduction

## *Facial Recognition in the Modern State*

*Rita Matulionyte and Monika Zalneriute*

### 1.1 FACIAL RECOGNITION AND ITS CHALLENGES

From border control to policing and welfare, governments are using automated facial recognition technology (FRT) to collect taxes, prevent crime, police cities, and control immigration. 70 per cent of police forces have access to some form of the technology and 60 per cent of countries have facial recognition in some airports.<sup>1</sup> In Australia, France, the United Kingdom, Germany, the Netherlands and the United States, it has been employed by border security at the arrival gates.<sup>2</sup> It has been used or trialled in national policing efforts to detect suspects or missing people in various countries.<sup>3</sup> FRT is increasingly used by governments for identity verification and identification, as well as categorisation or counting.

Concerns around an increased use of automated FRT, especially in public spaces such as airports, train stations, and city streets, have been expressed across the globe. Privacy and data protection, bias and discrimination, the lack of transparency, explainability, public oversight, and accountability are among the most popular concerns associated with FRT. Freedom of expression, peaceful association, and assembly are other examples of the fundamental rights that can be impacted and undermined by

<sup>1</sup> Paul Bischoff, 'Facial recognition technology (FRT): 100 countries analyzed' (8 June 2021), Comparitech, [www.comparitech.com/blog/apn-privacy/facial-recognition-statistics/#:~:text=Five%20countries](https://www.comparitech.com/blog/apn-privacy/facial-recognition-statistics/#:~:text=Five%20countries).

<sup>2</sup> Ibid.

<sup>3</sup> Australia: E. Gillespie, 'Are you being scanned? How facial recognition technology follows you, even as you shop' (4 March 2019), *The Guardian*, [www.theguardian.com/technology/2019/feb/24/are-you-being-scanned-how-facial-recognition-technology-follows-you-even-as-you-shop](https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2019/feb/24/are-you-being-scanned-how-facial-recognition-technology-follows-you-even-as-you-shop); Canada: Office of the Privacy Commissioner of Canada (OPC), 'Police use of facial recognition technology in Canada and the way forward' (10 June 2021), [www.priv.gc.ca/en/opc-actions-and-decisions/ar\\_index/202021/sr RCMP/](https://www.priv.gc.ca/en/opc-actions-and-decisions/ar_index/202021/sr RCMP/); Italy: European Digital Rights (EDRi), 'Italy introduces a moratorium on video surveillance systems that use facial recognition' (15 December 2021), <https://edri.org/our-work/italy-introduces-a-moratorium-on-video-surveillance-systems-that-use-facial-recognition/>; France: Statewatch, 'Legal action against police facial recognition technology' (22 September 2020), [www.statewatch.org/news/2020/september/france-legal-action-against-police-facial-recognition-technology/](https://www.statewatch.org/news/2020/september/france-legal-action-against-police-facial-recognition-technology/); United Kingdom: Rhiannon Williams, 'UK police forces testing new retrospective facial recognition that could identify criminals' (31 July 2021), *i news*, <https://news.co.uk/news/technology/uk-police-testing-retrospective-facial-recognition-identify-criminals-1128711>.

FRT. These risks have been recognised both by courts and policymakers alike. For instance, the UK police use of automated FRT was successfully challenged in 2020 in the *Bridges* case, where the Court of Appeal of England and Wales held that police use of automated FRT was unlawful because it was not ‘in accordance with law’ under Article 8 of the European Convention of Human Rights.<sup>4</sup>

Ethical and legal risks of FRT have led many non-governmental organisations (NGOs), professional organizations, local municipalities, and legislators around the globe to call for regulation or even outright bans on FRT use. In the United States, FRT use was initially suspended in a number of the states, with some of the temporary bans being recently lifted.<sup>5</sup> In the EU, the draft EU Artificial Intelligence Act suggests that law enforcement could be allowed to use live FRT in certain exceptional scenarios,<sup>6</sup> while the European Parliament has called for an outright ban of certain FRT uses.<sup>7</sup> Recent cases in China to a certain extent limited FRT uses by the private sector,<sup>8</sup> while an extensive employment of FRT by government remains intact. Regional and international organizations, such as the European Data Protection Authority, World Economic Forum, and Interpol developed specific guidelines on how FRT should be used in law enforcement context.<sup>9</sup>

However, regulatory solutions are lagging behind. Owing to the controversy of the technology and multiple competing interests, there is yet no country that has a comprehensive legal framework regulating the use of FRT by states. Policymakers around the world are struggling to find the most suitable regulatory solutions to both enable the beneficial uses of facial recognition and manage threats posed by these technologies.

Academic literature on FRT is expanding, with legal literature mostly focussing on privacy and data protection implications of FRT.<sup>10</sup> Previous books on AI and law in general touch upon some of the issues this book covers, such as transparency, discrimination and privacy issues of AI, however, they lack a specific focus

<sup>4</sup> *R (Bridges) v. South Wales Police* [2019] EWHC 2341, High Court; [2020] EWCA Civ 1058, Court of Appeal.

<sup>5</sup> P. Dave, ‘U.S. cities are backing off banning facial recognition as crime rises’ (13 May 2022), *Reuters*, [www.reuters.com/world/us/us-cities-are-backing-off-banning-facial-recognition-crime-rises-2022-05-12/](https://www.reuters.com/world/us/us-cities-are-backing-off-banning-facial-recognition-crime-rises-2022-05-12/).

<sup>6</sup> European Commission, ‘Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council laying down harmonised rules on artificial intelligence (Artificial Intelligence Act) and amending certain Union legislative Acts’ (2021), COM, 206 Final.

<sup>7</sup> European Parliament, ‘Report on artificial intelligence in criminal law and its use by the police and judicial authorities in criminal matters’ (2021) (Report-A9-0232/2021).

<sup>8</sup> See *Guo Bing v. Hangzhou Safari Park Co., Ltd.*, Hangzhou Fuyang District People’s Court Case No. (2019) Zhe 0111 Minchu 6971, 20 November 2020.

<sup>9</sup> World Economic Forum, UNICRI, INTERPOL, Netherlands Police, ‘A policy framework for responsible limits on facial recognition’ (2022); European Data Protection Board (EDPB), ‘Guidelines 05/2022 on the use of facial recognition technology in the area of law enforcement’, version 1 (12 May 2022), [https://edpb.europa.eu/system/files/2022-05/edpb-guidelines\\_202205\\_ftlawenforcement\\_en\\_1.pdf](https://edpb.europa.eu/system/files/2022-05/edpb-guidelines_202205_ftlawenforcement_en_1.pdf).

<sup>10</sup> See, e.g., M. N. Harnois, *Facial Recognition Technology: Best Practices, Future Uses and Privacy Concerns* (Nova Science, 2013); E. J. Kindt, *Privacy and Data Protection Issues of Biometric Application* (Springer, 2013).

on FRT.<sup>11</sup> Books dealing specifically with FRT examine isolated legal issues related to FRT such as privacy and data protection,<sup>12</sup> or legal challenges posed by FRT in specific jurisdictions.<sup>13</sup> Authors in disciplines other than law track technological progress in FRT and detail its uses globally,<sup>14</sup> analyse the technological limitations of these technologies,<sup>15</sup> or its challenges in specific government sectors, such as the criminal justice system.<sup>16</sup> However, there is currently no book in law that offers an international comparative examination of legal challenges and regulatory initiatives targeting FRT in jurisdictions around the globe. FRT raises similar legal and ethical challenges around the world, and thus a global discussion and exchange of lessons learned and best practices are needed to inform national and regional policy discussions and regulation of FRT. Moreover, there is still a lack of interdisciplinary discussions where law, technology, and social and political science academics share and exchange their insights on how to approach challenges posed by facial recognition technologies.

## 1.2 THE AIM AND ORIGIN OF THIS BOOK

This book aims to provide the first in-depth socio-legal analysis and international comparison of government use of FRT across domestic and regional jurisdictions in five regions of the globe (Europe, North America, South America, Asia-Pacific, and Africa). Building on comparative legal methods, qualitative interviews, political theory, and case studies, the book examines how FRT is increasingly used by different governments, what legal and ethical challenges different FRT uses raise, and whether legal and governance frameworks that have been implemented or proposed by various stakeholders to address these challenges in diverse jurisdictions are adequate and appropriate.

<sup>11</sup> See, e.g., W. Barfield (ed.), *Cambridge Handbook on the Law of Algorithms* (Cambridge University Press, 2021); S. Chesterman, *We, the Robots? Regulating Artificial Intelligence and the Limits of the Law* (Cambridge University Press, 2021); R. Abbott, *The Reasonable Robot: Artificial Intelligence and the Law* (Cambridge University Press, 2020); M. Ebers and S. Navas (eds.), *Algorithms and Law* (Cambridge University Press, 2020); J. De Bruyne and C. Vanleenhove (eds.), *Artificial Intelligence and the Law* (Intersentia, 2021); D. E. Harasimiuk and T. Braun, *Regulating Artificial Intelligence: Binary Ethics and the Law* (Routledge, 2021); J. Turner, *Robot Rules: Regulating Artificial Intelligence* (Springer, 2019).

<sup>12</sup> See Harnois, *Facial Recognition Technology*; Kindt, *Privacy and Data Protection Issues*.

<sup>13</sup> For example, N. Lynch, L. Campbell, J. Purshouse, and M. Betkier, *Facial Recognition Technology in New Zealand: Towards a Legal and Ethical Framework* (Law Foundation New Zealand, 2020); J. Lynch, *Face Off: Law Enforcement Use of Facial Recognition Technology* (published independently, 2019), with a focus on the United States.

<sup>14</sup> K. A. Gates, *Our Biometric Future: Facial Recognition Technology and the Culture of Surveillance* (New York University Press, 2011).

<sup>15</sup> S. A. Magnet, *When Biometrics Fail: Gender, Race, and the Technology of Identity* (Duke University Press, 2011).

<sup>16</sup> M. Smith, Monique Mann, and Gregor Urbas, *Biometrics, Crime and Security* (Routledge, 2018). A. G. Ferguson *The Rise of Big Data Policing: Surveillance, Race, and the Future of Law Enforcement* (New York University Press, 2017).

The book focusses on FRT use *by government*, which has raised most significant concerns around the globe. Governments are able to use FRT to exert power with coercion, which is not possible for private sector companies. The role of private technology companies and their collaboration with governments when deploying FRT is, however, touched on in many chapters of this collection (e.g., [Chapter 7](#) on protests, [Chapter 17](#) on China), as are legal tools corporations and governments use to shield their collaboration from public eye ([Chapter 4](#) on transparency and trade secrets).

The chapters for this collection are based on the presentations made at an international conference, *Facial Recognition in the Modern State*, held online in September 2022. The conference and this book were a part of the project on *Government Use of Facial Regulation Technologies: Legal Challenges and Possible Solutions (FaceAI)*, funded by the Lithuanian Research Council (2021–2023) and conducted by Rita Matulionyte, Monika Zalnieriute, Agne Limante, and Egle Kavoliunaite-Ragauskiene.

### 1.3 STRUCTURE OF THE BOOK

The book is structured in two main sections.

**Part I**, ‘Facial Recognition Technology in Context: Technical and Legal Challenges’, written by experts in technology, law, and sociology, explores the main legal, social, ethical, and technological challenges related to FRT. Five chapters introduce technical FRT aspects and explore socio-legal challenges posed by FRT, especially to the rule of law and to fundamental rights such as a right of information, privacy, non-discrimination, freedom of information, and political freedoms.

**Chapter 1**, written by a team of researchers in social science – Neil Selwyn, Mark Andrejevic, Chris O’Neil, Xin Gu, and Gavin Smith – provides an introductory overview of the recent emergence of FRTs into everyday societal contexts and settings. It provides valuable social, political, and economic context to the legal, ethical, and regulatory issues that surround this fast-growing area of technology development. The authors argue that despite the seemingly steady acceptance and practical take-up of FRT throughout everyday life, FRT technology still poses significant risks and requires continued critical attention from scholars working in the social, cultural, and legal domains.

**Chapter 2**, written by a computer scientist and an industry expert in computer vision, Ali Akbari, introduces legal audiences to FRT from a technical perspective. This chapter explains the fundamentals of AI and FRT, their common development life cycle, essential building blocks, and some of the crucial challenges that computer and data scientists currently face in ensuring the accuracy, effectiveness, and trustworthiness of these technologies. This technical introduction will serve as a foundation to the examination of legal and ethical challenges surrounding FRT technologies, which are frequently connected to technical characteristics of the technology.

**Chapter 3**, by Simon Michael Taylor, introduces the reader to FRT history and development of FRT from the perspective of science and technologies studies. Grounded in the history of science and technology, the chapter demonstrates how critical aspects of FRT infrastructure are aided by scientific and cultural innovations from different times and locations: mugshots in eighteenth-century France; mathematical analysis of caste in nineteenth-century British India; innovations by Chinese closed-circuit television companies; and computer vision start-ups conducting bio-security experiments on farm animals.

Building on this social, technical, and historical introduction to FRT, Rita Matulionyte focusses in **Chapter 4** on a paramount ethical and legal challenge related to the use of FRT: the lack of transparency around the use and implementation of these technologies by government institutions. By focussing on trade secrets, the chapter examines in which situations these have an ability to inhibit transparency around FRT and whether current limitations to trade secret law, such as a ‘public interest’ exception, is able to address an emerging conflict between the interests of AI developers who own trade secrets over FRT algorithms and public and experts who demand more transparency around these technologies.

**Chapter 5**, by Jake Goldenfein, focusses on privacy that has long been central to understanding and addressing the impacts of facial recognition and related technologies. This chapter criticizes the ‘representational’ understanding of images embedded in current privacy and data protection, which leads to confusion and diversity in the juridical treatment of facial recognition, and the declining coherence of legal concepts. The author suggests that online images are better understood as ‘operational’ and demonstrates how privacy law’s failure to accommodate this theorisation of images leads to confusion and diversity in the juridical treatment of facial recognition and declining coherence of legal concepts.

The book then moves to another core problem of FRT, its potential bias and discrimination. Written by Marcus Smith and Monique Mann, **Chapter 6** rejects the implied objectivity of technology and argues that FRT might result in discrimination both owing to data on which it is trained and as a result of a social context in which it is applied. The authors argue that FRT will continue to advance the established power relations in the criminal justice system, unless both data-based and societal-based reasons for inequality and discrimination are remedied.

In **Chapter 7**, Monika Zalnieriute examines FRT use in public spaces and demonstrates how FRT can interfere with political freedoms of individuals. She argues for a prohibition on the use of FRT in public spaces owing to their disproportionate interference with fundamental rights; especially rights to peaceful protest and freedom of assembly.

**Chapter 8**, the final chapter in **Part I**, written by Agne Limante, examines the emerging use of FRT in a war context. It focusses on Russia’s invasion of Ukraine, the first major military conflict in which FRT has been used openly. The chapter

identifies available information about current FRT use by both Russian and Ukrainian militaries and governments and examines the potential and risks of the use of FRT in a war situation. Together, the chapters in [Part I](#) demonstrate that, despite legitimate intentions to achieve security and other public policy goals, governments' use of FRT poses significant ethical and legal risks that require urgent attention.

[Part II](#), 'Facial Recognition Technology across the Globe: Jurisdictional Perspectives', explores how increasing deployment of FRT in public spaces is perceived in different jurisdictions over five regions. It also investigates what regulatory initiatives are in place to address the challenges posed by FRT to fundamental rights and the rule of law, and what approaches could be adopted in the future. [Part II](#) consists of eleven chapters and examines FRT use and regulation in Europe (the EU as a separate jurisdiction, United Kingdom, Germany, and Lithuania), North America (United States), South America (Brazil), the Asia-Pacific (China, Australia, and New Zealand), and Africa (Morocco). Its broad geographical reach enables readers to understand how experts around the world – in democratic and authoritarian regimes, in developed and developing jurisdictions – perceive challenges caused by FRTs, and how they judge the actions different governments take to address FRT challenges that have been identified and discussed in [Part I](#).

[Part II](#) opens with two chapters analysing legal challenges raised by FRT in the context of EU law. In [Chapter 9](#), Simone Kuhlmann identifies different uses of FRT by governments around Europe, highlights the legal challenges around such uses, and then examines whether and to what extent government use of FRT can be accepted under current EU law. [Chapter 10](#), by Paul de Hert and Georgios Bouchagiar, goes one step further, calling for concrete rules to ban, halt, sanction, or frame specific FRT uses that interfere with fundamental human rights, including the right to privacy and personal data protection. The contribution emphasizes the global reach, risks, and possible global harms of facial recognition technologies, and calls for concrete law-making and uniform enforcement in the field.

The book then moves to specific European jurisdictions, with two chapters focusing on FRT in the UK. [Chapter 11](#), by Nora Ni Loideain, focusses on *Bridges v. South Wales Police*, the world's first case examining the legality of a facial recognition system deployed by police, and examines the adequacy of judicial interpretation adopted in the case. In [Chapter 12](#), Giulia Gentile provides an overview of sociological and regulatory attitudes towards FRT in the UK, discusses the *Bridges* saga and its implications, and offers reflections on the future of FRT regulation in the UK.

From the UK we travel to continental Europe. In [Chapter 13](#), Andreas Engel explores the legal framework for the use of FRT in the public sector in Germany, with a particular emphasis on the pertinent German data protection and police laws. The chapter examines German constitutional framework for FRT and whether the



current laws in Germany provide a sufficient ‘legal basis’ that is required for FRT use to avoid the infringement of fundamental rights. The European discussion is concluded with [Chapter 14](#) on FRT regulation in a Central-Eastern European country: Lithuania. Eglė Kavoliūnaitė-Ragauskienė’s contribution analyses the lack of specific regulation of FRT use under Lithuanian laws, and draws attention to a minimal public discussion and NGO involvement on this topic. The chapter emphasizes the need for more public awareness around the challenges associated with FRT, which is necessary to push for adequate regulation in the field and its effective implementation.

The next two chapters focus on FRT regulation in selected jurisdictions in North America (United States) and South America (Brazil). In [Chapter 15](#), Mailyn Fidler and Justin (Gus) Hurwitz discuss the current state of laws regulating FRT in the United States. They analyse general laws there, such as those that regulate the use of biometrics, and those that more specifically target FRT, for example, laws that prohibit the use of such technologies by law enforcement and state governments. Particular attention is given to the different regulatory institutions in the United States, including the federal and state governments and federal regulatory agencies, as well as different treatment of governmental and private users of FRT. In [Chapter 16](#), Luca Belli, Walter Britto Gaspar, and Nicolo Zingales provide an overview of the current status of FRT regulation in Brazil, where numerous cities are using FRT in a bid to automatise the public safety, transportation, and border control sectors. It discusses the minimal and incomplete guidance for FRT use found in general frameworks or sectoral legislation in Brazil, and examines whether current rules allowing FRT use for public safety, national defence, state security, investigative activities, and the repression of criminal activities are reasonable and justified.

The last three chapters of the book focus on the Asia-Pacific region and Africa. In [Chapter 17](#), Jyh-An Lee and Peng Zhou overview government use of FRT in China and analyse laws regulating FRT use by private entities. They argue that a recent decision in *Guo Bing v. Hang Zhou Safari Park* that restricts the use of FRT in the private sector does not sufficiently limit surveillance as it does not apply to public authorities. [Chapter 18](#), on FRT in Australia and New Zealand, by Nessa Lynch and Liz Campbell, acknowledges the potentially detrimental and discriminatory impacts that FRT use by the state might have and advance discussion on what principled regulation of FRT might look like. The authors argue that it should be possible to prohibit or regulate unacceptable usage while retaining less hazardous uses of FRT, and propose approaches to how such regulation could be achieved.

[Chapter 19](#), by Sylvia I. Bergh, Isaam Cherat, Francesco Colin, Katharina Natter, and Ben Wagner, examines FRT use and regulation in Africa, with a focus on Morocco. The authors argue that Morocco serves as an example of how technologies such as FRT are becoming key tools of governance in authoritarian contexts.

Based on qualitative fieldwork, including semi-structured interviews, observation, and extensive desk reviews, this chapter focusses on the role played by AI-enhanced technology in urban surveillance and the control of migration between the Moroccan-Spanish borders. The authors highlight the lack of transparency, institutional oversight, and public debate on FRT, and demonstrate how AI-enhanced surveillance is a matter where private interests of economic gain and public interests of national security collide with citizens' human rights.

Overall, this timely and innovative interdisciplinary book encourages a global dialogue on FRT among leading scholars from around the world, with the purpose to inform policy and regulatory debate on these challenging technologies.