Fornax 3D project: Automated detection of planetary nebulae in the centres of early-type galaxies and first results
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ABSTRACT

Extragalactic planetary nebulae (PNe) are detectable through relatively strong nebulous [O III] emission and act as direct probes into the local stellar population. Because they have an apparently universal invariant magnitude cut-off, PNe are also considered to be a remarkable standard candle for distance estimation. Through detecting PNe within the galaxies, we aim to connect the relative abundances of PNe to the properties of their host galaxy stellar population. By removing the stellar background components from FCC 167 and FCC 219, we aim to produce PN luminosity functions (PNLF) of these galaxies, and thereby also estimate the distance modulus to these two systems. Finally, we test the reliability and robustness of our novel detection and analysis method. It detects unresolved point sources by their [O III] doublet line at 4959 Å. Planetary nebulae thus act as isolated beacons within galaxies, allowing for their detection through spectroscopic observations (e.g. Paczyński 1971; Dopita et al. 1992).

The study of extragalactic PNe is centred around three major areas of research. The planetary nebular luminosity function (PNLF) is a viable distance indicator (Ciardullo et al. 1989). Furthermore, PNe can be used as direct probes of galaxy halo kinematics and dark matter content (Romanowsky et al. 2003; Douglas et al. 2007; Coccato et al. 2009; Kauff et al. 2018).

Key words. planetary nebulae: general – galaxies: elliptical and lenticular, cD – galaxies: distances and redshifts – techniques: imaging spectroscopy

1. Introduction

Planetary nebulae (PNe) originate in a spectacular event that occurs towards the end of the lifetime of most 2–8 M☉ stars, where copious amounts of oxygen-rich stellar material is expelled outwards. The ejected material is subsequently ionised by UV radiation from the central star, with the forbidden [O III] 5007 Å line being prominent in many PNe, accompanied by the doublet line at 4959 Å. Planetary nebulae thus act as isolated beacons within galaxies, allowing for their detection through spectroscopic observations (e.g. Paczyński 1971; Dopita et al. 1992).

The study of extragalactic PNe is centred around three major areas of research. The planetary nebular luminosity function (PNLF) is a viable distance indicator (Ciardullo et al. 1989). Furthermore, PNe can be used as direct probes of galaxy halo kinematics and dark matter content (Romanowsky et al. 2003; Douglas et al. 2007; Coccato et al. 2009; Kauff et al. 2018).
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Longobardi et al. 2018; Pulsoni et al. 2018; Bhattacharya et al. 2019a). Finally, PNe can be used to better understand the later stages of stellar evolution, and in particular, stellar environments different from those in our Galaxy (e.g. stellar metallicity and kinematics; Marigo et al. 2004).

One of the more traditional techniques of detecting extragalactic PNe, with their radial velocity, is so-called on-off band imaging, followed by spectroscopic measurements (either multi-slit or slitless spectroscopy). Counter-dispersed slitless spectroscopy, used for example in the Planetary Nebulae Spectrograph (Douglas et al. 2002, an instrument that is entirely dedicated to the study of extragalactic PNe), offer a better solution because it is capable of identifying and measuring position and velocity with a single observation, without follow-ups.

In all these techniques, the identification of extragalactic PNe is limited to the halo and outer regions of the host galaxy (typically >0.5 effective radii, \(R_e\)), where the stellar continuum background does not dominate. In this way, PNe have been detected within the intra-cluster mediums of the Coma and Hydra clusters (Gerhard et al. 2005; Ventimiglia et al. 2011), which reside at 100 Mpc and 50 Mpc, respectively.

Previously, extragalactic surveys such as the Spectrographic Areal Unit for Research on Optical Nebulae (SAURON) survey (Bacon et al. 2001) on the William Herschel Telescope (WHT), the Calar Alto Legacy Integral Field Area (CALIFA) survey (Sanchez et al. 2011) on the CAHA telescope (Roth et al. 2005), and the Mapping Nearby Galaxies at Apache Point Observatory (MANGA) survey (Bundy et al. 2014) on the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; York et al. 2000) have shown that modelling the background stellar continuum within galaxifies is feasible and can be applied to a variety of data sets. This allows us to cleanly isolate the ionised-gas emission in the galaxy spectra and to map the nebular activity across the entire field of view, including that originating from unresolved PNe sources.

In this respect, the studies of Sarzi et al. (2011) and Pastorello et al. (2013) based on SAURON data for M32 and the central regions of Andromeda clearly illustrate the ability of integral field spectroscopy of detecting PNe down to the very central regions of external galaxies. With the Multi Unit Spectroscopic Explorer (MUSE; Bacon et al. 2010), we can detect PNe at even farther distances, in particular because its collecting power and spatial resolution are superior. This was illustrated by Kreckel et al. (2017) in the case of the spiral galaxy NGC 628, which lies at a distance of 9.6 Mpc. At twice the distance, Sarzi et al. (2017) presented preliminary results for one of the two Fornax galaxies (Gerhard et al. 2005; Ventimiglia et al. 2011), which reside at 100 Mpc and 50 Mpc, respectively.

Within this context, it is important to note that whereas our knowledge of the shape and normalisation of the PNLF comes chiefly from peripheral PNe populations of galaxies, measurements for the stellar metallicity and the UV spectral shape of the galaxies typically pertain to their central regions (well within one Re). For instance, Buzzoni et al. (2006) compared the properties of halo PNe populations with central measurements for the stellar kinematics, metallicity, and UV excess. Integral-field spectroscopy (IFS) can overcome this spatial inconsistency because IFS not only enables revealing PNe deeply in the central regions of galaxies, but measuring the stellar age and metallicity in the same regions in which the PNe are detected, including regions such as the stellar halos (first illustrated by Weijmans et al. 2009).

To progress along these lines, in this paper we illustrate that with the aid of IFS, we can characterise the PNe populations of external galaxies on the basis of the MUSE observations for two early-type galaxies in the Fornax cluster, FCC 167 (NGC 1380) and FCC 219 (NGC 1404). When the central cluster galaxy NGC 1399 is excluded, these are the two brightest objects inside the virial radius of the Fornax cluster, with a total r-band magnitude of \(m_r = 9.3\) and 8.6, respectively (Iodice et al. 2019a). These ETGs are morphologically and dynamically different, however. FCC 167 is a fast-rotating S0a galaxy, whereas FCC 219 is a slowly rotating E2 galaxy with a kinematically decoupled core (Ricci et al. 2016, but see also Iodice et al. 2019b). Furthermore, FCC 219 is known to host a substantial hot-gas halo (e.g. Machacek et al. 2005), whereas FCC 167 shows a much weaker X-ray halo in the deep XMM-Newton images from Murakami et al. (2011) and Su et al. (2017). This difference is relevant to the discussion of our PNe results for these two objects. For this paper, we initially assume a distance of 21.2 Mpc and 20.2 Mpc for FCC 167 and FCC 219, respectively, according to the surface-brightness fluctuation measurements of Blakeslee et al. (2009).

When sources of [O III] \(\lambda 5007\) emissions have been identified and confirmed as PNe, we can then produce a PNLF for our detected sample and compare its shape to an empirically derived functional form of the PNLF reported by Ciardullo et al. (1989), which we discuss in Sect. 5. Estimating the PNLF is intrinsic to the process of using PNe as standard candle estimators. In the extragalactic context, the PNLF has been shown to exhibit a cut-off value towards the bright end. Under the assumption that a universal PNLF holds true for all galaxies and that its brightest PNe are indeed detected, we can use the conversion of apparent into absolute magnitudes to obtain an estimate for the distance to the host system. The steps of the analysis with which we derive this estimate are presented in Sect. 5.

$${\log_{10}(F_{[\text{OIII}]} \, \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})} = -2.5 \log_{10}(m_{\text{4007}}) - 13.74.$$  \hfill (1)
The paper is structured as follows: Sect. 2 details the data and targets from the Fornax 3D survey, along with a brief overview of the data analysis steps that aided our detection of PNe. Section 3 introduces our method regarding any of the PNe [O III] measurement and fitting processes, as well as the steps we used in object identification. It also describes our PNe modelling simulations and our procedure for obtaining a sensible estimate of the instrumental point spread function (PSF). In Sect. 4 we describe the results we obtained by running our novel 1D+2D modelling approach, which is capable of successfully identifying and extracting the PNe populations of FCC 167 and FCC 219. Section 6 gives a brief overview of the PNLF, and we describe how we accounted for incompleteness. Finally, we compare our results with similar previous studies in Sect. 3 and discuss the reasons for the potential difference between some of the available distance estimators and our estimates.

2. Observations and data reduction

FCC 167 and FCC 219 were observed with the MUSE IFS unit (Bacon et al. 2010) as part of the magnitude-limited survey of galaxies within the virial radius of the Fornax galaxy cluster (hereafter Fornax3D Sarzi et al. 2018). To cover their central and outer regions (down to a surface-brightness limit of $\mu_B = 25$ mag arcsec$^{-2}$), wide-field mode seeing-limited MUSE data were acquired over three and two separate pointings for FCC 167 and FCC 219, respectively, with total exposure times of 1 h for central pointings and 1 h 30 min for the intermediate or outer pointings. This provides high-quality spectroscopic measurements in 0.2′′ x 0.2′′ spatial elements over a 4650–9300 Å wavelength range, with a spectral sampling of 1.25 Å pixel$^{-1}$. As detailed in Sarzi et al., our MUSE data were reduced using the MUSE pipeline (Weilbacher et al. 2012, 2016) within the ESOREFLEX (Freudling et al. 2013) environment, where special care was taken to remove the sky background through the use of dedicated sky field exposures and of the Zürich atmospheric purge algorithm (Soto et al. 2016).

For the purpose of this paper, we obtained final datacubes for each pointing without further combining these into a single mosaic (as shown e.g. in the case of FCC 167 in Sarzi et al. 2018). To enable the study of galactic nuclei and unresolved sources such as PNe and globular clusters, the central pointings had a stricter imaging requirement ($FWHM < 1.0″$) than the intermediate or outer pointings ($FWHM < 1.5″$) during the Fornax3D observations. In this way, we used the data with the highest MUSE quality in the regions in which our pointings overlap spatially.

Finally, to ensure that the absolute flux calibration of our datacubes is correct, we applied the same procedure to FCC219 as was used in the case of FCC 167 in Sarzi et al. (2018) to compare this with images obtained with the Hubble Space Telescope (HST). Similarly, the MUSE flux densities for FCC 219 closely match those of the HST.

3. PNe sources identification and flux measurements

To compile a catalogue of PNe in our two target galaxies and measure their [O III] flux values, we first proceed with a dedicated reanalysis of the MUSE datacubes (Sect. 3.1), then draw a conservative list of PNe candidate sources (Sect. 3.2), and finally fit (Sect. 3.3) and validate (Sect. 3.4) each PNe candidate with a 1D+2D model$^1$ that accounts for the expected unresolved spatial distribution of the [O III] flux while optimising for the spectral position of the [O III] lines. A prior evaluation of the spatial PSF is needed to inform this final fit (Sect. 3.5), which is done either using foreground stars or by simultaneously applying our 1D+2D-model fitting approach to several bright PNe sources.

3.1. Isolating the nebular emission component

To identify and fit PNe sources, we used pure emission-line datacubes that we obtained after evaluating and subtracting the stellar continuum from each individual MUSE spectrum in our pointing datacubes. As detailed in Sarzi et al. (2018) and also shown in Viana et al. (2019), this was done through a spaxel-by-spaxel simultaneous fit for the stellar and ionised-gas spectrum using the GandALF (Sarzi et al. 2006) fitting tool, which in turn is informed by previous fits with pPXF (Cappellari & Emerson 2004; Cappellari 2017) and GandALF on Voronoi-binned spectra (Cappellari & Copin 2003), drawing on the IFU data-processing pipeline of Bittner et al. (GIST$^2$ 2019).

While emission-line cubes and other pipeline (stellar fitting) results are in principle available from this analysis (see also Iodice et al. 2019b), in the case of extended targets such as FCC 167 and FCC 219, we repeated our fitting procedure for individual MUSE pointing datacubes. Furthermore, to achieve the best-fit quality, and as described in Sarzi et al. (2018), the entire MILES (Sánchez-Blázquez et al. 2006) stellar library was used to match the stellar continuum rather than resorting to stellar population models (as shown in Fig. 5 of Sarzi et al. 2018). This is necessary to minimise stellar contamination, which might affect our scientific goals, and it improved the reliability of our nebular emission extraction.

3.2. Identification of PNe candidates

To obtain an initial list of PNe source candidates, we drew from our spaxel-by-spaxel [O III] 5007 Å line-fit results. Although our GandALF fits also provide this information, these fits are not properly optimised for the detection of PNe. We used GandALF to capture the general behaviour of any present ionised gas, including some regions of diffuse ionised-gas emission or AGN activity. These were safely identified and isolated from any potential unresolved PNe emission. When PNe are to be located, it is better to explicitly account for the fact that PNe have only modest expansion velocities (between 10 and 40 km s$^{-1}$; Weinberger et al. 1983; Hajian et al. 2007; Schönberner et al. 2014), which leads to [O III] line profiles that are probably near the instrumental resolution limit. For this reason, we refit for the [O III] 4959 and 5007 Å doublet in the 4900–5100 Å spectral region of our pure emission-line cubes. Here, we assumed a constant intrinsic stellar velocity dispersion for the PN (see Sect. 3.4 below for values) and an instrumental spectral resolution ($\sigma_{\text{MUSE,LSF}}$) at 5007 Å of 75 km s$^{-1}$, according to the MUSE line-spread function (LSF) behaviour as measured by Guérout et al. (2017).

The results of this dedicated [O III] doublet fit and its ability to reveal unresolved [O III] sources is shown in Figs. 1 and 2 for FCC 167 and FCC 219, respectively. In particular, these maps show the value for the ratio of the fitted peak amplitude of the [O III] 5007 line (A) and the residual-noise level (rN) from our
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1. GitHub/MUSE_PNe_fitting (Spriggs 2020).
2. https://abittner.gitlab.io/thegistpipeline
Fig. 1. FCC 167: map of the peak amplitude to residual-noise level ratio ($A/rN$) of the [O\textsc{iii}]$\lambda$5007 line, based on our spaxel-by-spaxel fit for the [O\textsc{iii}] doublet in the emission datacube. The sources detected and labelled PNe are shown by a black circle. The over-luminous object (see Sect. 5.1) is highlighted by a black square. The PNe that match those reported by Feldmeier et al. (2007) are highlighted by blue squares. The dashed ellipsoid marks the central region that was disregarded owing to the presence of diffuse ionised-gas emission (see also Viaene et al. 2019).

fits around the [O\textsc{iii}] doublet. The residual noise level was evaluated as the standard deviation of the residuals after subtracting our [O\textsc{iii}] model from the data. As discussed in Sarzi et al. (2006), this $A/rN$ is a good measure for the threshold beyond which emission lines can be detected and for how well they can be measured. These $A/rN$ maps therefore provide a better contrast between [O\textsc{iii}] sources and regions dominated by false-positive [O\textsc{iii}] detection than maps of either line amplitudes or fluxes.

With these signal-to-noise ratio ($A/rN$) maps at hand, we compiled an initial list of PNe candidates using the Python package SEP. This is a python script-able version of the popular Sextractor source-finding routine of Bertin & Arnouts (1996). First, background noise is evaluated, and the subsequent noise map is subtracted from the $A/rN$ data. Having first tested this approach against a no-background-subtraction attempt that also used a larger central exclusion region, we concluded that subtracting the SEP-derived background aided avoiding spurious sources, and meant that the masked region could be much smaller than before. We adopted a rather conservative source-detection threshold corresponding to two standard deviations above the SEP derived, background noise. This decision proved to strike the balance between detecting an excessive number of sources, which would result in a larger subsequent fraction of source exclusions, versus detecting the more prominent sources, which would make up the majority of the validated PNe. Figures 1 and 2 highlight the sources that are present within the field of view (FOV). Excluded sources are circled in red. The dashed line regions are excluded because they include known diffuse ionised-gas emission (e.g. for FCC 167 in Fig. 1, see also Viaene et al. 2019), or contain regions where a template-mismatch was found to bias our flux measurements (e.g. seen in FCC 219, in Fig. 2).

3.3. Candidate PNe fitting

To validate the unresolved nature of our PNe candidates and measure their kinematics and total [O\textsc{iii}] 5007 Å fluxes, we started by fitting each of them with a procedure that used all the information contained in the emission-line cube, near the spatial location of the source (in a $9 \times 9$ spaxel region that
is 1.8” across) and in the wavelength region around the [O III] doublet (between 4900 Å and 5100 Å). Specifically, we simultaneously matched all the MUSE spectra in this portion of our emission-line cube with a 1D+2D emission-line model where the total [O III] 5007 Å flux ($F_{[\text{O III}]}$) of the model, the shape of the PSF, and the exact spatial positioning of the model determines the [O III] model flux at each spaxel ($F_{[\text{O III}]}(x, y)$). This in turn can be translated into a model [O III] 5007 Å Å line profile through our knowledge of instrumental LSF and the optimisation of the PN emission intrinsic width $\sigma_{PNe}$ and velocity $v$ (although in practice we solved for the total profile width $\sigma_{tot}$, which incorporates the convolution of the LSF and $\sigma_{PNe}$).

When we assume a Moffat (1969) profile for the PSF, the [O III] 5007 Å flux distribution around a PNe source can be written as

$$F_{[\text{O III}]}(x, y) = F_{[\text{O III}]}(x_0, y_0) \left( 1 + \frac{(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2}{\alpha^2} \right)^{-\beta},$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ determine the radial extent and kurtosis of the Moffat distribution, $x_0$ and $y_0$ locate the source centre, and $F_{[\text{O III}]}(x_0, y_0)$ is the peak [O III] flux at this position. The latter is related to the total [O III] flux through the Moffat profile normalisation

$$F_{[\text{O III}]}(x_0, y_0) = F_{[\text{O III}]} \frac{\beta - 1}{\pi \alpha^2}. \quad (3)$$

The spatial extent of our sources can also be quantified using the FWHM of the Moffat profile, which is given by

$$\text{FWHM} = 2\alpha \sqrt{2^{1/\beta} - 1}. \quad (4)$$

In its more general form, this model therefore includes seven free parameters ($F_{[\text{O III]}}, v, \sigma_{tot}, x_0$, and $y_0$ for the PN source, and $\alpha$ and $\beta$ for the PSF) plus two additional parameters to account for background that remains after the continuum subtraction (spectrum background level and gradient). These parameters are all optimised through a standard non-linear $\chi^2$ minimisation (Newville et al. 2019, 2014). In practice, however, the full set of parameters is only varied initially, when the PSF of our observations is constrained or when the typical value of
Fig. 3. Example of an outcome from our 3D fitting for one PNe source (61), located in the central region of FCC 219 (see Fig. 2). For each of the $9 \times 9$ spaxels plotted, the corresponding wavelength range spans 4950–5080 Å. The scale of the $y$-axis is chosen arbitrarily to best illustrate our fits. Spectral data are shown in black, and our [O III] model is shown in red. The entire $9 \times 9$ spaxel region is displayed to highlight the expected variation in signal (central pixels) and noise (outer pixels). Each spaxel corresponds to a spatial scale of 0.2 arcsec.

To verify that the [O III] flux distribution of our candidate source was consistent with a given PSF, we relied on the quality of our fits. We therefore excluded objects for which the $\chi^2$ value returned by our fit was outside the 95\% confidence limit for a $\chi^2$ distribution with $\nu$ degrees of freedom (corresponding to $9 \times 9 \times N\lambda$ data points, minus the six free parameters).

To understand when our fit results can be deemed reliable, we ran a set of simulations in particular to determine when the recovery of key parameters becomes biased in the regime of a low signal-to-noise ratio. For this, we created a number of mock PNe data in the same type of emission-line $9 \times 9$ minicubes that were passed to our 1D+2D-fitter. The total $F_{[\text{O III}]}$ values corresponded to PNe over a range of absolute $M_5007$ magnitudes (between $-4.5$ and $-1.0$ in steps of 0.05), observed at the estimated $D_{\text{PNLF}}$ in FCC 167 (see Sect. 5). These emission lines were spatially distributed according to the measured PSF of our central observations, where the peak of the emissions was located at towards the centre of the minicube. The local [O III] flux at each spaxel was then converted into an [O III] doublet profile for a PNe moving at the systemic velocity of FCC 167 (1878 km s$^{-1}$ Iodice et al. 2019b), the [O III] profile width was set to the value of $\sigma_{\text{tot}} \sim 100$ km s$^{-1}$, as found from the PSF fitting (Sect. 3.5), which corresponds to an intrinsic line broadening ($\sigma_P_{\text{Ne}}$ of 40 km s$^{-1}$), considering the value of the MUSE LSF ($\sigma_{\text{MUSE LSF}}$). Finally, random Gaussian noise was added to a level typical of the fit-residual noise (rN) found in the central pointing.

3.4. PNe candidate validation

When we had our initial 1D+2D fits, we were able to further filter objects that did not show typical PNe characteristics. They include detections that are inconsistent with unresolved sources, in which our fit results might either be biased by broader ionised-gas emission or have a fair chance of being the consequence of a false-positive detection.

\(\sigma_{\text{tot}}\) (Sect. 3.5) is estimated. After the values of \(\sigma_{\text{tot}}\) and the PSF were determined, we held \(\sigma_{\text{tot}}, \alpha,\) and \(\beta\) fixed and allowed the remaining parameters to vary.

Figure 3 illustrates the working of our 1D+2D-fitting in the case of a PN source (61) in the central region of FCC 219 (Fig. 2). In particular, by imposing a PSF behaviour on the intensity of the model profile for the [O III] doublet at each spaxel position around the candidate PN, our approach automatically checks the unresolved nature of the emission-line source while minimising any bias on the recovered parameters that might be introduced by regions with little or no [O III] flux. Furthermore, by also considering the spectral component of the data, this technique allows us to isolate PNe that are embedded in diffuse ionised-gas components, as well as to distinguish two blended PNe sources with different kinematics (see e.g. Pastorello et al. 2013). Because PNe are ubiquitous, this method finally also offers a way to measure the PSF when galaxies are targeted with IFS observations.
Fig. 4. Simulation of the detection and retrieval of PSF parameters FWHM and \( \beta \), and determination of the accuracy of the model fits for the total flux of a source. The blue points show the individual simulation results, and the red points show the median values, binned in \( A/rN \).

Top row, first panel: delta \([\text{O} \text{III}]\) flux. Top row, second panel: delta \( M_{5007} \). Top row, third panel: delta FWHM. Top row, fourth panel: delta \( \beta \). Top row, fifth panel: delta radial velocity derived from wavelength position. Top row, sixth panel: delta velocity dispersion of the \([\text{O} \text{III}]\) emission lines.

Starting with the most general case (i.e. trying to recover all parameters), Fig. 4 shows not only that the accuracy of the recovered parameters decreases at lower central \( A/rN \) values, but it also highlights parameters that are biased towards the regime of a lower signal-to-noise ratio. These biases appear most pronounced in the PSF parameters, notably below five \( A/rN \), along with the estimation of the \([\text{O} \text{III}]\) emission velocity dispersion \( \sigma_{\text{tot}} \) of the PN. Although these biases have only a limited knock-on effect on the measured total flux, at low \( A/rN \) values, the uncertainties in the absolute \( M_{5007} \) magnitudes quickly reach values that can affect the distance estimates (e.g. a 0.2 mag error implies a 10% error on any attempted distance estimates based on the PNLF).

As mentioned above, whereas Fig. 4 has some relevance for our PSF determination (see Sect. 3.5), we typically measured our PNe candidate sources while holding to the best-fit PSF parameters and value of \( \sigma \) derived from bright PNe or stars in the field. Under these conditions, the behaviour of our 1D+2D-fitting approach is shown by Fig. 5, by which we can conclude that above a central \( A/rN = 3 \), the recovered absolute magnitude values are essentially unbiased and accurate to 0.1 magnitude or below.

To complement these idealised simulations, we also assessed the level of false-positive contamination by running our 1D+2D-fitting code at randomly selected locations. In this procedure, we excluded regions with known diffuse ionised-gas emission.
as well as the locations of our candidate PNe sources. Figure 6 shows the distribution for the central A/rN values obtained from fits to noise for FCC 167, indicating that 99% of the amplitude of these false-positive (A_{false poz}) results lie below three times the residual noise (A_{false poz}/rN < 3). The grey lines in Fig. 6 illustrate the results of fitting sources that lie closer to the central masked regions of the galaxy because of the higher complexity and density of stellar light. Here, template mismatch produces an erroneous [O III] signal, that is, increased background levels, which causes the fitter to mistake spectral noise for [O III] emission lines. This then produces higher A_{false poz}/rN values, with a greater fraction of A_{false poz}/rN above our imposed cut-off of three times the residual noise.

In summary, we validated our PNe candidate sources using standard χ² statistics to verify the quality of our 1D+2D fits and considered only objects where the central A/rN > 3. We excluded regions with diffuse ionised-gas emission or in which template mismatch can lead to a false-positive detection with the central A/rN above this threshold.

3.5. PSF determination

An accurate knowledge of the PSF is key to our PNe flux measurements. A Moffat (1969) profile (Eq. (2)) generally describes the PSF of astronomical observations well, including those obtained with MUSE (Bacon et al. 2010).

To measure the PSF from our MUSE data, we relied either on foreground stars in the FOV of our MUSE pointing or on the PNe sources themselves when no star was available. For these two situations, we modified on the one hand our 1D+2D-fitting code, which allowed us to ignore the spectral direction and thus fit the flux distribution of a star, and on the other hand, we implemented the option to fit several PNe sources at the same time. In the latter case we used the same PSF parameters α and β and a common intrinsic σ_{psf} for the different sources, while we individually optimised only for F_{[OIII]}, ν, σ_0, y_0 and for the two continuum-shape nuisance parameters: gradient and background level. Typically, we found that it was enough to consider up to ten of the better detected PNe during this process These PNe had central A/rN values of at least eight, as estimated from an initial 1D+2D-fit with all parameters free to achieve a satisfactory estimate for the PSF. When the PSF from foreground stars was constrained, we also allowed for a constant flux background from the host galaxy.

To illustrate the accuracy with which the PSF is measured using PNe sources, we show in Fig. 7 the surface-brightness profile for the foreground southwestern star in the FOV of the central pointing of FCC 219 and the associated star that fits a Moffat model best. We note that it compares rather well to the best-fitting Moffat profile as extracted from PNe. This is further quantified in Table 1, where we compare our PSF estimate with the one provided by the MUSE cube, as determined on the basis of a fit to the galaxy itself. Typical errors in the PSF parameters translate into total PSF flux uncertainties of less than 9%. In particular, with this accuracy for the PSF, we are able to set a limit of the potential systematic error on our PNe magnitude estimates smaller than 0.1 mag. To conclude, we note that even when a star was present, we ran our simultaneous PNe procedure in order to constrain the typical σ_{psf} of the PNe in the target galaxy.

3.6. Literature comparison

To date, the most comprehensive PNe cataloguing for FCC 167 and FCC 219 was compiled by Feldmeier et al. (2007) and McMillan et al. (1993), respectively. The PNe detection method used in these surveys is the “on-off” photometry because these studies find PNe mostly in the galaxy outskirts. We are however, able to match a select few sources located towards the edges of our central observations as well as in the outer pointings of each galaxy.
Within the central and disk pointings of FCC 167, we matched 21 PNe with the records of Feldmeier et al. (2007), the majority of which are located outside of our central pointing. From comparing their catalogue, we conclude that we did not miss any PNe within our FOV. After comparing the measured magnitudes, we find a linear agreement that we show in Fig. 8, according to the value of the intrinsic distance modulus for FCC 167: $31 \pm 0.15$ (16.1 Mpc).

For FCC 219, McMillan et al. (1993) reported nine PNe sources within the regions we mapped. One of their sources is excluded from our catalogue because it was filtered out. Figure 9 shows the scatter of McMillan’s $m_{5007}$ values versus those presented here. We also note that McMillan et al. (1993) reported a distance modulus of $31.15^{+0.11}_{-0.15}$ (17.0 Mpc), which is $\sim$2 Mpc closer than our distance estimation.

Table 2 contains the object IDs of the matched PNe for FCC 167 and FCC 219 with their respective $m_{5007}$ from our measurements and those catalogued in Feldmeier et al. (2007) and McMillan et al. (1993), respectively. We applied a separation limit of 3.6 arcsec for matching sources. However, within

![Fig. 8. Comparison of the $m_{5007}$ of PNe detected within FCC 167 (x-axis), with those of Feldmeier et al. (2007) sample (y-axis). We match 21 sources that were found in the central (orange) and middle (blue) pointings of the F3D FCC 167 observations. We find the comparisons to be consistent, but there is a systematic offset (dashed black line) in values, where our measured $m_{5007}$ values are $\sim$0.45 mag fainter than those of Feldmeier et al. (2007).](image1)

![Fig. 9. Comparison of PNe detected in FCC 219 as detected here that match those reported by McMillan et al. (1993).](image2)


FCC 167, we had to account for a $-0.4$ to $-0.8$ arcsec shift in declination coordinates, which may arise from a minor inaccuracy in the header information.

**4. Spectral catalogue**

After selecting a robust set of PNe candidate sources, we proceeded to further characterise their spectral properties. Some of these unresolved [O III] sources may still originate from objects other than PNe. Typical PNe spectra are dominated by strong [O III] lines and little emission from other atomic species. Strong H$\alpha$ emission, on the other hand, might signal unresolved HII regions, whereas the additional presence of significant [N II] or [S II] emission might be indicative of a supernova remnant (SNR). A more comprehensive emission line fit might also contain information on the amount of extinction (through the Balmer decrement) and therefore lead to de-reddened absolute $M_{5007}$ magnitude values for our target PNe, which can fall below the PNLF cut-off value ($M_{5007}^* = -4.53$, Ciardullo 2012).

To make full use of the MUSE spectral range, we extracted a PSF-weighted MUSE aperture spectrum from the original MUSE cube at the location of our sources for each of our confirmed candidate PNe sources. We then fit each of these aperture spectra using GandALF, keeping to the local stellar kinematics as derived in Sect. 3.1 and imposing the same profile on all emission lines. In particular, we fixed the width of all lines according to the value of the intrinsic $\sigma$ derived in Sect. 3.5.

Figures 10 and 11 show two examples of these GandALF fits, one for the typical spectrum of a PNe source, and the other showing an example of a potential SNR impostor (Sect. 4.2). From these fits we obtained the fitted flux of H$\beta$, [O III] 5007, [NII] 6583, H$\alpha$, and of the [S II] 6716,6731 doublet, together with their corresponding A/eN values. We report a good agreement between the [O III] flux values with our 1D+2D fitting method from fits to these apertures. The values differed by less than 10%.

**4.1. PNe candidate interlopers**

We attempted to identify interlopers by determining whether the velocity of each PN candidate velocity, measured by the [O III] lines, was consistent with having been drawn from the local stellar line-of-sight velocity distribution (LOSVD). In Fig. 12 we plot the distribution for the ratio between the $\Delta$ values in the PNe candidate and local stellar velocity ($\Delta V = V_{PN} - V_{stars}$) and the local stellar velocity dispersion ($\sigma_{V_{stars}}$). To first
approximation, without accounting for higher-order moments of the LOSVD, we indeed expect this $\Delta V/\sigma_{\text{stars}}$ ratio to follow a Gaussian distribution for PNe candidates that belong to the galaxy. Any object with $|\Delta V/\sigma_{\text{stars}}| > 3$ is very likely an interloper. One PNe is identified as interloping within FCC 167, and is labelled as such in Table 4. We find no interloping PNe for FCC 219. For FCC 219, we plot the distribution of $|\Delta V/\sigma_{\text{stars}}|$ (see Fig. 13). The distribution of the PNe and their respective velocities lies within the measured velocity distribution range reported in Iodice et al. (2019b) for both galaxies.

### 4.2. PNe impostors

It would be reasonable to assume that most of the unresolved point sources in an early-type galaxy that are detected through their [O III] emission are PNe. However, when we consider the spatial scales covered by one PSF FWHM (~80 pc), we need to verify again that the population of PN that we discover is examined for obvious contamination sources, which primarily are unresolved H II regions and SNRs. Previous studies of PNe through on-off band photometry have used band filters (~30–60 Å wide) designed to isolate the emission of [O III] lines. This would allow for the potential of contamination sources other than those just mentioned, including high-redshift ($z \sim 3.1$) Ly$\alpha$ emitting galaxies and background galaxies emitting [O II] 3727 Å ($z \sim 0.34$).

However, with IFU data, we can resolve the two components of the [O III] emission (4959 Å and 5007 Å). This advantage is helpful in detecting and separating the PNe from impostors. These are sources with only one emission line that are fitted with a dual-peak model and produce a $\chi^2$ greater than if the source were a PNe with both emission lines. We therefore relied on the filtering methods discussed here to exclude objects such as Ly$\alpha$ galaxies or [O II] background galaxies before the contamination checks. This process was assessed on sources that were identified as single emission peak sources, and it was found to filter such objects out within the fitting and filtering steps.

To address the two other sources of survey contamination, other diagnostic emission lines such as H$\beta$, H$\alpha$, [N II], and [S II] must be considered and compared to the emission of [O III]. We followed the method of Kreckel et al. (2017) and used the ratio of [O III] to H$\alpha$ as a primary identifier between PNe sources and compact unresolved H II regions (Eq. (7), see also Ciardullo et al. 2002; Herrmann et al. 2008; Davis et al. 2018). This comparison stems from the fact that the intensity of [O III] in PN sources is greater than H$\alpha$.

To identify an SNR, we relied on the initial works of Riesgo & López (2006) and more recently, Kreckel et al. (2017). One key difference in the emission line analysis of SNR compared to H II regions is that the [S II]-to-H$\alpha$ ratio is higher in SNR than in compact H II regions. SNR have been shown to
exhibit similar ratios of [O III] to Hα as PNe (Davis et al. 2018) and therefore require their own classifier for identification purposes. Following previous survey methods, we applied a threshold for the ratio of [S II] to Hα, where a source has to exhibit [S II]/Hα > 0.3 to be considered an SNR (Blair & Long 2004). The limiting factor in this approach is that we first have to detect [S II] emission with a signal-to-noise ratio of three. This detection is not always possible, however. In these cases, we evaluated an upper value of the emission line ratio when the lines were above a signal-to-noise ratio of three.

We present the results of our contamination analysis in Figs. 14 and 15. Excluded objects are catalogued and given an appropriate ID type in Tables 4 and 5. The top panel of Fig. 14 shows the flux ratio of [O III] and Hα+[N II], plotted against $m_{5007}$, along with the limits set out in Eq. (7) (Ciardullo et al. 2002).

$$4 < \log_{10} \left( \frac{F([\text{O III}])}{F(\text{H}\alpha + [\text{N II}])} \right) < -0.37M_{5007} - 1.16.$$  

Here, we find a number of sources below the cone region, highlighting sources with a higher-than-expected abundance of Hα+[N II] in comparison to [O III] for a given $m_{5007}$. The data points are colour-coordinated with respect to the PN A$_{\text{Hk}}$/rN level. Sources with detected [S II] emission with a signal-to-noise ratio higher than 2.5 of the residual noise are highlighted by a circle. Only one [O III] emitting source, detected within FCC 167, is found to emit [S II] above this threshold. The lower panel of Fig. 14 presents the second impostor check. This panel has a few juxtaposed regions that help identify where certain sources would appear based on the ratios of various emission lines. For FCC 167, we identified five objects that were excluded: four highly likely potential SNRs, and one object that probably is a compact H II region. We ran the same impostor checks on FCC 219, with the results displayed in Fig. 15, but found no sources with an [S II] signal above 2.5 times the background. We find seven objects that were excluded: three highly likely SNRs, and four likely H II regions objects. We also note that the lower panel of Fig. 15 contains objects within the H II area that are not below the defining limit of the upper panel. These are probably PN because PNe tend to overlap in a region where several PNe have been observed with greater Hα emissions than the main population of PNe.

We are confident that we reliably excluded impostor sources without confusing background diffuse emission with that originating from the unresolved point source. This is aided by imposing the same line profile width, as fitted from [O III], to the other fitted emission lines. This certifies that we do not report line strengths from background diffuse ionised gas. The line profiles of background emissions like this would appear wider than those originating from unresolved point sources moving with the stars. Fig. 11 displays our GandALF fit for the brightest of our SNR sources. There, we highlight a few regions of particular interest, which are the regions around the [O III] 4956 5007 ÅÅ (bottom left), Hα 6563 Å, and [N II] 6548 6583 ÅÅ [S II] 6716 6731 ÅÅ (bottom right) nebular emission lines.

5. Results and discussion

5.1. Results for PNe

Within the central region of FCC 167, we catalogue 91 [O III] emitting sources, labelled PNe. Table 4 summarises the outcome
from our filtering procedure. It presents our catalogue of the PNe sources, which are also plotted in Fig. 1, highlighted with black circles, with the over-luminous source that is highlighted by a black square icon. The PNe we found to match those reported in Feldmeier et al. (2007) are highlighted by a blue square. All PNe were labelled with their identifying number. In addition, the table contains their RA and Dec (J2000), apparent magnitude in [O III] (m5007), and A/rN.

Of the detected [O III] emitting sources within the FOV of FCC 167, one source appears over-luminous by 0.4 mag with respect to the predicted cut-off of the PNLF. This is expected, and sources like this have previously been reported (Jacoby et al. 1996; Longobardi et al. 2013). A few scenarios have been put forward to account for over-luminous sources. One possibility would be a chance superposition of a number of PNe. Another statistically more favoured possibility is that such objects are the product of coalesced binaries. Our emission line filtering did not allow for the safe identification of this particular source, but it indicated that such sources are less likely to be due to an H II region or an SNR. This observation is further supplemented by the fact that FCC 167 is a typical early-type galaxy with an older stellar population, which is expected to be dominated by low-mass stars (∼1 M☉). Within such a population we do not expect to have either very luminous H II regions or frequent SN explosions. Moreover, our spatial resolution spans ∼80 pc, and blending of PNe sources is therefore quite likely.

In the central observation of FCC 219, we catalogue 56 [O III] emitting sources and classify them as PNe. Of the originally detected point sources, five were deemed to be PNe impostors, although no interlopers are present. We note that the three sources classified as SNR are closely grouped together, which...
may mean that the underlying stellar environment was part of a more recent star formation burst, and that the NS may be type II. Figure 2 shows the FOV, and the catalogued sources are highlighted with black circles, and those that matched sources of McMillan et al. (1993) are again highlighted by a blue square. See Table 5 for the properties of the catalogued sources.

5.2. PNLF

The empirical form of the PNLF (as introduced by Ciardullo et al. 1989, Eq. (8)) is described by an exponential drop-off at the bright end, with an accompanying exponential tail for the fainter end. It can be approximated with the following functional form:

\[ N(M) \propto e^{-c_1 M_{5007}} \left[ 1 - e^{-3(M_{5007} - M_{5007}^*}) \right] \]

where \( M_{5007} \) is the absolute magnitude of the detected PN, \( M_{5007}^* \) is the cut-off absolute magnitude of the brightest PNe, originally calibrated to \(-4.48\), from observations of the M31 PNe population, assuming a Cepheid distance of 710 kpc (Ciardullo et al. 1989). The \( c_1 \) parameter details the behaviour of the function tail and was derived from the model of an expanding ionised [OIII] spherical shell \((c_1 = 0.307; Henize & Westerlund 1963)\). More recently, Ciardullo (2012) explored the PNLF zero-point \( M_{5007}^* \), calibrating its value with galaxies that already had distance estimates from Cepheids and/or the method called tip of the red giant branch (TRGB). He reported an agreement between these two distance estimators with \( M_{5007}^* = -4.53 \), but also failed to find evidence for a metallicity dependence on \( M_{5007}^* \). Gesicki et al. (2018) further explored the effect of star formation history and stellar population age on the bright cut-off of the PNLF of a detected PNe population. Together with the recent work of Valenzuela et al. (2019), these studies finally overcome the initial difficulties of obtaining \( M_{5007}^* \) PNe in old stellar populations with mostly solar-mass star progenitors (e.g. Marigo et al. 2004).

Another area of particular interest is the faint end of the PNLF. Observations of Local Group galaxies, including the Large and Small Magellanic Clouds, have so far yielded the exploration of the faint end of the PNLF because such surveys would cover a greater magnitude range than galaxies beyond \( \sim 10\) Mpc. Surveys such as ours are limited to the bright end of the distribution, exploring \(-1\) – \(-2\) mag down from the cut-off. It has been demonstrated within M31 by exploring \(-5\) – \(-6\) mag from the cut-off that the fainter end does increase in number (Bhattacharya et al. 2019b). The authors commented that this might be attributed to an older stellar population, while the bright end is dominated by a younger stellar population, formed \(2\) – \(4\) Gyr ago. For our investigation it is therefore imperative to understand and account for incompleteness, which underlies our conclusions about the observed PNLF.

To construct our PNLF, we resampled our PNe into \(0.2\) mag bins and estimated a distance modulus by assuming that the brightest source of our sample is located at the bright cut-off, \( M_{5007} = -4.53 \) (taking the second brightest object in FCC 167, see below). With this distance modulus, we shifted the PNLF form predicted by Ciardullo et al. (1989) so that it can be directly compared to our observed PNLF. This is clearly an incomplete comparison because fainter objects are expected to be lost to the noise of our spectra from either the sky background or the stellar continuum. Furthermore, closer to the centre of the galaxy, even the brightest PNe may become undetectable, and ionised gas might occasionally complicate things further.

Within the region we considered to construct our PNLF (i.e. excluding masked regions), we defined the detection completeness at any given \( m_{5007} \) magnitude as the fraction of stellar light contained in the area where a PNe of that magnitude can be detected, similar to Sarzi et al. (2011) and Pastorello et al. (2013). According to our PNe detection criteria, this area includes the MUSE spaxels where the PNe peak spectral amplitude for a PNe of \( m_{5007} \) magnitude would exceed three times the local spectral residual noise level \((\sigma_N)\) from our residual datacubes.

After computing the completeness level as a function of \( m_{5007} \), we used this function to produce an incompleteness-corrected Ciardullo et al. (1989) form of the PNLF. This was then rescaled so that its integral matched the total number of PNe in the observed PNLF.

This correction process draws on the assumption that the observed PNe are indeed drawn from the Ciardullo et al. (1989) form of the PNLF. That this incompleteness-corrected model PNLF matches the observed PNLF of FCC 167 and FCC 219, shown in Figs. 16 and 17, respectively, well appears to already validate our assumption. A simple Kolmogorov-Smirnov test yields a \( p\)-value \((0.99\) for FCC 167 and FCC 219, indicating in both cases that we cannot reject the null hypothesis that the observed PNLF is drawn from the incompleteness-corrected Ciardullo et al. (1989) form.

5.3. Distance estimation

From the invariant cut-off in PNe luminosity that is observed in different galaxies and galaxy types, we can derive a distance by converting the apparent magnitude into absolute magnitudes.
through the distance modulus. This distance estimation complements the wide set of other methods, such as the surface brightness fluctuation (SBF), SN type Ia (SNIa), and TRGB and fundamental plane (FP). To use the detected PNe as a distance estimator, a sufficiently large sample is required that has to contain the brightest PNe.

For FCC 167, returning to the over-luminous object, when we assume that the source is at the cut-off for our PNe population ($M'_{5007}$), the resulting distance modulus estimate is 30.9 (15.2 Mpc). This is at odds with our PNLF distance and with previous distance estimates of the Fornax cluster as a whole. The cluster distance is estimated to lie between 17–22 Mpc (Ferrarese et al. 2000; Blakeslee et al. 2009; Tully et al. 2016). Another discrepancy introduced by including this overly bright source is an apparent lack of intermediate bright PNe. The over-luminous source $m_{5007}$ is distinctly 0.4 mag brighter than the rest of the population, and no intermediate PN are detected. For these reasons, we decided to omit this source from our catalogue and further analysis.

Assuming that the brightest PN of our filtered sample resides at the bright cut-off for the PNLF, we find a distance modulus of $31.24 \pm 0.11$ ($D_{PNLF} = 17.68 \pm 0.91$ Mpc). This value agrees with Tully et al. (2016) and Ferrarese et al. (2000), who reported values of $31.35 \pm 0.24$ from SNIa, SBF, and FP measurements, and $31.37 \pm 0.20$ from SBF and globular cluster LF, respectively. If, on the other hand, we were to evaluate the 91 PNe candidates at a pre-determined distance of $21.2$ Mpc ($31.63 \pm 0.08$) (Blakeslee et al. 2009, who determined their distance from SBF measurements in the Sloan $g$-band), the distribution of PNe shifts towards the more luminous end of the PNLF, reaching $M_{5007} \approx -5.0$. This would contradict most if not all previous PN surveys, which found $M'_{5007} \approx -4.5$.

For the central observation of FCC 219, we estimate a distance modulus of $31.42 \pm 0.11$ (19.24 \pm 0.84 Mpc). This agrees within the stated limits with the distance from Tully et al. (2016), $31.37 \pm 0.22$, and also with the measurements reported by Ferrarese et al. (2000), $31.22 \pm 0.12$. We conclude that our results lie within the distance range expected for this cluster, and note that previous studies have found some

![Fig. 16. PNLF for FCC 167 given by the binned values of $m_{5007}$ from the PNe population.](image)

![Fig. 17. PNLF for FCC 219, shown by the binned values of $m_{5007}$ from the catalogued PNe.](image)

5.4. Luminosity-specific PN frequency

As discussed in Sect. 5.2 and shown in Figs. 16 and 17, the observed PNLFs in FCC 167 and FCC 219 are consistent with the Ciardullo et al. (1989) empirical form of the PNLF when this is corrected for incompleteness and rescaled so that its integral matches the total number of PNe we observed. By applying the same normalisation also to the original function, we can similarly integrate it to estimate the total number of PNe in the regions under consideration, $N_{PNLF, \alpha}$, down to some magnitude limit $\Delta M$. Dividing this number by the stellar bolometric luminosity in the same region ($L_{bol}$), we can arrive at the luminosity-specific PN frequency,

$$ \alpha_{\Delta m} = \frac{N_{PNLF, \Delta m}}{L_{bol}} $$

which may depend on the stellar parent population properties (e.g. Buzzoni et al. 2006). In this paper, we rely on the commonly adopted $\alpha_{0.5}$ measurement for the luminosity-specific PN frequency, where $\alpha$ is integrated down to 2.5 mag from the bright-end cut-off point.

To evaluate the bolometric luminosity, we first proceeded to obtain an integrated spectrum of the stellar regions where the presence of PNe was investigated (e.g. within the MUSE FOV, excluding the masked region). We then fit this spectrum with pXSF using the EMILES templates (Vazdekis et al. 2016), using the resulting template weights to reassemble an optimal template for the entire wavelength range of the EMILES templates. Because this is rather extended, we can compare the total flux to that in the SDSS $g$-band to determine a bolometric correction for the $g$-band. We can then apply this to the $g$-band flux observed in our integrated spectra and thence obtain a bolometric luminosity at the distance derived from the PNLF. Table 3 presents $N_{PNLF}$ and $L_{bol}$ that we used to determine $\alpha_{0.5}$.

Our estimates of $\alpha_{2.5}$ are somewhat different for the two galaxies, where the value of FCC 167 is ~1.6 times that of FCC 219. According to Buzzoni et al. (2006), this difference in the specific number of PNe may be related to a difference in metallicity in the parent stellar population. However, in the case of FCC 167 and FCC 219, Iodice et al. (2019b) reported...
rather similar values for the central (within an effective radii, 0.5 R_e) stellar metallicity ([M/H]): 0.09 dex for FCC 167 and 0.14 dex for FCC 219. One potential source of this difference might be the hot gas halo in FCC 219, as demonstrated by X-ray data (e.g. Jones et al. 1997; Murakami et al. 2011). However, FCC 167 does not possess as significant a hot-gas inter-stellar or inter-galactic medium component. The lower N_PNLF value reported for FCC 219 might therefore stem from the ram pressure the PNe gas would experience as it passes through the hot medium. This would naturally act to sweep the PNe gas associated with a central ionising star (Conroy et al. 2015). More effort from a modelling perspective is still needed to elucidate the potential effect that hot X-ray halos might have on the population of PNe (e.g. following Li et al. 2019). Earlier studies of this interaction focused on the Virgo cluster, M87, and found evidence of re-compression of PN shells for PNe closer to the galactic nucleus (Dopita et al. 2000). For the PN that are ejected into the intra-cluster medium, shorter evolutionary times (\tau_{PN}) than previous estimates (Villaver & Stanghellini 2005) were reported.

### 6. Conclusions

We have attempted to achieve a consensus in detecting PNe on the example of the population of PNe in two galaxies (FCC 167 and FCC 219). For this purpose, we developed a novel fitting and detection procedure that is capable of combining the spectral and spatial information contained in our IFU observations. In this, the spatial information is portrayed by a Moffat distribution function. We further demonstrated that it is capable of also successfully accounting for the [O III] spectral emission lines. With our procedure we were either able to fix or to infer the instrumental PSF. Moreover, we ran an extensive set of simulations to constrain its limitation, in particular, concerning our MUSE observations. We illustrated the capabilities of this newly developed procedure by applying it to two galaxies.

The primary outcomes of the analysis carried out on FCC 167 and FCC 219 include the points listed below.

1. We made catalogue of 91 detected [O III] unresolved point sources, characterised here as PNe in origin, within FCC 167 and a catalogue of 56 PNe within FCC 219.
2. Through our modelling techniques, we accurately reproduced the PSF of each pointing after fitting multiple PNe in parallel with the same PSF shape. This improves the accuracy of the reported [O III] flux values.
3. Through simulations of modelling known PNe, we tested and verified the reliability of our results and the accuracy of the parameters we used within the 1D+2D modelling technique. This investigation also highlighted the limitations in A/R that must be factored in when filtering for outliers. We are confident in the results of our method for categorising sources as PNe when measured above A/R of 3.
4. Through emission line ratio diagnostics and by comparing the velocity of the PNe to the background stellar populations, we identified one interloper and five potential impostors within our FCC 167 sample: four SNR, and one compact H II region. For FCC 219, we identified three SNR and four likely compact H II regions, with no evidence of any interloping PNe within the catalogue.
5. We calculated the values for the luminosity-specific PN frequency, \alpha_3.5, for the population of PNe down to 2.5 mag from the bright-end cut-off for FCC 167 and FCC 219: 1.63^{+0.24}_{-0.23} \times 10^{-8} and 1.06^{+0.17}_{-0.16} \times 10^{-8}, respectively.
6. Finally, through the use of the PNLF and the invariant cut-off in brightness, we report distances to the host galaxies for the PNe: 17.68 \pm 0.91 Mpc for FCC 167, and 19.24 \pm 0.84 Mpc for FCC 219. The two distance estimates agree with the literature and are consistent with other methods that used SBFs and SNIa measurements. They also agree within the limits with the distance to the Fornax cluster (17-22 Mpc).

Moving forward, we wish to explore the remaining bright early-type galaxy population within the Fornax 3D survey. We will catalogue the positions, magnitudes, and emission line ratios of their PNe populations within the central regions. When the catalogue of early-type galaxies has been evaluated, we will compare distance estimates from the PNLF with other current methods. The primary scientific aim of this project will consist of comparing the \alpha_3.5 value of each galaxy with their relative galactic properties: UV excess, metallicity, and other such properties that might affect stellar evolution and hence PNe formation.
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Table 3. Galaxy, number of PNe according to PNLF, total bolometric luminosity, and \alpha_3.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Galaxy</th>
<th>N_PNLF, 2.5</th>
<th>L_Bol (10^5 L_⊙)</th>
<th>\alpha_3.5 (10^{-8} N_{PN} L_{bol}^{-2.5})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FCC 167</td>
<td>277 ± 29</td>
<td>16.99^{+1.32}_{-1.31}</td>
<td>1.63^{+0.24}_{-0.23}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCC 219</td>
<td>287 ± 38</td>
<td>27.13^{+3.29}_{-2.21}</td>
<td>1.06^{+0.17}_{-0.16}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>