BMJ Open Rehabilitative management of back pain in children: protocol for a mixed studies systematic review

Carol Cancelliere , 1,2 Jessica J Wong, 1,2,3,4 Hainan Yu, 1,2,4 Silvano Mior , 2,4 Ginny Brunton, 1,5,6 Heather M Shearer, 1,2,7 David Rudoler, Lise Hestbæk, 8,9 Efrosini Papaconstantinou, 1 Christine Cedraschi, 10,11 Michael Swain, 12 Gaelan Connell, 1,2,13 Leslie Verville, 1,2 Anne Taylor-Vaisey, 2,4 Pierre Côté 1,2,3,7

To cite: Cancelliere C. Wong JJ. Yu H. et al. Rehabilitative management of back pain in children: protocol for a mixed studies systematic review. BMJ Open 2020;10:e038534. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2020-038534

Prepublication history and additional materials for this paper is available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi. org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-038534).

Received 13 March 2020 Revised 03 September 2020 Accepted 08 September 2020



@ Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2020. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Carol Cancelliere; carolina.cancelliere@ ontariotechu.ca

ABSTRACT

Introduction Little is known about effective, efficient and acceptable management of back pain in children. A comprehensive and updated evidence synthesis can help to inform clinical practice.

Objective To inform clinical practice, we aim to conduct a systematic review of the literature and synthesise the evidence regarding effective, cost-effective and safe rehabilitation interventions for children with back pain to improve their functioning and other health outcomes. Methods and analysis We will search MEDLINE, Embase, PsycINFO, CINAHL, the Index to Chiropractic Literature, the Cochrane Controlled Register of Trials and EconLit for primary studies published from inception in all languages. We will include quantitative studies (randomised controlled trials, cohort and case-control studies), qualitative studies. mixed-methods studies and full economic evaluations. To augment our search of the bibliographic electronic databases, we will search reference lists of included studies and relevant systematic reviews, the WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform and consult with content experts. We will assess the risk of bias using appropriate critical appraisal tools. We will extract data about study and participant characteristics, intervention type and comparators, context and setting, outcomes, themes and methodological quality assessment. We will use a sequential approach at the review level to integrate data from the quantitative, qualitative and economic evidence syntheses.

Ethics and dissemination Ethics approval is not required. We will disseminate findings through activities, including (1) presentations in national and international conferences; (2) meetings with national and international decision makers; (3) publications in peer-reviewed journals and (4) posts on organisational websites and social media. PROSPERO registration number CRD42019135009.

INTRODUCTION **Rationale**

A significant proportion of children over 10 years of age suffer from back pain. 1-5 The prevalence of back pain in children ranges between 4% and 74%; the wide range is due to heterogeneous populations studied, outcome measurements and methodologies

Strengths and limitations of this study

- ► A systematic review integrating quantitative, qualitative and economic evidence to examine the rehabilitative management of back pain in children.
- Includes studies with a broad range of rehabilitation interventions as described by the WHO, and outcomes as described by the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health framework.
- ▶ Implements the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocols
- There is no language restriction in articles.
- Our search strategies, while comprehensive, may miss relevant studies.

used. 67 Data from the WHO Global Burden of Disease study in 2017 shows that low back pain is the leading cause of global years lived with disability.⁸ Back pain begins early in life with physical, mental and social consequences (eg, impact on school-related and sporting activities, general physical activity and wellbeing) that extend into adulthood. 9-11 Most episodes of spinal pain are brief; however, in a 3-year prospective cohort study of 1465 school children in Denmark, up to 25% of children had three or more episodes over 1 year, and approximately 13% of children reported episodes lasting 5 or more weeks. 12

Two recent systematic reviews assessed the effectiveness of manual therapy to treat a number of conditions including back pain in children, but low-quality evidence precludes drawing conclusions. ¹³ ¹⁴ A previous systematic review and meta-analysis which evaluated the effectiveness of conservative interventions for low back pain in children under 18 years of age reported that exercise interventions may be promising for improving pain scores in children compared with no



treatment; however, the evidence was very limited and of low-quality. This evidence also needs updating. Additionally, to our knowledge, no integrative systematic review—one that incorporates both quantitative and qualitative studies—has been conducted regarding the rehabilitative management of back pain in children. Compared with traditional systematic reviews of quantitative studies, combining evidence of the effectiveness and efficiency of interventions with qualitative understanding from people's lived experiences can better inform clinical practice guidelines and policy. ¹⁶

This comprehensive knowledge synthesis can inform clinical practice for decision makers involved with caring for children with back pain including healthcare professionals in a variety of clinical, rehabilitation or community settings (eg, physicians, nurses, physiotherapists, chiropractors, psychologists, occupational therapists, registered massage therapists). Moreover, the knowledge gaps that we identify can inform future research agendas.

Objectives

To support clinical practice for children with back pain, we aim to conduct an integrative systematic review of quantitative, qualitative and economic evidence regarding the rehabilitative management of back pain (including midback and low back pain) in children aged 19 years and under. Our review will address the following questions:

- 1. What is the effectiveness and safety of rehabilitation interventions for improving functioning and other health outcomes in children with back pain?
- 2. What are the patients', caregivers' and providers' experiences, preferences, expectations and valued outcomes regarding rehabilitation interventions for back pain?
- 3. What is the cost–effectiveness of rehabilitation interventions for improving functioning and other health outcomes in children with back pain?
- 4. What can be hypothesised from the integration of the quantitative, qualitative and economic evidence about the effectiveness, cost–effectiveness and safety of rehabilitation interventions for low back pain in children?

We are targeting decision makers (clinicians, health managers/administrators, policy makers, patients and caregivers) involved in implementing, delivering or receiving rehabilitation interventions or programmes of care. We aim to provide them with knowledge regarding effective, acceptable and positively experienced interventions for children with back pain and their caregivers.

METHODS

We developed this systematic review protocol using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses for Protocols (PRISMA-P)¹⁷ (see online supplemental additional file 1) and using methods already reported in detail elsewhere.¹⁸ We registered our protocol on the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO).¹⁹ We will report our

systematic review according to the PRISMA statement,²⁰ and the Enhancing Transparency in Reporting the Synthesis of Qualitative Research reporting guideline.²¹

Eligibility criteria

Population

We will target studies including children (aged 19 years or younger)²² with non-specific low back or thoracic spine pain of any duration and severity. We define low back pain as pain and discomfort below the costal margin and above the inferior gluteal folds, with or without radiculopathy (referred leg pain).²³ Radiculopathy refers to inflammation, injury/dysfunction or compression of spinal nerve roots that can present as pain, weakness or altered sensation in a myotomal or dermatomal distribution. Lumbar radiculopathy is commonly attributed to lumbar disc herniation (localised displacement of disc material beyond the normal margins of the intervertebral disc space).²⁴ We define thoracic spine pain as pain within the region bounded superiorly by the first thoracic spinous process, inferiorly by the last thoracic spinous process and laterally by the most lateral margins of the erector spinae muscles.²⁵ We will include studies investigating diagnoses including low back pain, mid-back pain, mechanical back pain, lumbago, lumbar sprain or strain, back sprain or strain, lumbopelvic pain, lumbar radiculopathy, lumbar disc herniation, sacroiliac syndrome, sciatica, dysplastic or isthmic spondylolisthesis or spondylolysis, musculoskeletal or non-specific chest wall pain (pain referred to the chest wall from the thoracic spine).

We will exclude studies of children with back pain attributed to major structural or systemic pathology (eg, fracture, acute traumatic or pathological spondylolisthesis or spondylolysis, infection, tumour, osteoporosis, inflammatory arthritides, cauda equina syndrome, neuromuscular disease, myelopathy and scoliosis); (2) studies of children with back pain attributed to a nonspine-related condition that might refer pain to the chest wall (eg, heart, lung or oesophagus conditions) and (3) studies that target asymptomatic children at baseline and assess interventions that aim to prevent the incidence of back pain.

Intervention

We will include studies that investigate the effectiveness and safety of rehabilitation interventions or programmes of care for children with back pain, including education and self-management strategies, exercise, manual therapies, passive physical modalities, acupuncture, pharmacological interventions, psychological interventions, environmental modifications, assistive devices and complementary therapies. Interventions may be delivered in any manner such as in-person, or remotely using technology such as telehealth. The WHO defines rehabilitation as a set of interventions that assist individuals who experience, or are likely to experience, disability to achieve and maintain optimal functioning when interacting with their environments (as described in detail

previously). 18 26 Rehabilitation interventions include rehabilitation medicine/therapy, which aims to: (1) improve function through the diagnosis and treatment of health conditions, reducing impairments, preventing or treating complications and (2) restore and compensate the loss of functioning, and prevent or slow deterioration in functioning in every area of a person's life.²⁶ It may also include assistive devices, which refers to any item, piece of equipment or product used to increase, maintain or improve functional capabilities.²⁶ Various healthcare providers may provide interventions including, but not limited to, general practitioners, nurses, physiotherapists, chiropractors, occupational therapists, psychologists and registered massage therapists (table 1). 18 We will exclude studies assessing surgical interventions, and interventions solely conducted at the societal level, such as barrier removal initiatives (eg, fitting a ramp to a public building).

Comparison

The quantitative component of this review will consider comparisons, including other interventions, placebo or sham interventions, wait list, standard care and no intervention.

Outcomes

Our primary outcome of interest is a child's functioning. Secondary health outcomes of interest are pain (eg, pain intensity, frequency or duration), psychological outcomes (eg, anxiety and depression), health-related quality of life, adverse events, qualitative outcomes and economic outcomes (table 2). We are interested in both short-term (<3 months) and long-term (≥3 months) outcomes. We selected these outcomes because they are important to children, their caregivers and decision makers and they are reflected in the WHO's framework for health and disability (International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF)) (as described in detail previously). 18 27 The ICF provides a standard language and framework for the description of health and health-related states, and organises information into two components—'body functions and body structures' and 'activities and participation'. 27 Our primary outcome of interest, functioning, aligns with the 'activities and participation' component of the ICF. Examples of activities include walking, running, jumping and lifting. Participation refers to involvement in life situations such as with one's family, school and community. Common methods to measure functioning include the Modified Oswestry Low Back Pain Disability Questionnaire, ²⁸ Roland Morris Disability Questionnaire,²⁹ return to school and participation in sports or other recreational activities. Pain and psychological outcomes fit within the 'body functions and body structures' component of the ICF. Common methods to measure pain include the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS), ³⁰ Numerical Rating Scale (NRS), ³¹ and Faces Pain Scale—Revised. 32 33 Common methods to measure psychological outcomes (eg, anxiety and depression) include

Revised Child Anxiety and Depression Scale³⁴ and State-Trait Anxiety Inventory for Children. 35 We will also assess health-related quality of life, which is not definable in the ICF framework. 36 It is commonly measured with the KIDSCREEN-52,³⁷ Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory³⁸ and Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System Pediatric Self Report Scale.³⁹ We defined adverse events or harms as any unfavourable sign, symptom, or disease temporarily associated with the treatment, whether or not caused by the treatment. 40 41 We will consider indirect harms (where the use of an intervention delays a diagnosis or treatment, and such delay holds a potential harm), 42 number of adverse events, severity of adverse events (ie, mild, moderate or severe) and number of participant withdrawals from the study due to adverse events. *Qualitative outcomes* include the experiences, preferences, expectations and valued outcomes (of children, caregivers and providers). Lastly, economic outcomes include direct costs (eg, resources saved by an intervention), indirect costs (eg, time freed by an intervention), economic health outcomes (eg, quality-adjusted life-year, incremental cost-effectiveness ratio, net monetary benefit) and intangible outcomes (eg, pain or suffering saved by an intervention).

Types of studies

We will include randomised controlled trials of any type (eg, superiority, non-inferiority and equivalence), cohort studies, case–control studies and mixed-methods studies (quantitative component) including any secondary analyses of eligible studies for question 1 (effectiveness and safety of interventions); qualitative and mixed-methods studies (qualitative component) for question 2 (users' experiences, preferences, expectations and valued outcomes of interventions) and trial-based and model-based full economic evaluations for question 3 (cost–effectiveness of interventions) (table 2).

We will exclude the following types of studies: cross-sectional studies, pilot studies assessing feasibility, protocol studies, case reports, case series, studies assessing only prevention of back pain and incidence outcomes, systematic reviews (although their reference lists will be searched for potentially relevant studies) and other review papers, clinical practice guidelines, biomechanical studies, laboratory studies, cadaveric or animal studies, conceptual papers, letters, editorials, commentaries, books and book chapters, conference proceedings, meeting abstracts, lectures and addresses, consensus development statements, guideline statements and studies reviewing solely partial economic evaluations (eg, cost of illness studies).

Context and setting

We will consider rehabilitation interventions/programmes of care delivered in any healthcare system within an urban or rural area and in any healthcare setting (eg, acute care, hospital, primary healthcare, rehabilitation clinics), or in the community (as described in detail previously). ¹⁸ Community-based rehabilitation is implemented through

Table 1 Examples	of rehabilitation interventions	
Intervention	Definition	Examples
Patient or caregiver education and self-management strategies (structured or unstructured)	Teaching patients skills that they can use to manage their health condition	 Learning disease-specific information Learning general managing skills (eg, problem-solving, finding and using community resources, working with healthcare team) Learning strategies to increase confidence (ie, self-efficacy) in ability to engage in behaviours that are needed to manage their condition on a daily basis Adequate peer role models and support networks that facilitate the initiation and maintenance of desired behavioural changes
Exercise	A subcategory of physical activity that is planned, structured, repetitive and purposeful; can be supervised (eg, by a healthcare professional) or unsupervised	 ➤ Stretching ➤ Strengthening ➤ Range of motion exercises ➤ Aerobic (eg, swimming, cycling, walking, running) ➤ Anaerobic (eg, jumping, sprinting, weight lifting) ➤ Yoga, Qigong
Manual therapies	 Manipulation: Techniques incorporating a high-velocity low-amplitude impulse or thrust applied at or near the end of a joint's passive range of motion Mobilisation: Techniques incorporating a low-velocity and small or large amplitude oscillatory movement, within a joint's passive range of motion Traction: Manual or mechanically assisted application of an intermittent or continuous distractive force Soft tissue therapy: A mechanical form of therapy where soft-tissue structures are pressed and kneaded, using physical contact with the hand or mechanical device 	 Lumbar manipulation, mobilisation or traction Massage Muscle energy technique Strain-counterstrain
Passive physical modalities	A form of cold, heat or light application affecting the body at the skin level or ultrasonic or electromagnetic radiation affecting structures beneath the skin surface: ▶ Passive assistive devices: Device to encourage immobilisation in anatomic positions or actively inhibit or prevent movement	 ▶ Heat application: heat pack, hydrotherapy ▶ Cryotherapy: cold pack, vapocoolant spray ▶ Low-level laser ▶ Electrical muscle stimulation ▶ Pulsed electromagnetic therapy
Acupuncture	Any body-needling, moxibustion, electric acupuncture, laser acupuncture, microsystem acupuncture and acupressure	 Traditional needling Dry needling Burning of specific herbs Electro-acupuncture Photo-acupuncture
Pharmacological interventions	A substance used in treating disease or relieving pain	 ▶ Acetaminophen ▶ Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs ▶ Muscle relaxants ▶ Antidepressants



Table 1 Continued	I	
Intervention	Definition	Examples
Psychological	Activities used to modify behaviour, emotional state or	Cognitive behavioural therapy
interventions	feelings	► Counselling
		 Social network and environment-based therapies
		► Psychoeducational interventions
		► Mindfulness meditation
Modifications to environment		► Ergonomic interventions at school or work
Assistive devices	Any item, piece of equipment or product system, used to increase, maintain or improve the functional capabilities of people with disabilities	► Walking aids
		► Orthoses
	capabilities of people with disabilities	► Braces
		► Wheelchairs
Complementary	Medical products and practices that are not part of	► Homeopathy
therapies	standard medical care	► Traditional Chinese Medicine
		Naturopathy
		Products (eg, herbs, dietary supplements, probiotics)

the combined efforts of individuals with disabilities, their families and communities and relevant government and non-government health, education, social and other services (eg, advocacy programme).⁴³

Information sources

We will develop the initial search strategy in MEDLINE, in consultation with an experienced health sciences librarian. A second experienced health sciences librarian will review the search strategy assessing its appropriateness and comprehensiveness using the Peer Review of Electronic Search Strategies Checklist. 44 45 We will conduct electronic searches of the following databases from database inception to the present: MEDLINE (Ovid), Embase (Ovid), PsycINFO (Ovid), CINAHL (Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature, EBSCO host), the Index to Chiropractic Literature (Chiropractic Library Collaboration), the Cochrane Controlled Register of Trials (Ovid) and EconLit (EBSCO host). We will augment our search of the bibliographic electronic databases to identify additional relevant studies, and mitigate the potential impact of publication bias and selective outcome reporting bias. 46 We will search reference lists of included studies from the database searches and relevant systematic reviews; and we will consult with content experts. We will ask experts to suggest up to three targeted websites that may contain relevant studies and other potentially relevant studies not captured by our search strategy. Lastly, we will search the WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (http://apps.who.int/trialsearch/). For studies only reported in the registry, we will contact first authors by email (with two reminders over 1 month) to obtain full study reports, or additional study or outcome data. We will include studies in any language and will use professional

medical translation services where required. If 12 or more months elapse between the search date and submission for publication, we will update the search.

Search strategy

The searches will include a combination of subject headings specific to databases (eg, MeSH in MEDLINE) and free text words to capture the key concepts of rehabilitative management of back pain in children (see online supplemental additional file 2).

Patient and public involvement

Patients were not involved in the design of our study. However, we will seek patient and public consultation during the development of clinical practice guidelines, which will be the next phase of this project.

Data management

We will download the electronic search results into EndNote V.X9 reference manager software (Clarivate Analytics, Pennsylvania, USA). We will remove duplicates and upload the remaining references to the Evidence for Policy and Practice Information and Coordinating (EPPI) Centre Reviewer software for the data extraction stages (EPPI-Reviewer V.4, UCL Institute of Education, University of London, UK). EPPI-Reviewer software stores references, manages and monitors the data extraction process and provides an audit trail for the review.

Screening for eligibility

Using the inclusion and exclusion criteria, pairs of reviewers will independently screen titles and abstracts, and subsequently the full text of each selected article in order to confirm inclusion into the study (as described in

Table 2 Research questions, outcomes and study types					
Research question	Outcomes	Study types			
What is the effectiveness and safety of rehabilitation interventions for improving functioning and other health outcomes in children with back pain?	Primary 1. Functioning: for example, Modified Oswestry Low Back Pain Disability Questionnaire, Roland Morris Disability Questionnaire, return to school, participation in sports/other recreational activities	Randomised controlled trials			
	Secondary	Cohort studies			
	2. Pain (including pain intensity, frequency, duration): for example, VAS, NRS, Faces Pain Scale—Revised	Case-control studies			
	3. Psychological outcomes (including anxiety and depression): for example, Revised Child Anxiety and Depression Scale, State-Trait Anxiety Inventory for Children	Mixed-methods studies (quantitative component)			
	4. Health-related quality of life: for example, KIDSCREEN-52, Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory, PROMIS Pediatric Self Report Scale				
	5. Adverse events: any unfavourable sign, symptom, or disease temporarily associated with treatment, indirect harms (eg, delayed diagnosis/treatment), number of adverse events, severity of adverse events (ie, mild, moderate, severe), number of participant withdrawals from study due to adverse events.				
What are the patients', caregivers' and providers' experiences, preferences, expectations and valued outcomes regarding rehabilitation interventions for back pain?	6. Qualitative outcomes: experiences, preferences, expectations, valued outcomes	Qualitative studies (eg, phenomenology, grounded theory, ethnography, action research, descriptive qualitative studies)			
		Mixed-methods studies (qualitative component)			
What is the cost-effectiveness of rehabilitation interventions for improving functioning and other health outcomes in children with back pain?	7. Economic outcomes	Full economic evaluations (trial-based and model- based): cost-effectiveness, cost-utility, cost-benefit, cost- consequences			
	Direct costs: resources consumed or saved by an intervention				
	Indirect costs: productivity gains or losses (eg, time consumed or freed by the intervention)				
	Economic health outcomes: QALY, ICER, NMB				
	Intangible: for example, pain or suffering saved or brought on by an intervention				

ICER, incremental cost-effectiveness ratio; NMB, measure of net monetary benefit; NRS, Numerical Rating Scale; PROMIS, patient-reported outcomes measurement information system; QALY, quality adjusted life years; VAS, Visual Analogue Scale.

detail previously). ¹⁸ Titles and abstracts will be classified as possibly relevant or irrelevant. Subsequently, full-text articles of abstracts classified as possibly relevant will be retrieved, reviewed and classified as relevant or irrelevant.

We will conduct training exercises prior to initiating the screening process to ensure reliability between reviewers. Reviewers will first screen a random sample of 50 records based on titles and abstracts. Paired reviewers must reach 90% agreement before completing title and abstract screening for the remaining studies. If this threshold is not reached for all review teams, all

team members will discuss differences in classification to clarify and potentially modify the eligibility criteria prior to completing title and abstract screening. Next, reviewers will screen a random sample of 25 full-text articles. All paired reviewers must again reach 90% agreement before completing full-text article screening for the remaining studies. If not, all team members will discuss to clarify eligibility criteria and resolve disagreements prior to completing full-text article screening. On completing full-text article screening, paired reviewers will discuss disagreements and reach consensus related

to the inclusion of any article, involving a third reviewer if necessary.

Risk of bias in individual studies

We will critically appraise studies according to study design using appropriate checklists (see online supplemental additional file 3) (as described in detail previously). 18 We will assess the quality of studies using the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN) criteria for randomised controlled trials (RCTs), cohort and case-control studies⁴⁹; the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Critical Appraisal Checklist for qualitative studies⁵⁰; the Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT) for mixed-methods studies⁵¹ and the Drummond checklist for economic evaluations.⁵² The SIGN checklists allow reviewers to assess internal validity by considering the impact of selection bias, information bias and confounding on study results. The IBI checklist allows reviewers to assess the possibility of bias in qualitative studies' design, conduct and analvsis. The MMAT allows reviewers to assess the interdependent qualitative and quantitative components of the study and criteria to consider, such as justification for mixing evidence, and appropriate ways of integrating the data. The Drummond checklist allows reviewers to identify elements that demonstrate a sound economic evaluation such as the assessment of both costs and effects of interventions, accurate measurements of costs and effects and allowances made for uncertainty in the estimates of costs and effects. We will contact the authors of papers to request missing or additional data for clarification where required. Paired reviewers will independently assess the eligible studies for quality. Any disagreements that arise between the reviewers will be resolved through discussion, or with a third reviewer. Since some of the reviewers have published within this area, the review coordinator will ensure that reviewers will not be assigned their own studies for risk of bias assessment. Additionally, reviewers will recluse themselves from any discussion and decisionmaking that involves their paper. We will clearly describe this in our final systematic review report.

Using these established checklists and notes to guide our assessment, we will categorise the validity or credibility of each study as either high, low, or unclear risk of bias. We will not use a quantitative cut-off score to determine study quality and will not pre-define weights for the checklist items. Rather, we will make an overall quality judgement by considering the impact of selection bias, information bias and confounding on study results throughout the conduct of each study.⁵³ We will report detailed results of the critical appraisal in a narrative form and in a 'risk of bias' table. All studies, regardless of their methodological quality, will be extracted and synthesised (where possible). The overall methodological quality of relevant studies will be considered in the individual synthesis of quantitative, qualitative and economic data and the integration of these findings. The results of the risk of bias assessment will be used in a sensitivity analysis

to ensure that studies judged to be at 'high risk of bias' do not affect the robustness of our results.

Data items and data extraction process

Paired reviewers will independently extract the data from all eligible studies. For the quantitative studies, we will extract data on the study and participant characteristics; intervention and comparator intervention characteristics using the Template for Intervention Description and Replication (TIDieR) checklist⁵⁴; all pre-determined outcomes including multiple measures if used; key findings; and methodological quality. The TIDieR checklist⁵⁴ consists of items to help readers better understand the interventions and how they were delivered (ie, name of intervention, why, what (materials), what (procedure), who provided, how, where, when and how much, tailoring, modifications, how well (planned), how well (actual)).⁵⁴ We will use the PerSPecTIF question formulation framework to guide data extraction for the qualitative studies regarding the items: perspective, setting, phenomenon of interest, environment, timing and findings (eg, themes). 55 We will also extract data describing the qualitative approach used and methodological quality of studies. For both quantitative and qualitative studies, we will extract data on the ICF categories 'environmental factors' (contextual factors that make up the physical, social and attitudinal environment in which people live and conduct their lives) and 'personal factors' (internal contextual factors that influence how disability is experienced by the individual) to add context to the interventions and outcomes.²⁷ For the economic evaluations, we will use the Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards statement⁵⁶ and extract data on the analytic approach (trial-based or model-based), evaluation type, the analytic perspective, time horizon adopted for costs, main cost items, setting, key findings and methodological quality of studies.

Paired reviewers will pretest the data extraction form and revise as needed. We will use EPPI-Reviewer software to manage the data extraction process. Any disagreements that arise between the reviewers will be resolved through discussion, or with a third reviewer. We will contact authors of papers to request missing or additional data, if required.

DATA SYNTHESIS

We will use a sequential approach at the review level to synthesise and integrate the data (as described in detail previously). 18 57 This will involve separate quantitative, economic and qualitative findings synthesis followed by integration of the resultant quantitative, economic and qualitative evidence.

Quantitative synthesis

We will stratify studies to conduct separate comparisons according to study design, population, intervention, comparison, outcome and methodological quality and



Table 3 Categories to guide the analysis (meta-analysis or
qualitative synthesis)

Study design Primary synthesis:

> Design: RCTs vs non-RCTs (ie, cohort, casecontrol)

Subgroup analysis:

Specific RCT: for example, superiority, non-

inferiority or equivalence

Population Primary synthesis:

> Pain duration: acute/subacute pain (ie, <12 weeks' duration) vs persistent pain (≥12 weeks' duration)

Age range: infants (aged<1 year), children (aged 1-9 years), or adolescents (aged 10-19 years)

Type of back pain: thoracic spine pain with/ without radiculopathy, low back pain with/without radiculopathy, musculoskeletal chest wall pain, spondylolisthesis/spondylolysis

Subgroup analysis:

Pain severity: mild, moderate or severe

Intervention Primary synthesis:

> Intervention type: education/self-management strategies, manual therapy, passive physical modalities, acupuncture, pharmacological intervention, psychological intervention, multimodal care, environmental modifications, assistive devices and complementary medicine

Subgroup analysis:

Specific intervention type: for example, type of exercise (eg, stretching vs aerobic) and type of manual therapy (eg, mobilisation, manipulation,

traction, soft tissue therapy)

Comparison Primary synthesis:

> Comparator type: active (other intervention) vs inactive (eg, placebo/sham intervention, wait list, standard or usual care, or no intervention)

Outcome Primary synthesis:

> Outcome type: functioning (eg, ODI, RMDQ), pain (eg, VAS, NRS), psychological (eg, Revised Child Anxiety and Depression Scale), health-related quality of life (eg, KIDSCREEN-52), or adverse events (eg, number, severity)

Time of outcome assessment: short-term (<3 months) or long-term (≥3 months)

Type of effect estimate:* for example, mean difference, relative risk, OR, or HR

quality

Methodological Primary synthesis:

Methodological quality assessment: low or unclear

Sensitivity analysis: low, unclear and high risk of

NRS, Numerical Rating Scale; RCTs, randomised controlled trials; RMDQ, Roland Morris Disability Questionnaire; VAS, Visual Analogue Scale.

further conduct subgroup analyses within categories (table 3). Specifically, we will stratify results to conduct separate comparisons between RCTs versus non-RCTs

targeting, for example, children with acute low back pain without radiculopathy, treated with a specific intervention (eg, manual therapy) compared with an active comparison, and assessed by the mean difference in functioning score (eg. Oswestry Disability Index score) at 3 months.

We will assess clinical, methodological and statistical heterogeneity among studies (as described in detail previously). 18 Differences in populations, interventions, comparators or outcomes across studies may result in clinical heterogeneity. Methodological and statistical heterogeneity may result from differences in risk of bias and differences in outcomes across studies beyond what could be expected by chance alone. We will assess the methodological heterogeneity across studies using our assessments from the SIGN checklist as either high, low, or unclear risk of bias. We will assess statistical heterogeneity using the l^2 statistic, whereby l^2 of <25%-50% will be considered low to moderate (homogeneous), and ≥50% considered high (heterogeneous).⁵⁸ If two or more studies are clinically homogeneous (ie, similar populations, interventions, comparators and outcomes) and statistically homogeneous (ie, $l^2 < 25\% - 50\%$), we will perform a random effects meta-analysis using EPPI-Reviewer software using the relative risk (or OR for rare events) effect measure for dichotomous data, mean differences for continuous data, hazard rate ratios for time-to-event data and rates or rate ratios for count data. For studies that used multiple measures to assess the same outcome and at multiple time points, we will select the most prevalent measure and time point used across the studies to maximise the comparability of the findings. We will attempt to summarise the results in a similar way if possible. We will contact study investigators to obtain the data if it is not reported. If the data are unavailable, we will summarise the data in three ways: by entering the means as continuous outcomes, the counts as dichotomous outcomes and by entering all of the data in text form as 'other data' outcomes.⁵⁹ We may also use statistical approaches to re-express ORs as standardised mean differences (and vice versa), allowing us to combine dichotomous and continuous data.⁵⁹ For our primary analysis, we will analyse the studies with low and unclear risk of bias. We will then explore the impact of methodological heterogeneity through sensitivity analysis by analysing all studies together, including those with a high risk of bias, and comparing our primary analysis with our sensitivity analysis. If the results of the primary and sensitivity analyses differ, we will give precedence to the primary analysis because high risk of bias studies are known to be at risk of overestimating effect sizes.⁶⁰

If the studies are heterogeneous (ie, if there is clinical, methodological and statistical heterogeneity), we will narratively summarise the characteristics and findings of all eligible studies according to the Synthesis Without Meta-analysis reporting guideline. 61 To quantify the effectiveness of interventions, we will use the data provided in the studies to compute effect measures and 95% CIs (ie, OR or relative risk for dichotomous outcomes, mean differences for continuous outcomes, hazard rate ratios

^{*}If data are unavailable to re-express effect estimates into a common effect estimate (if applicable).

for time-to-event outcomes and rates or rate ratios for count outcomes). 62

We will assess the potential impact of reporting biases on the results of our review or meta-analysis by attempting to identify study protocols through the trials registry (WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform http://apps.who.int/trialsearch/), and through the use of funnel plots. After studies are stratified (table 3), outcomes that are reported in at least 10 studies will be assessed for publication bias by visually inspecting funnel plots for asymmetry. 63 64

We will interpret the quality of the evidence for each outcome according to the Grading Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation system (GRADE). 65 The quality of evidence ratings are very low (ie, the true effect is probably markedly different from the estimated effect), low (ie, the true effect might be markedly different from the estimated effect), moderate (ie, the true effect is probably close to the estimated effect) and high (highly confident that the true effect is similar to the estimated effect). Assessment of the quality of the evidence is determined by considering the risk of bias, inconsistency, indirectness, imprecision and publication bias. We will use established minimal clinically important differences to determine the clinical importance of effect sizes when possible. Similar to any meta-analysis we may conduct, we will give precedence to the primary analysis consisting of studies with low and unclear risk of bias.

Economic synthesis

We will report the main findings of economic studies, first stratified by high, low or unclear risk of bias. We will further stratify findings by study design (ie, cost–effectiveness, cost–utility, cost–benefit or cost–consequences). We will then stratify findings by type of intervention, outcome and cost measure.

To indicate whether an intervention might be judged favourably (or unfavourably) from an economic perspective, 66 we will use the Dominance Ranking Matrix to classify the interventions into one of three options.⁶⁷ Strong dominance for the intervention will be selected when the incremental cost-effectiveness measure shows the intervention as: (1) more effective and less costly than the comparator; or (2) effective and less costly; or (3) equal cost and more effective. In this case, from an efficiency perspective, decision makers should favour the intervention over the comparator (in circumstances similar to those of the evaluations). Weak dominance for the intervention will be selected when the measure shows the interventions as: (1) equally costly and effective as the comparator; or (2) more effective and more costly; or (3) less effective and less costly. In this case, no conclusion may be drawn about whether the intervention is preferable from an efficiency perspective without further information on the priorities or preferences of decision makers in a particular context. Decision makers must determine whether the cost/benefit trade-offs are worth the implemention of an intervention in their particular

context. Lastly, *non-dominance* for the intervention will be selected when the measure shows the intervention as: (1) more costly and less effective; or (2) equally as costly and less effective; or (3) more costly and as effective. In this case, we will interpret the evidence as suggesting the comparator is favourable from an efficiency perspective (in circumstances similar to those of the evaluations).

Qualitative synthesis

We will stratify the qualitative findings similar to the quantitative and economic findings. We will first stratify the findings by risk of bias (ie, high/low/unclear), then by study approach or design (eg, qualitative descriptive, ethnography, grounded theory) and by intervention type and outcome.

Additionally, we will stratify findings according to individual perspective (ie, patient (children), caregivers (parents/guardians), healthcare providers, community service providers or others involved with the rehabilitation of back pain in children). We will use thematic synthesis to synthesise the qualitative research findings.^{68 69} First, we will enter all the text labelled as 'results' or 'findings' of the primary studies verbatim into EPPI-Reviewer. Then, pairs of trained reviewers will independently code each line of text according to its meaning and content, and group codes hierarchically into descriptive themes, including the a priori themes (intervention type and outcomes). Reviewers will also generate themes a posteriori to answer our review question (ie, experiences, preferences, expectations and valued outcomes regarding rehabilitation interventions for back pain in children). Reviewers will finalise the themes through discussion. We will give precedence to studies with low or unclear risk of bias.⁷⁰

Integration of quantitative, qualitative and economic evidence

Various methods can be used to integrate diverse study types including: (1) juxtaposing findings in a matrix, (2) using logic models/conceptual framework, (3) analysing programme theory, (4) testing hypothesis derived using subgroup analysis and (5) qualitative comparative analysis.⁵⁷ We will integrate the evidence by juxtaposing findings in a matrix to generate hypotheses regarding the effectiveness, cost-effectiveness and safety of rehabilitation interventions for back pain in children. We selected this methodology because it is suitable for comparing and contrasting the findings across the individual quantitative, qualitative and economic evidence syntheses in our review.⁵⁷ The use of a matrix will allow us to explore heterogeneity in the findings of the quantitative studies and may indicate why some interventions may be effective, cost-effective and safe and some may not. 57 For example, we may list themes from the qualitative synthesis along one side of the matrix, and then plot the interventions evaluated in the quantitative synthesis against the themes as either a match (when the intervention matched a theme) or a mismatch (when the intervention was the opposite of a theme) (as described in detail previously). 18 We will also plot the economic evaluation findings against the corresponding intervention and theme. We will identify gaps in knowledge if a particular theme for an intervention does not match with any of the interventions evaluated in the quantitative studies.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

Ethics approval is not required for this mixed studies review. Knowledge translation activities will include presentations to clinicians and researchers at national and international conferences; meetings with national and international decision makers (clinicians, health managers/administrators, policy makers and patients); publications in peer-reviewed journals; clinician and patient/caregiver resources; posts and lay language summaries on organisations' websites (open access) and other social media platforms.

DISCUSSION

Findings from this mixed studies review will advance our knowledge of the effectiveness, safety, user experience and cost-effectiveness of a wide range of rehabilitation interventions for children with back pain. This work will provide the evidentiary basis to develop clinical practice guidelines and care pathways outlining the evidencebased management of back pain in children, which can be adapted for specific settings (eg, hospitals, rehabilitation clinics and schools) and geographical regions. Specifically, decision makers should consider interventions that are identified as effective, safe, efficient and positively experienced by patients and caregivers. Mapping findings to the ICF framework will allow decision makers to use standardised language in the assessment and management of children during their care programme. This may further facilitate improvements in functioning and health outcomes in this patient population.

A potential limitation of our review is that our search strategy may miss potentially relevant studies, however, we have mitigated this by expanding our search strategy to include content experts and searching relevant websites. A potential risk is that there may be too little evidence available to answer our review questions.

Findings from this review will guide future research by identifying methodological limitations and knowledge gaps in the available literature. Future studies can be designed to address these limitations and gaps. This novel interpretation of quantitative, qualitative and economic evidence according to the ICF framework serves as a model for how outcomes related to functioning and health can be prioritised in future research.

Author affiliations

¹Faculty of Health Sciences, Ontario Tech University, Oshawa, Ontario, Canada ²Centre for Disability Prevention and Rehabilitation, Ontario Tech University and Canadian Memorial Chiropractic College, Toronto, Ontario, Canada ³Epidemiology Division, Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

- ⁴Division of Graduate Studies, Canadian Memorial Chiropractic College, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
- ⁵EPPI-Centre, UCL Institute of Education, University College London, England, United Kingdom
- ⁶McMaster Midwifery Research Centre, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada
- ⁷Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
- ⁸Department of Sport Science and Clinical Biomechanics, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark
- ⁹Nordic Institute of Chiropractic and Clinical Biomechanics, Odense, Denmark ¹⁰Division of General Medical Rehabilitation, Geneva University and University Hospitals, Geneva, Switzerland
- ¹¹Division of Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology, Multidisciplinary Pain Centre, Geneva University Hospitals, Geneva, Switzerland
- ¹²Department of Chiropractic, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Macquarie University, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia
- ¹³Rehabilitation Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

Acknowledgements This research is funded by the Canadian Chiropractic Research Foundation (grant number not applicable). The funder had no involvement in developing the protocol. The authors thank Marco Campello, Morgan Cark and Katie Pohlman for critically reviewing this manuscript. This research was undertaken, in part, thanks to funding from the Canada Research Chairs program to Pierre Côté who holds the Canada Research Chair in Disability Prevention and Rehabilitation at Ontario Tech University, and from the Canadian Chiropractic Research Foundation to Carol Cancelliere who holds a Research Chair in Knowledge Translation in the Faculty of Health Sciences at Ontario Tech University.

Contributors All authors (CC, JW, HY, SM, GB, HS, DR, LH, EP, CC, MS, GC, LV, AT-V and PC) assisted in designing and planning the study, developing the research questions and systematic review methodology. CC and JW drafted the manuscript. All authors reviewed and revised the manuscript, and approved the final manuscript.

Funding This work was supported by the Canadian Chiropractic Research Foundation. The funding organisation was not involved in the design or conduct of this systematic review protocol.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Carol Cancelliere http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1883-4970 Silvano Mior http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6575-2797

REFERENCES

- 1 Joergensen AC, Hestbaek L, Andersen PK, et al. Epidemiology of spinal pain in children: a study within the Danish national birth cohort. Eur J Pediatr 2019;178:695–706.
- 2 Aartun E, Hartvigsen J, Wedderkopp N, et al. Spinal pain in adolescents: prevalence, incidence, and course: a school-based two-year prospective cohort study in 1,300 Danes aged 11-13. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2014;15:187.
- 3 Beynon AM, Hebert JJ, Lebouef-Yde C, et al. Potential risk factors and triggers for back pain in children and young adults. A scoping review, part I: incident and episodic back pain. Chiropr Man Therap 2019;27:58.
- 4 Kamper SJ, Yamato TP, Williams CM. The prevalence, risk factors, prognosis and treatment for back pain in children and adolescents:

- an overview of systematic reviews. *Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol* 2016:30:1021–36.
- 5 Calvo-Muñoz I, Gómez-Conesa A, Sánchez-Meca J. Prevalence of low back pain in children and adolescents: a meta-analysis. BMC Pediatr 2013;13:14.
- 6 King S, Chambers CT, Huguet A, et al. The epidemiology of chronic pain in children and adolescents revisited: a systematic review. Pain 2011;152:2729–38.
- 7 Jeffries LJ, Milanese SF, Grimmer-Somers KA. Epidemiology of adolescent spinal pain: a systematic overview of the research literature. Spine 2007;32:2630–7.
- 8 GBD 2017 Disease and Injury Incidence and Prevalence Collaborators. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2017. *Lancet* 2018;392:1789–858.
- 9 Batley S, Aartun E, Boyle E, et al. The association between psychological and social factors and spinal pain in adolescents. Eur J Pediatr 2019;178:275–86.
- 10 Kamper SJ, Henschke N, Hestbaek L, et al. Musculoskeletal pain in children and adolescents. Braz J Phys Ther 2016;20:275–84.
- 11 Stallknecht SE, Strandberg-Larsen K, Hestbæk L, et al. Spinal pain and co-occurrence with stress and general well-being among young adolescents: a study within the Danish national birth cohort. Eur J Pediatr 2017;176:807–14.
- 12 Dissing KB, Hestbæk L, Hartvigsen J, et al. Spinal pain in Danish school children - how often and how long? The CHAMPS Study-DK. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2017;18:67.
- 13 Driehuis F, Hoogeboom TJ, Nijhuis-van der Sanden MWG, et al. Spinal manual therapy in infants, children and adolescents: a systematic review and meta-analysis on treatment indication, technique and outcomes. PLoS One 2019;14:e0218940.
- 14 Parnell Prevost C, Gleberzon B, Carleo B, et al. Manual therapy for the pediatric population: a systematic review. BMC Complement Altern Med 2019;19:60.
- Michaleff ZA, Kamper SJ, Maher CG, et al. Low back pain in children and adolescents: a systematic review and meta-analysis evaluating the effectiveness of conservative interventions. Eur Spine J 2014:23:2046–58.
- 16 Harden A, Thomas J. Methodological issues in combining diverse study types in systematic reviews. *Int J Soc Res Methodol* 2005;8:257–71.
- 17 Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Syst Rev 2015;4:1.
- 18 Cancelliere C, Wong JJ, Yu H, et al. Postsurgical rehabilitation for adults with low back pain with or without radiculopathy who were treated surgically: protocol for a mixed studies systematic review. BMJ Open 2020;10:e036817.
- 19 National Institute for Health Research. International prospective register of systematic reviews (PROSPERO). Available: https://www. crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/ [Accessed 10 Jan 2019].
- 20 Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. J Clin Epidemiol 2009;62:1006–12.
- 21 Tong A, Flemming K, McInnes E, et al. Enhancing transparency in reporting the synthesis of qualitative research: ENTREQ. BMC Med Res Methodol 2012;12:181.
- 22 World Health Organization. Definition of key terms. age groups and population. Available: https://www.who.int/hiv/pub/guidelines/ arv2013/intro/keyterms/en/ [Accessed 15 Jan 2020].
- 23 Amundsen PA, Evans DW, Rajendran D, et al. Inclusion and exclusion criteria used in non-specific low back pain trials: a review of randomised controlled trials published between 2006 and 2012. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2018;19:113.
- 24 North American Spine Society. NASS clinical guidelines: lumbar disc herniation with radiculopathy. Available: https://www.spine.org/ Documents/ResearchClinicalCare/Guidelines/LumbarDiscHerniation. pdf [Accessed 12 Dec 2018].
- 25 Merskey H, Bogduk N, et al, International Association for the Study of Pain. Classification of chronic pain: descriptions of chronic pain syndromes and definitions of pain terms. 2nd edn. Seattle: IASP Press. 1994.
- 26 The World Health Organization. World report on disability: chapter 4 rehabilitation. Available: https://www.spine.org/Documents/ ResearchClinicalCare/Guidelines/LumbarDiscHerniation.pdf
- 27 World Health Organization. The International classification of functioning, disability and health (ICF). Available: https://www.who. int/classifications/icf/icfbeginnersguide.pdf [Accessed 1 Dec 2018].
- 28 Fairbank JC, Couper J, Davies JB, et al. The Oswestry low back pain disability questionnaire. *Physiotherapy* 1980;66:271–3.

- 29 Roland M, Morris R. A study of the natural history of back pain. Part I: development of a reliable and sensitive measure of disability in low-back pain. Spine 1983;8:141–4.
- 30 McCormack HM, Horne DJ, Sheather S. Clinical applications of visual analogue scales: a critical review. *Psychol Med* 1988;18:1007–19.
- 31 Jensen MP, Turner JA, Romano JM, et al. Comparative reliability and validity of chronic pain intensity measures. *Pain* 1999;83:157–62.
- 32 Hicks CL, von Baeyer CL, Spafford PA, et al. The faces pain scalerevised: toward a common metric in pediatric pain measurement. Pain 2001:93:173–83.
- 33 Michaleff ZA, Kamper SJ, Stinson JN, et al. Measuring musculoskeletal pain in infants, children, and adolescents. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 2017;47:712–30.
- 34 Chorpita BF, Yim L, Moffitt C, et al. Assessment of symptoms of DSM-IV anxiety and depression in children: a revised child anxiety and depression scale. Behav Res Ther 2000;38:835–55.
- 35 Spielberger CD. Manual for the state-trait anxiety inventory for children. Palo Alto: Consulting Psychologists Press, 1973.
- 36 Cieza A, Geyh S, Chatterji S, et al. Icf linking rules: an update based on lessons learned. J Rehabil Med 2005;37:212–8.
- 37 Ravens-Sieberer U, Gosch A, Rajmil L, et al. The KIDSCREEN-52 quality of life measure for children and adolescents: psychometric results from a cross-cultural survey in 13 European countries. Value Health 2008;11:645–58.
- 38 Varni JW, Seid M, Kurtin PS. PedsQL 4.0: reliability and validity of the pediatric quality of life inventory version 4.0 generic core scales in healthy and patient populations. *Med Care* 2001;39:800–12.
- 39 Health measures. Available: http://www.healthmeasures.net/explore-measurement-systems/promis/intro-to-promis/list-of-pediatric-measures [Accessed 14 Jan 2020].
- 40 Pohlman KA, O'Beirne M, Thiel H, et al. Development and validation of providers' and patients' measurement instruments to evaluate adverse events after spinal manipulation therapy. Eur J Integr Med 2014;6:451–66.
- 41 Ioannidis JPA, Evans SJW, Gøtzsche PC, et al. Better reporting of harms in randomized trials: an extension of the CONSORT statement. Ann Intern Med 2004;141:781–8.
- 42 Zorzela L, Boon H, Mior S, et al. Serious adverse events associated with pediatric complementary and alternative medicine. Eur J Integr Med 2014;6:467–72.
- 43 World Health Organization. Community-based rehabilitation. Available: https://www.who.int/disabilities/cbr/en/ [Accessed 1 Dec 2018].
- 44 Sampson M, McGowan J, Cogo E, et al. An evidence-based practice guideline for the peer review of electronic search strategies. J Clin Epidemiol 2009:62:944–52.
- 45 McGowan J, Sampson M, Salzwedel DM, et al. PRESS peer review of electronic search strategies: 2015 guideline statement. J Clin Epidemiol 2016;75:40–6.
- 46 Godin K, Stapleton J, Kirkpatrick SI, et al. Applying systematic review search methods to the grey literature: a case study examining guidelines for school-based breakfast programs in Canada. Syst Rev 2015:4:138.
- 47 Centre EfPaPlaC. EPPI-Reviewer 4 software. Available: eppi.ioe.ac. uk [Accessed 20 Nov 2019].
- 48 Belur J, Tompson L, Thornton A, et al. Interrater reliability in systematic review methodology: exploring variation in coder decision-making. Sociol Methods Res 2018:1–29.
- 49 Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN). Critical appraisal notes and checklists, 2019. Available: https://www.sign.ac.uk/ checklists-and-notes.html [Accessed 1 Feb 2019].
- 50 Lockwood C, Munn Z, Porritt K. Qualitative research synthesis: methodological guidance for systematic reviewers utilizing metaaggregation. Int J Evid Based Healthc 2015;13:179–87.
- 51 Pluye P, Gagnon M-P, Griffiths F, et al. A scoring system for appraising mixed methods research, and concomitantly appraising qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods primary studies in mixed studies reviews. *Int J Nurs Stud* 2009;46:529–46.
- Drummond MF, Jefferson TO. Guidelines for authors and peer reviewers of economic submissions to the BMJ. The BMJ economic evaluation working party. *BMJ* 1996;313:275–83.
- 53 Higgins JPT, Savovic J, Page MJ, et al. Chapter 8: Assessing risk of bias in a randomized trial. In: Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, et al, eds. Cochrane Handbook for systematic reviews of interventions version 60. Cochrane, 2019.
- 54 Hoffmann TC, Glasziou PP, Boutron I, et al. Better reporting of interventions: template for intervention description and replication (TIDieR) checklist and guide. BMJ 2014;348:g1687.



- 55 Booth A, Noyes J, Flemming K, et al. Formulating questions to explore complex interventions within qualitative evidence synthesis. BMJ Glob Health 2019;4:e001107.
- 56 Husereau D, Drummond M, Petrou S, et al. Consolidated health economic evaluation reporting standards (CHEERS) statement. BMJ 2013;346:f1049.
- 57 Harden A, Thomas J, Cargo M, et al. Cochrane qualitative and implementation methods group guidance series-paper 5: methods for integrating qualitative and implementation evidence within intervention effectiveness reviews. J Clin Epidemiol 2018;97:70–8.
- 58 Higgins JPT, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a metaanalysis. *Stat Med* 2002;21:1539–58.
- 59 Deeks JJ, Higgins JPT, Altman DG, et al. Chapter 10: Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses. In: Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, et al, eds. Cochrane Handbook for systematic reviews of interventions version 60. Cochrane, 2019.
- 60 Higgins JPT, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, et al. The Cochrane collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ 2011;343:d5928.
- 61 Campbell M, McKenzie JE, Sowden A, et al. Synthesis without metaanalysis (SWiM) in systematic reviews: reporting guideline. BMJ 2020;368:l6890.
- 62 McKenzie JE, Brennan SE. Chapter 12: Synthesizing and presenting findings using other methods. In: Higgins JPT TJ, Chandler J, Cumpston M, et al, eds. Cochrane Handbook for systematic reviews of interventions version 60. Cochrane, 2019.
- 63 Page MJ, Higgins JPT, Sterne JAC. Chapter 13. Assessing risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis. In: Higgins JPT, Thomas

- J, Chandler J, et al, eds. Handbook for systematic reviews of interventions version 60. Cochrane, 2019.
- 64 Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, et al. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* 1997;315:629–34.
- 65 Schünemann HJ, Higgins JP, Vist GE, et al. Chapter 14: Completing 'Summary of findings' tables and grading the certainty of the evidence. In: Higgins JPT TJ, Chandler J, Cumpston M, et al, eds. Cochrane Handbook for systematic reviews of interventions version 60. Cochrane, 2019.
- 66 Shemilt I, Aluko P, Graybill E, et al. Economic evidence. In: Higgins JPT TJ, Chandler J, Cumpston M, et al, eds. Cochrane Handbook for systematic reviews of interventions version 60, 2019.
- 67 Joanna Briggs Institute. Chapter 6: Systematic reviews of economic evidence, 2019. Available: https://wiki.joannabriggs.org/display/MANUAL/Chapter+6%3A+Systematic+reviews+of+economic+evidence [Accessed 1 Feb 2020].
- 68 Thomas J, Harden A. Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in systematic reviews. BMC Med Res Methodol 2008;8:45.
- 69 Noyes J, Booth A, Flemming K, et al. Cochrane qualitative and implementation methods group guidance series-paper 3: methods for assessing methodological limitations, data extraction and synthesis, and confidence in synthesized qualitative findings. J Clin Epidemiol 2018;97:49–58.
- 70 Slavin RE. Best evidence synthesis: an intelligent alternative to metaanalysis. J Clin Epidemiol 1995;48:9–18.

PRISMA-P (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols) 2015 checklist: recommended items to address in a systematic review protocol*

Section and topic	Item No	Checklist item	(Page No.#)
ADMINISTRATIV	E INFO	ORMATION	
Title:			
Identification	1a	Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review	1
Update	1b	If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such	N/A
Registration	2	If registered, provide the name of the registry (such as PROSPERO) and registration number	4
Authors:			
Contact	3a	Provide name, institutional affiliation, e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author	1-2
Contributions	3b	Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review	25
Amendments	4	If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments	N/A
Support:			
Sources	5a	Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review	25
Sponsor	5b	Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor	
Role of sponsor or funder	5c	Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol	
INTRODUCTION			
Rationale	6	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known	5-6
Objectives	7	Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO)	6
METHODS			
Eligibility criteria	8	Specify the study characteristics (such as PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (such as years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review	7-11
Information sources	9	Describe all intended information sources (such as electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage	12-13
3Search strategy	10	Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be repeated	Additional File

Study records:			
Data management	11a	Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review	13
Selection process	11b	State the process that will be used for selecting studies (such as two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (that is, screening, eligibility and inclusion in meta-analysis)	14, 18-20
Data collection process	11c	Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (such as piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators	16-7
Data items	12	List and define all variables for which data will be sought (such as PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications	16-7
Outcomes and prioritization	13	List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale	9-11
Risk of bias in individual studies	14	Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis	15-6
Data synthesis	15a	Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesised	17-22
	15b	If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (such as I^2 , Kendall's τ)	
	15c	Describe any proposed additional analyses (such as sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression)	
	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned	
Meta-bias(es)	16	Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (such as publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies)	15
Confidence in cumulative evidence	17	Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (such as GRADE)	20

^{*} It is strongly recommended that this checklist be read in conjunction with the PRISMA-P Explanation and Elaboration (cite when available) for important clarification on the items. Amendments to a review protocol should be tracked and dated. The copyright for PRISMA-P (including checklist) is held by the PRISMA-P Group and is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution Licence 4.0.

From: Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart L, PRISMA-P Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ. 2015 Jan 2;349(jan02 1):g7647.

Additional file 2. Literatures search strategies

Ovid MEDLINE: Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE® Daily and Ovid MEDLINE® 1946-Present

1	exp Infant/
2	Child, Preschool/
3	Child/
4	Adolescent/
5	Pediatrics/
6	(baby or babies).ab,ti.
7	"newborn*".ab,ti.
8	(infant or infants).ab,ti.
9	(child or children*).ab,ti.
10	(adolescent* or adolescence).ab,ti.
11	(teen or teens or teenager).ab,ti.
12	(pediatric* or paediatric*).ab,ti.
13	(young adj3 (person* or people)).ab,ti.
14	emerging adult*.ab,ti.
15	"youth*".ab,ti.
16	or/1-15 [**pediatric population]
17	exp Back Injuries/
18	exp Back Pain/
19	Coccyx/in [Injuries]
20	Intervertebral Disc Degeneration/
21	Intervertebral Disc Displacement/
22	Lumbar Vertebrae/in [Injuries]
23	Lumbosacral Region/in [Injuries]
24	Osteoarthritis, Spine/
25	Piriformis Muscle Syndrome/
26	Radiculopathy/
27	Sciatica/
28	Spinal Diseases/

29	Spinal Stenosis/
30	Thoracic Injuries/
31	Thoracic Vertebrae/
32	(back adj3 (ache* or injur* or pain*)).ab,ti.
33	(backache* adj3 (injur* or pain*)).ab,ti.
34	(back pain or back-pain).ab,ti.
35	(lumbar disc* adj3 (extruded or degenerat* or herniat* or prolapse* or sequestered or slipped)).ab,ti.
36	(lumbar disk* adj3 (extruded or degenerat* or herniat* or prolapse* or sequestered or slipped)).ab,ti.
37	"low* back pain".ab,ti.
38	(lumbar adj3 (pain or facet or nerve root* or osteoarthritis or radicul* or spinal stenosis or spondylo* or zygapophys*)).ab,ti.
39	"Piriformis syndrome*".ab,ti.
40	radiculopathy.ab,ti.
41	(sacral adj2 pain*).ab,ti.
42	((spine or spinal) adj4 (condition* or disable* or disabilit* or disorder* or pain or stenos?s)).ab,ti.
43	spondylosis.ab,ti.
44	(thoracic adj4 (injur* or pain or spine or spinal)).ab,ti.
45	(T-spine or T-spinal).ab,ti.
46	or/17-45 [**back pain]
47	Acupressure/
48	Acupuncture/
49	exp Acupuncture Therapy/
50	"Bedding and Linens"/
51	Behavior Therapy/
52	exp Biofeedback, Psychology/
53	exp Cognitive Behavioral Therapy/
54	Combined Modality Therapy/
55	Community-Based Participatory Research/
56	Community Health Services/
57	Community Participation/
58	Complementary Therapies/
59	Cryotherapy/

60	exp Diathermy/
61	exp Electric Stimulation Therapy/
62	Electroacupuncture/
63	Ergonomics/
64	exp Exercise/
65	exp Exercise Movement Techniques/
66	exp Exercise Therapy/
67	Fluid Therapy/
68	High-Energy Shock Waves/tu [Therapeutic Use]
69	Immobilization/
70	Hot Temperature/tu [Therapeutic Use]
71	exp Hydrotherapy/
72	Laser Therapy, Low-Level/
73	Low-Level Light Therapy/
74	Magnetic Field Therapy/
75	Magnetics/tu [Therapeutic Use]
76	Massage/
77	exp Medicine, Chinese Traditional/
77 78	exp Medicine, Chinese Traditional/ exp Musculoskeletal Manipulations/
78	exp Musculoskeletal Manipulations/
78 79	exp Musculoskeletal Manipulations/ Patient Education as Topic/
78 79 80	exp Musculoskeletal Manipulations/ Patient Education as Topic/ Physical Therapy Modalities/
78 79 80 81	exp Musculoskeletal Manipulations/ Patient Education as Topic/ Physical Therapy Modalities/ Self Care/
78 79 80 81 82	exp Musculoskeletal Manipulations/ Patient Education as Topic/ Physical Therapy Modalities/ Self Care/ Self-Help Devices/
78 79 80 81 82 83	exp Musculoskeletal Manipulations/ Patient Education as Topic/ Physical Therapy Modalities/ Self Care/ Self-Help Devices/ Physical Fitness/
78 79 80 81 82 83 84	exp Musculoskeletal Manipulations/ Patient Education as Topic/ Physical Therapy Modalities/ Self Care/ Self-Help Devices/ Physical Fitness/ Restraint, Physical/
78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85	exp Musculoskeletal Manipulations/ Patient Education as Topic/ Physical Therapy Modalities/ Self Care/ Self-Help Devices/ Physical Fitness/ Restraint, Physical/ Transcutaneous Electric Nerve Stimulation/
78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86	exp Musculoskeletal Manipulations/ Patient Education as Topic/ Physical Therapy Modalities/ Self Care/ Self-Help Devices/ Physical Fitness/ Restraint, Physical/ Transcutaneous Electric Nerve Stimulation/ Vibration/tu [Therapeutic Use]
78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86	exp Musculoskeletal Manipulations/ Patient Education as Topic/ Physical Therapy Modalities/ Self Care/ Self-Help Devices/ Physical Fitness/ Restraint, Physical/ Transcutaneous Electric Nerve Stimulation/ Vibration/tu [Therapeutic Use] Wheelchairs/
78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87	exp Musculoskeletal Manipulations/ Patient Education as Topic/ Physical Therapy Modalities/ Self Care/ Self-Help Devices/ Physical Fitness/ Restraint, Physical/ Transcutaneous Electric Nerve Stimulation/ Vibration/tu [Therapeutic Use] Wheelchairs/ acupressure.ab,ti.

92	"assistive device*".ab,ti.
93	"back belt*".ab,ti.
94	"back school*".ab,ti.
95	(back adj2 work).ab,ti.
96	(braces or brace or bracing).ab,ti.
97	canes.ab,ti.
98	chiropract*.ab,ti.
99	"cognitive behavioral therap*".ab,ti.
100	"cognitive behavioural therap*".ab,ti.
101	(cold adj3 (therap* or pack* or compress or massage or immersion or soak or treatment or therap*)).ab,ti.
102	"core stabili*".ab,ti.
103	(corset or corsets).ab,ti.
104	crutches.ab,ti.
105	cryotherap*.ab,ti.
106	"deep tissue therap*".ab,ti.
107	diathermy.ab,ti.
108	(electric* adj3 (stimulation or EMS or heating pad*)).ab,ti.
109	electro-acupuncture.ab,ti.
110	(electrogalvanic stimulation or EGS).ab,ti.
111	(electromagnet* and (radiation or therap*)).ab,ti.
112	electromodalit*.ab,ti.
113	electrotherapy.ab,ti.
114	(exercise or exercises or exercising).ab,ti.
115	(flexion-distraction or flexion distraction).ab,ti.
116	fluidotherap*.ab,ti.
117	galvanic stimulation.ab,ti.
118	(H-Wave Device Stimulation or HWDS).ab,ti.
119	((heat* or hot) adj3 (therap* or pack* or compress or massage or lamp or pad or bath or soak or tub or bottle or superficial or therapeutic)).ab,ti.
120	(high energy shock wave* or high-energy shock wave* or HESW).ab,ti.
121	"hydrotherap*".ab,ti.
122	(ice adj3 (therap* or pack* or compress or massage or immersion or soak or treatment or therap*)).ab,ti.

123	"interferential current*".ab,ti.
124	infrared.ab,ti.
125	iontophoresis.ab,ti.
126	electroanalgesia.ab,ti.
127	ergonomic*.ab,ti.
128	kinesiotap*.ab,ti.
129	(laser* adj3 (phototherapy or irradiation or biostimulation or light or therap*)).ab,ti.
130	"low level laser*".ab,ti.
131	"lumbar support*".ab,ti.
132	(magnetic adj3 (necklace* or therap* or bracelet*)).ab,ti.
133	(manipulat* adj3 (therap* or treatment* or spinal or osteopath*)).ab,ti.
134	"manual therap*".ab,ti.
135	Microcurrent Electrical Neuromuscular Stimulation.ab,ti.
136	microwave*.ab,ti.
137	((moblisation or mobilization) adj4 (osteopath* or orthopedic* or orthopaedic* or lumbar or spinal)).ab,ti.
138	"moist air bath*".ab,ti.
139	moxibustion.ab,ti.
140	((multimodal* or multi-modal* or multi modal*) adj4 (treatment* or approach or care or therap* or procedure* or package* or manage*)).ab,ti.
141	muscle activation.ab,ti.
142	"muscle energy technique*".ab,ti.
143	myofascial release.ab,ti.
144	(Neuromuscular Electrical Stimulation or NMES).ab,ti.
145	orthotic*.ab,ti.
146	"passive modalit*".ab,ti.
147	(patient* adj3 (educat* or train*)).ab,ti.
148	"Percutaneous Electric* Nerve Stimulation".ab,ti.
149	(physical adj therap*).ab,ti.
150	physiotherap*.ab,ti.
151	photo-acupuncture.ab,ti.
152	pillow*.ab,ti.
153	pilates.ab,ti.

15	54	(postur* adj3 (correct* or educat* or instruct* or train*)).ab,ti.
15	55	(pulsed adj3 (electromagnetic or magnetic or radio frequency or energy)).ab,ti.
15	56	radiant light.ab,ti.
15	57	Russian stimulation.ab,ti.
15	58	"seat adj cushion*".ab,ti.
15	59	(self-manage* or self manage*).ab,ti.
16	60	(short wave* or short-wave*).ab,ti.
16	31	((shockwave* or shock wave* or shock-wave*) adj3 (ultrasonic or therap* or radiation)).ab,ti.
16	62	"soft tissue therap*".ab,ti.
16	3	"spray and stretch".ab,ti.
16	64	strain-counterstrain.ab,ti.
16	65	strengthen*.ab,ti.
16	66	stretching.ab,ti.
16	67	(tape or taping).ab,ti.
16	88	thoracolumbosacral orthosis.ab,ti.
16	69	traction.ab,ti.
17	70	traditional Chinese medicine.ab,ti.
17	71	(transcutaneous electrical stimulation or TENS).ab,ti.
17	72	ultrasound.ab,ti.
17	73	vapocoolant spray.ab,ti.
17	74	"vibration therap*".ab,ti.
17	75	walkers.ab,ti.
17	76	"walking adj3 aid*".ab,ti.
17	77	"warm compress*".ab,ti.
17	78	whirlpool*.ab,ti.
17	79	yoga.ab,ti.
18	30	or/47-179 [**interventions]
18	31	Case-Control Studies/
18	32	Cohort Studies/
18	33	Controlled Clinical Trials as Topic/
18	34	Epidemiologic Studies/
18	35	Epidemiology/

186	Follow-Up Studies/
187	Longitudinal Studies/
188	Prospective Studies/
189	Retrospective Studies/
190	Randomized Controlled Trials as Topic/
191	((case control or case-control) adj3 (stud* or design*)).ab,ti.
192	(cohort adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.
193	controlled clinical trial.pt.
194	"epidemiolog*".ab,ti.
195	((followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.
196	(longitudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.
197	(prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.
198	(random* and (control* or clinical or allocat*)).ab,ti.
199	randomized controlled trial.pt.
200	(retrospective adj3 (stud* or design*)).ab,ti.
201	or/181-200 [**study designs_effectiveness]
202	16 and 46 and 180 and 201
203	Anthropology, Cultural/
204	Attitude/
205	Awareness/
206	Behavioral Research/
207	Diary as Topic/
208	Emotions/
209	Ethnology/
210	Ethnopsychology/
211	Focus Groups/
212	Grounded Theory/
213	Interview, Psychological/
214	Interviews as Topic/
215	Mindfulness/
216	Motivation/
217	Narration/

218	Observation/
219	Perception/
220	Personal Narratives as Topic/
221	Personal Satisfaction/
222	Qualitative Research/
223	Self Report/
224	"Surveys and Questionnaires"/
225	Tape Recording/
226	Thinking/
227	Video Recording/ or Videotape Recording/
228	(attitude* or aware* or belief* or believe* or experience* or mindfulness or motivation or opinion* or perception* or perspective*).ab,ti.
229	((audio adj record*) or audiorecord* or audiotap*).ab,ti.
230	((behavioral or behavioural) adj2 research).ab,ti.
231	biographical method*.ab,ti.
232	(constant adj2 (comparative or comparison)).ab,ti.
233	((content or conversation or discourse) adj2 analys*).ab,ti.
234	descriptive research.ab,ti.
235	(diary or diaries).ab,ti.
236	emotions.ab,ti.
237	ethnograph*.ab,ti.
238	ethnology.ab,ti.
239	ethnopsychology.ab,ti.
240	feelings.ab,ti.
241	(field adj2 (notes or research or study or studies)).ab,ti.
242	(focus adj2 group*).ab,ti.
243	framework analysis.ab,ti.
244	grounded theory.ab,ti.
245	interview*.ab,ti.
246	life world.ab,ti.
247	lived experience.ab,ti.
248	(meaning or meanings).ab,ti.

249	(narrative* or narration*).ab,ti.
250	(observe* or observation*).ab,ti.
251	(open adj ended).ab,ti.
252	phenomenology.ab,ti.
253	purposive sampl*.ab,ti.
254	qualitative.ab,ti.
255	questionnaire*.ab,ti.
256	(realist adj3 (review* or research or synthesis)).ab,ti.
257	satisfaction.ab,ti.
258	self report*.ab,ti.
259	semantic analysis.ab,ti.
260	standpoint*.ab,ti.
261	(story or stories).ab,ti.
262	survey*.ab,ti.
263	(theme* or thematic).ab,ti.
264	(theoretical adj2 (sampl* or saturation)).ab,ti.
265	(thoughts or thinking).ab,ti.
266	((video adj record*) or videorecord* or videotap*).ab,ti.
267	or/203-266 [**experience/qualitative]
268	"Costs and Cost Analysis"/
269	exp Cost-Benefit Analysis/
270	Quality-Adjusted Life Years/
271	Economics, Medical/
272	(economic* adj4 (evaluat* or stud*)).ab,ti.
273	(health economic* adj4 (evaluat* or stud*)).ab,ti.
274	((cost-utility or cost utility) adj4 (stud* or analys*)).ab,ti.
275	((cost-benefit or cost benefit) adj4 (stud* or analys*)).ab,ti.
276	(CEA or CUA or CBA).ab,ti.
277	((cost-effective* or cost effective*) adj4 (analys* or stud*)).ab,ti.
278	(economic* adj4 (impact or value or factor* or analys*)).ab,ti.
279	(cost* adj4 (health care or analys* or savings or hospital or medical or utilit* or effective* or efficac* or benefit* or consequence* or unit*)).ab,ti.
	betteric of consequence of unit //.ab,ti.

280	(decision adj1 (tree* or analy* or model*)).ab,ti.
281	economics.fs.
282	(qol or qoly or qolys or hrqol or qaly or qales or qales).ab,ti.
283	(sensitivity analys* or "willingness to pay" or quality-adjusted life year* or quality adjusted life year* or
	quality-adjusted life expectanc* or quality adjusted life expectanc*).ab,ti.
284	(markov* or monte carlo*).ab,ti.
285	or/268-284 [**cost effectiveness]
286	Delivery of Health Care/
287	Delivery of Health Care, Integrated/
288	Health Planning/
289	Health Promotion/
290	Health Services Administration/
291	Integrative Medicine/
292	Interprofessional Relations/
293	Patient Care Management/
294	(approach* adj3 (collaborative or complementary or comprehensive or innovative or integrated)).ab,ti.
295	barrier*.ab,ti.
296	facilitator*.ab,ti.
297	((health care or health-care) adj3 (clinic or clinics or delivery or implement* or intervention* or model* or plan* or process* or program*or services or strateg* or system* or team*)).ab,ti.
298	implement*.ab,ti.
299	(innovate* adj3 (intervention* or model* or plan* or process* or program*or strateg* or system*)).ab,ti.
300	(model* adj care).ab,ti.
301	((integrated or interdisciplinary or interprofessional or multidisciplinary) adi3 (care or clinic or clinics or
	intervention* or model* or plan* or process* or program*or strateg* or system* or challenge* or benefit* or
	success* or constrain* or difficult* or enhanc* or influen* or interfer* or motivat* or obstruct* or problem* or
	promot* or restrain* or restrict* or disincentive* or factor* or capacity or enabler*)).ab,ti.
302	(pathway* adj3 (clinical or care)).ab,ti.
303	(program* adj3 (assess* or evaluat*)).ab,ti.
304	or/286-303 [**implementation]
305	16 and 46 and 180 and (201 or 267 or 285 or 304)
306	16 and 46 and 180 [**pediatric, back pain, interventions]

Embase Classic+Embase 1947 to 2020

1	newborn/
2	infant/ or infancy/ or baby/
3	childhood/
4	child/
5	adolescent/ or adolescence/
6	juvenile/
7	(baby or babies).ab,ti.
8	"newborn*".ab,ti.
9	(infant or infants).ab,ti.
10	(child or children*).ab,ti.
11	(adolescent* or adolescence).ab,ti.
12	(teen or teens or teenager).ab,ti.
13	(pediatric* or paediatric*).ab,ti.
14	(young adj3 (person* or people)).ab,ti.
15	emerging adult*.ab,ti.
16	"youth*".ab,ti.
17	or/1-16 [**pediatric population]
18	backache/
19	low back pain/
20	intervertebral disc degeneration/
21	intervertebral disk hernia/
22	lumbar vertebra/
23	lumbosacral region/
24	piriformis syndrome/
25	radiculopathy/
26	sciatica/
27	spine disease/
28	vertebral canal stenosis/
29	spondylosis/
30	(back adj3 (ache* or injur* or pain*)).ab,ti.

31	(backache* adj3 (injur* or pain*)).ab,ti.
32	(back pain or back-pain).ab,ti.
33	(lumbar disc* adj3 (extruded or degenerat* or herniat* or prolapse* or sequestered or slipped)).ab,ti.
34	(lumbar disk* adj3 (extruded or degenerat* or herniat* or prolapse* or sequestered or slipped)).ab,ti.
35	"low* back pain".ab,ti.
36	(lumbar adj3 (pain or facet or nerve root* or osteoarthritis or radicul* or spinal stenosis or spondylo* or zygapophys*)).ab,ti.
37	"Piriformis syndrome*".ab,ti.
38	radiculopathy.ab,ti.
39	(sacral adj2 pain*).ab,ti.
40	((spine or spinal) adj4 (condition* or disable* or disabilit* or disorder* or pain or stenos?s)).ab,ti.
41	spondylosis.ab,ti.
42	(thoracic adj4 (injur* or pain or spine or spinal)).ab,ti.
43	(T-spine or T-spinal).ab,ti.
44	or/18-43 [**back injuries]
45	acupressure/
46	acupuncture/
47	behavior therapy/
48	biofeedback/
49	cognitive behavioral therapy/
50	participatory research/
51	community care/
52	community participation/
53	alternative medicine/
54	cryotherapy/
55	diathermy/
56	electrostimulation therapy/
57	electroacupuncture/
58	ergonomics/
59	exp exercise/
60	exp kinesiotherapy/
61	fitness/

62	fluid therapy/
63	shock wave/
64	immobilization/
65	heat/
66	exp hydrotherapy/
67	low level laser therapy/
68	phototherapy/
69	exp magnetism/
70	magnetotherapy/
71	massage/
72	Chinese medicine/
73	manipulative medicine/
74	patient education/
75	physiotherapy/
76	self care/
77	transcutaneous nerve stimulation/
78	whole body vibration/
79	acupressure.ab,ti.
80	"acupunctur*".ab,ti.
81	(advice or advise or advised).ab,ti.
82	alexander technique.ab,ti.
83	"assistive device*".ab,ti.
84	"back belt*".ab,ti.
85	"back school*".ab,ti.
86	(back adj2 work).ab,ti.
87	(braces or brace or bracing).ab,ti.
88	chiropract*.ab,ti.
89	"cognitive behavioral therap*".ab,ti.
90	"cognitive behavioural therap*".ab,ti.
91	(cold adj3 (therap* or pack* or compress or massage or immersion or soak or treatment or therap*)).ab,ti.
92	"core stabili*".ab,ti.
93	(corset or corsets).ab,ti.

124 "manual therap*".ab,ti.

94	crutches.ab,ti.
95	cryotherap*.ab,ti.
96	"deep tissue therap*".ab,ti.
97	diathermy.ab,ti.
98	(electric* adj3 (stimulation or EMS or heating pad*)).ab,ti.
99	electro-acupuncture.ab,ti.
100	(electrogalvanic stimulation or EGS).ab,ti.
101	(electromagnet* and (radiation or therap*)).ab,ti.
102	electromodalit*.ab,ti.
103	electrotherapy.ab,ti.
104	(exercise or exercises or exercising).ab,ti.
105	(flexion-distraction or flexion distraction).ab,ti.
106	fluidotherap*.ab,ti.
107	galvanic stimulation.ab,ti.
108	(H-Wave Device Stimulation or HWDS).ab,ti.
109	((heat* or hot) adj3 (therap* or pack* or compress or massage or lamp or pad or bath or soak or tub or bottle or superficial or therapeutic)).ab,ti.
110	(high energy shock wave* or high-energy shock wave* or HESW).ab,ti.
111	"hydrotherap*".ab,ti.
112	(ice adj3 (therap* or pack* or compress or massage or immersion or soak or treatment or therap*)).ab,ti.
113	"interferential current*".ab,ti.
114	infrared.ab,ti.
115	iontophoresis.ab,ti.
116	electroanalgesia.ab,ti.
117	ergonomic*.ab,ti.
118	kinesiotap*.ab,ti.
119	(laser* adj3 (phototherapy or irradiation or biostimulation or light or therap*)).ab,ti.
120	"low level laser*".ab,ti.
121	"lumbar support*".ab,ti.
122	(magnetic adj3 (necklace* or therap* or bracelet*)).ab,ti.
123	(manipulat* adj3 (therap* or treatment* or spinal or osteopath*)).ab,ti.

405	Mr. Tell and the control of the cont
125	Microcurrent Electrical Neuromuscular Stimulation.ab,ti.
126	microwave*.ab,ti.
127	((moblisation or mobilization) adj4 (osteopath* or orthopedic* or orthopaedic* or lumbar or spinal)).ab,ti.
128	"moist air bath*".ab,ti.
129	moxibustion.ab,ti.
130	((multimodal* or multi-modal* or multi modal*) adj4 (treatment* or approach or care or therap* or procedure* or package* or manage*)).ab,ti.
131	muscle activation.ab,ti.
132	"muscle energy technique*".ab,ti.
133	myofascial release.ab,ti.
134	(Neuromuscular Electrical Stimulation or NMES).ab,ti.
135	orthotic*.ab,ti.
136	"passive modalit*".ab,ti.
137	(patient* adj3 (educat* or train*)).ab,ti.
138	"Percutaneous Electric* Nerve Stimulation".ab,ti.
139	(physical adj therap*).ab,ti.
140	physiotherap*.ab,ti.
141	photo-acupuncture.ab,ti.
142	pillow*.ab,ti.
143	pilates.ab,ti.
144	(postur* adj3 (correct* or educat* or instruct* or train*)).ab,ti.
145	(pulsed adj3 (electromagnetic or magnetic or radio frequency or energy)).ab,ti.
146	radiant light.ab,ti.
147	Russian stimulation.ab,ti.
148	"seat adj cushion*".ab,ti.
149	(self-manage* or self manage*).ab,ti.
150	(short wave* or short-wave*).ab,ti.
151	((shockwave* or shock wave* or shock-wave*) adj3 (ultrasonic or therap* or radiation)).ab,ti.
152	"soft tissue therap*".ab,ti.
153	"spray and stretch".ab,ti.
154	strain-counterstrain.ab,ti.
155	strengthen*.ab,ti.

156	stretching.ab,ti.
157	(tape or taping).ab,ti.
158	thoracolumbosacral orthosis.ab,ti.
159	traction.ab,ti.
160	traditional Chinese medicine.ab,ti.
161	(transcutaneous electrical stimulation or TENS).ab,ti.
162	ultrasound.ab,ti.
163	vapocoolant spray.ab,ti.
164	"vibration therap*".ab,ti.
165	walkers.ab,ti.
166	"walking adj3 aid*".ab,ti.
167	"warm compress*".ab,ti.
168	whirlpool*.ab,ti.
169	yoga.ab,ti.
170	or/45-169 [**interventions]
171	case control study/
172	cohort analysis/
173	"controlled clinical trial (topic)"/
174	longitudinal study/
175	"randomized controlled trial (topic)"/
176	((case control or case-control) adj3 (stud* or design*)).ab,ti.
177	(cohort adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.
178	"epidemiolog*".ab,ti.
179	((followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.
180	(longitudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.
181	(prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.
182	(random* and (control* or clinical or allocat* or trial*)).ab,ti.
183	(retrospective adj3 (stud* or design*)).ab,ti.
184	or/171-183 [**effectiveness]
185	attitude to health/
186	patient attitude/
187	awareness/

188	behavioral research/
189	writing/
190	emotion/
191	ethnology/
192	cultural psychology/
193	information processing/
194	grounded theory/
195	interview/
196	mindfulness/
197	motivation/
198	exp verbal communication/
199	observation/ or participant observation/
200	perception/
201	satisfaction/ or patient satisfaction/
202	qualitative research/
203	self report/
204	health survey/
205	questionnaire/
206	exp recording/
207	exp thinking/
208	(attitude* or aware* or belief* or believe* or experience* or mindfulness or motivation or opinion* or perception*
	or perspective*).ab,ti.
209	((audio adj record*) or audiorecord* or audiotap*).ab,ti.
210	((behavioral or behavioural) adj2 research).ab,ti.
211	biographical method*.ab,ti.
212	(constant adj2 (comparative or comparison)).ab,ti.
213	((content or conversation or discourse) adj2 analys*).ab,ti.
214	descriptive research.ab,ti.
215	(diary or diaries).ab,ti.
216	emotions.ab,ti.
217	ethnograph*.ab,ti.
218	ethnology.ab,ti.

219	ethnopsychology.ab,ti.
220	feelings.ab,ti.
221	(field adj2 (notes or research or study or studies)).ab,ti.
222	(focus adj2 group*).ab,ti.
223	framework analysis.ab,ti.
224	grounded theory.ab,ti.
225	interview*.ab,ti.
226	life world.ab,ti.
227	lived experience.ab,ti.
228	(meaning or meanings).ab,ti.
229	(narrative* or narration*).ab,ti.
230	(observe* or observation*).ab,ti.
231	(open adj ended).ab,ti.
232	phenomenology.ab,ti.
233	purposive sampl*.ab,ti.
234	qualitative.ab,ti.
235	questionnaire*.ab,ti.
236	(realist adj3 (review* or research or synthesis)).ab,ti.
237	satisfaction.ab,ti.
238	self report*.ab,ti.
239	semantic analysis.ab,ti.
240	standpoint*.ab,ti.
241	(story or stories).ab,ti.
242	survey*.ab,ti.
243	(theme* or thematic).ab,ti.
244	(theoretical adj2 (sampl* or saturation)).ab,ti.
245	(thoughts or thinking).ab,ti.
246	((video adj record*) or videorecord* or videotap*).ab,ti.
247	or/185-246 [**qualitative_experience]
248	"cost effectiveness analysis"/
249	"cost benefit analysis"/
250	quality adjusted life year/

251	health economics/
252	(economic* adj4 (evaluat* or stud*)).ab,ti.
253	(health economic* adj4 (evaluat* or stud*)).ab,ti.
254	((cost-utility or cost utility) adj4 (stud* or analys*)).ab,ti.
255	((cost-benefit or cost benefit) adj4 (stud* or analys*)).ab,ti.
256	(CEA or CUA or CBA).ab,ti.
257	((cost-effective* or cost effective*) adj4 (analys* or stud*)).ab,ti.
258	(economic* adj4 (impact or value or factor* or analys*)).ab,ti.
259	(cost* adj4 (health care or analys* or savings or hospital or medical or utilit* or effective* or efficac* or benefit* or consequence* or unit*)).ab,ti.
260	(decision adj1 (tree* or analy* or model*)).ab,ti.
261	economics.fs.
262	(qol or qoly or qolys or hrqol or qaly or qales or qales).ab,ti.
263	(sensitivity analys* or "willingness to pay" or quality-adjusted life year* or quality adjusted life year* or quality-adjusted life expectanc* or quality adjusted life expectanc*).ab,ti.
264	(markov* or monte carlo*).ab,ti.
265	or/248-264 [**cost effectiveness]
266	health care delivery/
267	integrated health care system/
268	health care planning/
269	health promotion/
270	health service/
271	integrative medicine/
272	case management/
273	(approach* adj3 (collaborative or complementary or comprehensive or innovative or integrated)).ab,ti.
274	barrier*.ab,ti.
275	facilitator*.ab,ti.
276	((health care or health-care) adj3 (clinic or clinics or delivery or implement* or intervention* or
077	model* or plan* or process* or program*or services or strateg* or system* or team*)).ab,ti.
277	implement*.ab,ti.
278	(innovate* adj3 (intervention* or model* or plan* or process* or program*or strateg* or system*)).ab,ti.
279	(model* adj care).ab,ti.

- ((integrated or interdisciplinary or interprofessional or multidisciplinary) adj3 (care or clinic or clinics or intervention* or model* or plan* or process* or program*or strateg* or system* or challenge* or benefit* or success* or constrain* or difficult* or enhanc* or influen* or interfer* or motivat* or obstruct* or problem* or promot* or restrain* or restrict* or disincentive* or factor* or capacity or enabler*)).ab,ti.
- 281 (pathway* adj3 (clinical or care)).ab,ti.
- 282 (program* adj3 (assess* or evaluat*)).ab,ti.
- 283 or/266-282 [**implementation]
- 284 17 and 44 and 170
- 285 284 and (184 or 247 or 265 or 283)
- 286 limit 285 to (conference abstract or conference paper or "conference review" or editorial or letter)
- 287 285 not 286

PsycINFO 1806

1	(baby or babies).ab,ti.
2	"newborn*".ab,ti.
3	(infant or infants).ab,ti.
4	(child or children*).ab,ti.
5	(adolescent* or adolescence).ab,ti.
6	(teen or teens or teenager).ab,ti.
7	(pediatric* or paediatric*).ab,ti.
8	(young adj3 (person* or people)).ab,ti.
9	emerging adult*.ab,ti.
10	"youth*".ab,ti.
11	or/1-10 [**pediatric population]
12	exp Back Pain/
13	Lumbar Spinal Cord/
14	Spinal Cord Injuries/
15	Spinal Column/
16	(back adj3 (ache* or injur* or pain*)).ab,ti.
17	(backache* adj3 (injur* or pain*)).ab,ti.
18	(back pain or back-pain).ab,ti.
19	(lumbar disc* adj3 (extruded or degenerat* or herniat* or prolapse* or sequestered or slipped)).ab,ti.
20	(lumbar disk* adj3 (extruded or degenerat* or herniat* or prolapse* or sequestered or slipped)).ab,ti.
21	"low* back pain".ab,ti.
22	(lumbar adj3 (pain or facet or nerve root* or osteoarthritis or radicul* or spinal stenosis or spondylo* or zygapophys*)).ab,ti.
23	"Piriformis syndrome*".ab,ti.
24	radiculopathy.ab,ti.
25	(sacral adj2 pain*).ab,ti.
26	((spine or spinal) adj4 (condition* or disable* or disabilit* or disorder* or pain or stenos?s)).ab,ti.
27	spondylosis.ab,ti.
28	(thoracic adj4 (injur* or pain or spine or spinal)).ab,ti.
29	(T-spine or T-spinal).ab,ti.
30	or/12-29 [**back injuries]

31	Acupuncture/
32	exp Behavior Therapy/
33	exp Biofeedback/
34	exp Cognitive Behavior Therapy/
35	Alternative Medicine/
36	Electrical Stimulation/
37	Human Factors Engineering/
38	exp Exercise/
39	Movement Therapy/
40	Shock Therapy/
41	Heat/
42	exp Hydrotherapy/
43	Laser Irradiation/
44	exp Magnetism/
45	Massage/
46	Client Education/
47	Self-Care Skills/
48	Physical Therapy/
49	Self-Help Techniques/
50	Physical Fitness/
51	Vibration/
52	acupressure.ab,ti.
53	"acupunctur*".ab,ti.
54	(advice or advise or advised).ab,ti.
55	alexander technique.ab,ti.
56	"assistive device*".ab,ti.
57	"back belt*".ab,ti.
58	"back school*".ab,ti.
59	(back adj2 work).ab,ti.
60	(braces or brace or bracing).ab,ti.
61	canes.ab,ti.
62	chiropract*.ab,ti.

63	"cognitive behavioral therap*".ab,ti.
64	"cognitive behavioural therap*".ab,ti.
65	(cold adj3 (therap* or pack* or compress or massage or immersion or soak or treatment or therap*)).ab,ti.
66	"core stabili*".ab,ti.
67	(corset or corsets).ab,ti.
68	crutches.ab,ti.
69	cryotherap*.ab,ti.
70	"deep tissue therap*".ab,ti.
71	diathermy.ab,ti.
72	(electric* adj3 (stimulation or EMS or heating pad*)).ab,ti.
73	electro-acupuncture.ab,ti.
74	(electrogalvanic stimulation or EGS).ab,ti.
75	(electromagnet* and (radiation or therap*)).ab,ti.
76	electromodalit*.ab,ti.
77	electrotherapy.ab,ti.
78	(exercise or exercises or exercising).ab,ti.
79	(flexion-distraction or flexion distraction).ab,ti.
80	fluidotherap*.ab,ti.
81	galvanic stimulation.ab,ti.
82	(H-Wave Device Stimulation or HWDS).ab,ti.
83	((heat* or hot) adj3 (therap* or pack* or compress or massage or lamp or pad or bath or soak or tub or bottle or
	superficial or therapeutic)).ab,ti.
84	(high energy shock wave* or high-energy shock wave* or HESW).ab,ti.
85	"hydrotherap*".ab,ti.
86	(ice adj3 (therap* or pack* or compress or massage or immersion or soak or treatment or therap*)).ab,ti.
87	"interferential current*".ab,ti.
88	infrared.ab,ti.
89	iontophoresis.ab,ti.
90	electroanalgesia.ab,ti.
91	ergonomic*.ab,ti.
92	kinesiotap*.ab,ti.
93	(laser* adj3 (phototherapy or irradiation or biostimulation or light or therap*)).ab,ti.

94 "low level laser*".ab,ti.	
95	"lumbar support*".ab,ti.
96	(magnetic adj3 (necklace* or therap* or bracelet*)).ab,ti.
97	(manipulat* adj3 (therap* or treatment* or spinal or osteopath*)).ab,ti.
98	"manual therap*".ab,ti.
99	Microcurrent Electrical Neuromuscular Stimulation.ab,ti.
100	microwave*.ab,ti.
101	((moblisation or mobilization) adj4 (osteopath* or orthopedic* or orthopaedic* or lumbar or spinal)).ab,ti.
102	"moist air bath*".ab,ti.
103	moxibustion.ab,ti.
104	((multimodal* or multi-modal* or multi modal*) adj4 (treatment* or approach or care or therap* or procedure* or package* or manage*)).ab,ti.
105	muscle activation.ab,ti.
106	"muscle energy technique*".ab,ti.
107	myofascial release.ab,ti.
108	(Neuromuscular Electrical Stimulation or NMES).ab,ti.
109	orthotic*.ab,ti.
110	"passive modalit*".ab,ti.
111	(patient* adj3 (educat* or train*)).ab,ti.
112	"Percutaneous Electric* Nerve Stimulation".ab,ti.
113	(physical adj therap*).ab,ti.
114	physiotherap*.ab,ti.
115	photo-acupuncture.ab,ti.
116	pillow*.ab,ti.
117	pilates.ab,ti.
118	(postur* adj3 (correct* or educat* or instruct* or train*)).ab,ti.
119	(pulsed adj3 (electromagnetic or magnetic or radio frequency or energy)).ab,ti.
120	radiant light.ab,ti.
121	Russian stimulation.ab,ti.
122	"seat adj cushion*".ab,ti.
123	(self-manage* or self manage*).ab,ti.
124	(short wave* or short-wave*).ab,ti.

125 ((shockwave' or shock wave' or shock-wave') adj3 (ultrasonic or therap' or radiation)).ab,ti. 126 "sort tessue therap".ab,ti. 127 "spray and shetch'.ab.ti. 128 strain-counterstrain.ab,ti. 129 strengthen'.ab,ti. 130 stretching.ab,ti. 131 (tape or taping).ab,ti. 131 thoracolumbosacral orthosis.ab,ti. 132 thoracolumbosacral orthosis.ab,ti. 133 traction.ab,ti. 134 traditional Chinese medicine.ab,ti. 135 (transcutaneous electrical stimulation or TENS).ab,ti. 136 ultrasound.ab,ti. 137 vapocoolant spray.ab,ti. 138 "vibration therapt".ab,ti. 139 walkers.ab,ti. 140 "walking.adj3 add".ab,ti. 141 "warm compress" ab,ti. 142 whiripool".ab,ti. 143 yoga.ab,ti. 144 or/31-143 ("interventional" 145 Cohort Analysis/ 146 Clinical Trials/ 147 ((case control or case-control) adj3 (stud* or design*)).ab,ti. 150 (cohort adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. 151 ((followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. 155 (prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. 156 ((random* and (control* or clinical or allocat*)).ab,ti.		
strain-counterstrain ab.ii. strain-counterstrain ab.ii. straction_ab_ii. traction_ab_ii. ultrascound_ab_ii. vapocoolant spray.ab_ii. vapocoolant spray.ab_ii. vapocoolant spray.ab_ii. valking adj3 aid**.ab_ii. valking adj3 aid**.ab_ii. varm compress**.ab_ii. varm compress**.ab_ii. varm compress**.ab_ii. controllad_ab_ii. cohort_Analyais/ Colinical Trials/ Longitudinal Studies/ exp Randomized Controlled Trials/ ((case control or case-control) adj3 (stud* or design*).ab_ii. (cohort_adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis).ab_ii. (followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis).ab_ii. (folgitudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab_ii. (forospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab_ii. (forospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab_ii.	125	((shockwave* or shock wave* or shock-wave*) adj3 (ultrasonic or therap* or radiation)).ab,ti.
strain-counterstrain.ab.ti. 129 strengthen".ab.ji. 130 stretching.ab.ti. 131 (tape or taping).ab.ji. 132 thoracolumbosacral orthosis.ab.ti. 133 traction.ab.ti. 134 traditional Chinese medicine.ab.ti. 135 (transcutaneous electrical stimulation or TENS).ab.ti. 136 ultrasound.ab.ti. 137 vapocoolant spray.ab.ti. 138 "vibration therap"".ab.ti. 139 walkers.ab.ti. 140 "walking.adj3.aid".ab.ti. 141 "warm compress"".ab.ti. 142 whiripool".ab.ti. 143 yoga.ab.ti. 144 or;31-143 ["interventions] 145 Cohort Analysis/ 146 Clinical Trials/ 147 Longitudinal Studies/ 148 exp Randomized Controlled Trials/ 149 ((case control or case-control) adj3 (stud" or design")).ab.ti. 150 (cohort adj3 (stud" or design" or analysis)).ab.ti. 151 controlled clinical trial.pt. 152 "epidemiolog".ab.ti. 153 ((followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud" or design" or analysis)).ab.ti. 154 ((longitudinal' adj3 (stud" or design" or analysis)).ab.ti. 155 (prospective adj3 (stud" or design" or analysis)).ab.ti.	126	"soft tissue therap*".ab,ti.
strengthen* ab,il. (tape or taping).ab,il. (tape or taping).ab,il. traction.ab,il. traction.ab,il. traditional Chinese medicine.ab,il. (transcutaneous electrical stimulation or TENS).ab,il. uttrasound.ab,il. vapocoolant spray.ab,il. wibration therap**.ab,il. walkers.ab,il. "walking adj3 aid**.ab,il. "warm compress**.ab,il. whirpool*.ab,il. yoga.ab,il. tohort Anjsis/ Cohort Anjsis/ Longitudinal Studies/ exp Randomized Controlled Trials/ ((case control or case-control) adj3 (stud* or design*)).ab,il. ((followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,il. ((followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,il. ((prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,il. ((prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,il.	127	"spray and stretch".ab,ti.
stretching.ab.ti. (tape or taping).ab.ti. thoracolumbosacral orthosis.ab.ti. traction.ab.ti. traditional Chinese medicine.ab.ti. (transcutaneous electrical stimulation or TENS).ab.ti. traditional Chinese medicine.ab.ti. (transcutaneous electrical stimulation or TENS).ab.ti. ultrasound.ab.ti. vapocoolant spray.ab.ti. "vibration therap" ab.ti. walkers.ab.ti. "walking adj3 aid" ab.ti. "warm compress" ab.ti. whirlpool".ab.ti. yoga.abl. tori31-143 ["interventions] Cohort Analysis/ Colinical Trials/ Longitudinal Studies/ exp Randomized Controlled Trials/ ((case control or case-control) adj3 (stud* or design*)).ab.ti. (cohort adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab.ti. ((followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab.ti. ((followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab.ti. ((prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab.ti.	128	strain-counterstrain.ab,ti.
thoracolumbosacral orthosis.ab,ti. traction.ab,ti. traditional Chinese medicine.ab,ti. traditional Chinese medicine.ab,ti. (transcutaneous electrical stimulation or TENS).ab,ti. ultrasound.ab,ti. vapoccolant spray.ab,ti. vapoccolant spray.ab,ti. walkers.ab,ti. walkers.ab,ti. warm compress**.ab,ti. warm compress**.ab,ti. vayog.ab,ti. controlled Clinical Triels/ Longitudinal Studies/ exp Randomized Controlled Trials/ ((case control or case-control) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. controlled clinical trial.pt. *epidemiolog**.ab,ti. ((followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. ((prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. ((prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.	129	strengthen*.ab,ti.
thoracolumbosacral orthosis.ab,ti. tractitional Chinese medicine.ab,ti. (transcutaneous electrical stimulation or TENS).ab,ti. ultrasound.ab,ti. vapocoolant spray.ab,ti. vapocoolant spray.ab,ti. walkers.ab,ti. "walking adj3 aid**.ab,ti. "warm compress**.ab,ti. whirlpool*.ab,ti. vago.ab,ti. Cohort Analysis/ Clinical Trials/ Longitudinal Studies/ exp Randomized Controlled Trials/ ((case control or case-control) adj3 (stud* or design*)).ab,ti. controlled clinical trial.pt. "epidemiolog**.ab,ti. ((followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. ((prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.	130	stretching.ab,ti.
traction.ab,ti. traditional Chinese medicine.ab,ti. (transcutaneous electrical stimulation or TENS).ab,ti. ultrasound.ab,ti. vapocoolant spray.ab,ti. "vibration therap"".ab,ti. walkers.ab,ti. "walking adj3 aid*".ab,ti. "warm compress*".ab,ti. whiripool*.ab,ti. yoga.ab,ti. cohort Analysis/ Clinical Trials/ Longitudinal Studies/ exp Randomized Controlled Trials/ ((case control or case-control) adj3 (stud* or design*).ab,ti. ((followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. ((fongitudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. ((prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. ((prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.	131	(tape or taping).ab,ti.
traditional Chinese medicine.ab,ti. (transcutaneous electrical stimulation or TENS).ab,ti. ultrasound.ab,ti. vapocoolant spray.ab,ti. vapocoolant spray.ab,ti. walkers.ab,ti. walkers.ab,ti. "walking adj3 aid**.ab,ti. whiripool*.ab,ti. vga.ab,ti. or/31-143 [**interventions] Cohort Analysis/ Chinical Trials/ Longitudinal Studies/ exp Randomized Controlled Trials/ ((case control or case-control) adj3 (stud* or design*)).ab,ti. ((followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. ((prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. ((prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.	132	thoracolumbosacral orthosis.ab,ti.
ultraspund.ab,ti. ultraspund.ab,ti. vapocoolant spray.ab,ti. walkers.ab,ti. walkers.ab,ti. walking adj3 aid***ab,ti. whirlpool**ab,ti. controlled clinical trial.pt. controlled clinical trial.pt. ((cospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. ((prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. ((prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. ((prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.	133	traction.ab,ti.
ultrasound.ab,ti. vapocoolant spray.ab,ti. vapocoolant spray.ab,ti. walkers.ab,ti. walkers.ab,ti. walking adj3 aid**.ab,ti. whirlpool*.ab,ti. whirlpool*.ab,ti. cohort Analysis/ Clinical Trials/ Longitudinal Studies/ exp Randomized Controlled Trials/ ((case control or case-control) adj3 (stud* or design*)).ab,ti. ((cohort adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. ((congitudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. ((longitudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. ((prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.	134	traditional Chinese medicine.ab,ti.
vapocoolant spray.ab,ti. "vibration therap*".ab,ti. walkers.ab,ti. walking adj3 aid*".ab,ti. whirlpool*.ab,ti. whirlpool*.ab,ti. confort Analysis/ color Analysis/ color adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. (longitudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.	135	(transcutaneous electrical stimulation or TENS).ab,ti.
"vibration therap"".ab,ti. walkers.ab,ti. walking adj3 aid*".ab,ti. warm compress*".ab,ti. whirlpool*.ab,ti. yoga.ab,ti. cohort Analysis/ Clinical Trials/ Longitudinal Studies/ exp Randomized Controlled Trials/ ((case control or case-control) adj3 (stud* or design*)).ab,ti. ((cohort adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. ((longitudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. ((longitudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. ((prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.	136	ultrasound.ab,ti.
walkers.ab,ti. "walking adj3 aid".ab,ti. warm compress".ab,ti. whirlpool".ab,ti. yoga.ab,ti. Cohort Analysis/ Clinical Trials/ Longitudinal Studies/ exp Randomized Controlled Trials/ ((case control or case-control) adj3 (stud* or design*)).ab,ti. ((cohort adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. ((followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. ((longitudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. ((longitudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.	137	vapocoolant spray.ab,ti.
"walking adj3 aid*".ab,ti. "warm compress*".ab,ti. whirlpool*.ab,ti. yoga.ab,ti. Cohort Analysis/ Clinical Trials/ Longitudinal Studies/ exp Randomized Controlled Trials/ ((case control or case-control) adj3 (stud* or design*)).ab,ti. ((cohort adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. "epidemiolog*".ab,ti. ((followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. ((longitudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. ((prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.	138	"vibration therap*".ab,ti.
"warm compress*".ab,ti. whirlpool*.ab,ti. yoga.ab,ti. 144 or/31-143 [**interventions] 145 Cohort Analysis/ 146 Clinical Trials/ Longitudinal Studies/ exp Randomized Controlled Trials/ 149 ((case control or case-control) adj3 (stud* or design*)).ab,ti. 150 (cohort adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. 151 controlled clinical trial.pt. 152 "epidemiolog*".ab,ti. 153 ((followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. 154 (longitudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. 155 (prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.	139	walkers.ab,ti.
whirlpool*.ab,ti. yoga.ab,ti. 143 yoga.ab,ti. 144 or/31-143 [**interventions] 145 Cohort Analysis/ 146 Clinical Trials/ 147 Longitudinal Studies/ exp Randomized Controlled Trials/ 148 exp Randomized Controlled Trials/ 149 ((case control or case-control) adj3 (stud* or design*)).ab,ti. 150 (cohort adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. 151 controlled clinical trial.pt. 152 *epidemiolog**.ab,ti. 153 ((followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. 154 (longitudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. 155 (prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.	140	"walking adj3 aid*".ab,ti.
yoga.ab,ti. 144 or/31-143 [**interventions] 145 Cohort Analysis/ 146 Clinical Trials/ 147 Longitudinal Studies/ 148 exp Randomized Controlled Trials/ 149 ((case control or case-control) adj3 (stud* or design*)).ab,ti. 150 (cohort adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. 151 controlled clinical trial.pt. 152 "epidemiolog*".ab,ti. 153 ((followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. 154 (longitudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. 155 (prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.	141	"warm compress*".ab,ti.
or/31-143 [**interventions] Cohort Analysis/ Clinical Trials/ Longitudinal Studies/ exp Randomized Controlled Trials/ ((case control or case-control) adj3 (stud* or design*)).ab,ti. (cohort adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. controlled clinical trial.pt. "epidemiolog*".ab,ti. ((followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. ((longitudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. ((prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.	142	whirlpool*.ab,ti.
Cohort Analysis/ Clinical Trials/ Longitudinal Studies/ exp Randomized Controlled Trials/ ((case control or case-control) adj3 (stud* or design*)).ab,ti. (cohort adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. controlled clinical trial.pt. "epidemiolog*".ab,ti. ((followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. (longitudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. (prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.	143	yoga.ab,ti.
Clinical Trials/ Longitudinal Studies/ exp Randomized Controlled Trials/ ((case control or case-control) adj3 (stud* or design*)).ab,ti. (cohort adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. controlled clinical trial.pt. "epidemiolog*".ab,ti. ((followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. (longitudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. (prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.	144	or/31-143 [**interventions]
Longitudinal Studies/ exp Randomized Controlled Trials/ ((case control or case-control) adj3 (stud* or design*)).ab,ti. (cohort adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. controlled clinical trial.pt. "epidemiolog*".ab,ti. ((followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. ((longitudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. (prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.	145	Cohort Analysis/
exp Randomized Controlled Trials/ ((case control or case-control) adj3 (stud* or design*)).ab,ti. (cohort adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. controlled clinical trial.pt. "epidemiolog*".ab,ti. ((followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. ((longitudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. ((prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.	146	Clinical Trials/
((case control or case-control) adj3 (stud* or design*)).ab,ti. (cohort adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. controlled clinical trial.pt. "epidemiolog*".ab,ti. ((followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. ((longitudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. (prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.	147	Longitudinal Studies/
 (cohort adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. controlled clinical trial.pt. "epidemiolog*".ab,ti. ((followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. (longitudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. (prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. 	148	exp Randomized Controlled Trials/
151 controlled clinical trial.pt. 152 "epidemiolog*".ab,ti. 153 ((followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. 154 (longitudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. 155 (prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.	149	((case control or case-control) adj3 (stud* or design*)).ab,ti.
 "epidemiolog*".ab,ti. ((followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. (longitudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. (prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. 	150	(cohort adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.
 ((followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. (longitudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. (prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. 	151	controlled clinical trial.pt.
 (longitudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. (prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. 	152	"epidemiolog*".ab,ti.
155 (prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.	153	((followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.
	154	(longitudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.
156 (random* and (control* or clinical or allocat*)).ab,ti.	155	(prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.
	156	(random* and (control* or clinical or allocat*)).ab,ti.

157	(retrospective adj3 (stud* or design*)).ab,ti.
158	or/145-157 [**effectiveness]
159	exp Attitudes/
160	Awareness/
161	Journal Writing/
162	Emotions/
163	Ethnology/
164	Focus Group/
165	Grounded Theory/
166	Interviews/
167	Mindfulness/ or Mindfulness-Based Interventions/
168	Motivation/
169	Narratives/
170	exp Observation Methods/
171	Perception/
172	Preferences/
173	Satisfaction/
174	Qualitative Methods/
175	Self-Report/
176	Surveys/ or Questionnaires/
177	exp Tape Recorders/
178	Thinking/
179	Digital Video/
180	(attitude* or aware* or belief* or believe* or experience* or mindfulness or motivation or opinion* or perception* or
	perspective*).ab,ti.
181	((audio adj record*) or audiorecord* or audiotap*).ab,ti.
182	((behavioral or behavioural) adj2 research).ab,ti.
183	biographical method*.ab,ti.
184	(constant adj2 (comparative or comparison)).ab,ti.
185	((content or conversation or discourse) adj2 analys*).ab,ti.
186	descriptive research.ab,ti.
187	(diary or diaries).ab,ti.

188	emotions.ab,ti.
189	ethnograph*.ab,ti.
190	ethnology.ab,ti.
191	ethnopsychology.ab,ti.
192	feelings.ab,ti.
193	(field adj2 (notes or research or study or studies)).ab,ti.
194	(focus adj2 group*).ab,ti.
195	framework analysis.ab,ti.
196	grounded theory.ab,ti.
197	interview*.ab,ti.
198	life world.ab,ti.
199	lived experience.ab,ti.
200	(meaning or meanings).ab,ti.
201	(narrative* or narration*).ab,ti.
202	(observe* or observation*).ab,ti.
203	(open adj ended).ab,ti.
204	phenomenology.ab,ti.
205	purposive sampl*.ab,ti.
206	qualitative.ab,ti.
207	questionnaire*.ab,ti.
208	(realist adj3 (review* or research or synthesis)).ab,ti.
209	satisfaction.ab,ti.
210	self report*.ab,ti.
211	semantic analysis.ab,ti.
212	standpoint*.ab,ti.
213	(story or stories).ab,ti.
214	survey*.ab,ti.
215	(theme* or thematic).ab,ti.
216	(theoretical adj2 (sampl* or saturation)).ab,ti.
217	(thoughts or thinking).ab,ti.
218	((video adj record*) or videorecord* or videotap*).ab,ti.
219	or/159-218 [** qualitative_experience]

220 "Costs and Cost Analysis"/	
221	Health Care Costs/
222	Quality of Life Measures/
223	Health Care Economics/
224	(economic* adj4 (evaluat* or stud*)).ab,ti.
225	(health economic* adj4 (evaluat* or stud*)).ab,ti.
226	((cost-utility or cost utility) adj4 (stud* or analys*)).ab,ti.
227	((cost-benefit or cost benefit) adj4 (stud* or analys*)).ab,ti.
228	(CEA or CUA or CBA).ab,ti.
229	((cost-effective* or cost effective*) adj4 (analys* or stud*)).ab,ti.
230	(economic* adj4 (impact or value or factor* or analys*)).ab,ti.
231	(cost* adj4 (health care or analys* or savings or hospital or medical or utilit* or effective* or efficac* or benefit* or consequence* or unit*)).ab,ti.
232	(decision adj1 (tree* or analy* or model*)).ab,ti.
233	[economics.fs.]
234	(qol or qoly or qolys or hrqol or qaly or qalys or qale or qales).ab,ti.
235	(sensitivity analys* or "willingness to pay" or quality-adjusted life year* or quality adjusted life year* or quality-adjusted life expectanc* or quality adjusted life expectanc*).ab,ti.
236	(markov* or monte carlo*).ab,ti.
237	or/220-236 [**cost effectiveness]
238	Health Care Delivery/
239	Health Care Administration/
240	Health Promotion/
241	Integrated Services/
242	Interdisciplinary Treatment Approach/
243	Case Management/
244	(approach* adj3 (collaborative or complementary or comprehensive or innovative or integrated)).ab,ti.
245	barrier*.ab,ti.
246	facilitator*.ab,ti.
247	((health care or healthcare or health-care) adj3 (clinic or clinics or delivery or implement* or intervention* or model* or plan* or process* or program*or services or strateg* or system* or team*)).ab,ti.
248	implement*.ab,ti.

249	(innovate* adj3 (intervention* or model* or plan* or process* or program*or strateg* or system*)).ab,ti.
250	(model* adj care).ab,ti.
251	((integrated or interdisciplinary or interprofessional or multidisciplinary) adj3 (care or clinic or clinics or intervention* or model* or plan* or process* or program*or strateg* or system* or challenge* or benefit* or success* or constrain* or difficult* or enhanc* or influen* or interfer* or motivat* or obstruct* or problem* or promot* or restrain* or restrict* or disincentive* or factor* or capacity or enabler*)).ab,ti.
252	(pathway* adj3 (clinical or care)).ab,ti.
253	(program* adj3 (assess* or evaluat*)).ab,ti.
254	or/238-253 [**implementation]
255	11 and 30 and 144
256	limit 255 to (childhood <birth 12="" to="" years=""> and (100 childhood <birth 12="" age="" to="" yrs=""> or 120 neonatal <birth 1="" age="" mo="" to=""> or 140 infancy <2 to 23 mo> or 160 preschool age <age 2="" 5="" to="" yrs=""> or 180 school age <age 12="" 6="" to="" yrs=""> or 200 adolescence <age 13="" 17="" to="" yrs="">))</age></age></age></birth></birth></birth>
257	255 and (158 or 219 or 237 or 254)
258	limit 257 to (childhood <birth 12="" to="" years=""> and (100 childhood <birth 12="" age="" to="" yrs=""> or 120 neonatal <birth 1="" age="" mo="" to=""> or 140 infancy <2 to 23 mo> or 160 preschool age <age 2="" 5="" to="" yrs=""> or 180 school age <age 12="" 6="" to="" yrs=""> or 200 adolescence <age 13="" 17="" to="" yrs="">))</age></age></age></birth></birth></birth>

Additional file 3: Risk of bias assessment according to study design

Quantitative studies (SIGN checklists)			
Randomized controlled trials	Cohort studies	Case-control studies	
1. The study addresses an appropriate and clearly focused question.	The study addresses an appropriate and clearly focused question.	The study addresses an appropriate and clearly focused question.	
2 .The assignment of subjects to treatment groups is randomised.	2. The two groups being studied are selected from source populations that are comparable in all respects other than the factor under investigation.	2. The cases and controls are taken from comparable populations.	
3. An adequate concealment method is used.	3. The study indicates how many of the people asked to take part did so, in each of the groups being studied.	The same exclusion criteria are used for both cases and controls.	
4. The design keeps subjects and investigators 'blind' about treatment allocation.	4. The likelihood that some eligible subjects might have the outcome at the time of enrolment is assessed and taken into account in the analysis.	4. What percentage of each group (cases and controls) participated in the study?	
5. The treatment and control groups are similar at the start of the trial.	5. What percentage of individuals or clusters recruited into each arm of the study dropped out before the study was completed.	5. Comparison is made between participants and non-participants to establish their similarities or differences.	
6. The only difference between groups is the treatment under investigation.	6. Comparison is made between full participants and those lost to follow up, by exposure status.	6. Cases are clearly defined and differentiated from controls.	
7. All relevant outcomes are measured in a standard, valid and reliable way.	7. The outcomes are clearly defined.	7. It is clearly established that controls are non-cases	
8. What percentage of the individuals or clusters recruited into each treatment arm of the study dropped out before the study was completed?	8. The assessment of outcome is made blind to exposure status. If the study is retrospective this may not be applicable.	8. Measures will have been taken to prevent knowledge of primary exposure influencing case ascertainment	
9. All the subjects are analysed in the groups to which they were randomly allocated (often referred to as intention to treat analysis).	9. Where blinding was not possible, there is some recognition that knowledge of exposure status could have influenced the assessment of outcome.	9. Exposure status is measured in a standard, valid and reliable way	
10. Where the study is carried out at more than one site, results are comparable for all sites.	10. The method of assessment of exposure is reliable.	10. The main potential confounders are identified and taken into account in the design and analysis	
	11. Evidence from other sources is used to demonstrate that the method of outcome assessment is valid and reliable.	11. Confidence intervals are provided	
	12. Exposure level or prognostic factor is assessed more than once.13. The main potential confounders		
	are identified and taken into account in the design and analysis.		

14. Have confidence intervals been provided?

Mixed methods studies (MMAT)

Qualitative:

- 1. Is the qualitative approach appropriate to answer the research question?
- 2. Are the qualitative data collection methods adequate to address the research question?
- 3. Are the findings adequately derived from the data?
- 4. Is the interpretation of results sufficiently substantiated by data?
- 5. Is there coherence between qualitative data sources, collection, analysis and interpretation?

Quantitative randomized controlled trials:

- 1. Is randomization appropriately performed?
- 2. Are the groups comparable at baseline?
- 3. Are there complete outcome data?
- 4. Are outcome assessors blinded to the intervention provided?
- 5. Did the participants adhere to the assigned intervention?

Quantitative non-randomized:

- 1. Are the participants representative of the target population?
- 2. Are measurements appropriate regarding both the outcome and intervention (or exposure)?
- 3. Are there complete outcome data?
- 4. Are the confounders accounted for in the design and analysis?
- 5. During the study period, is the intervention administered (or exposure occurred) as intended?

Quantitative descriptive:

- 1. Is the sampling strategy relevant to address the research question?
- 2. Is the sample representative of the target population?
- 3. Are the measurements appropriate?
- 4. Is the risk of nonresponse bias low?
- 5. Is the statistical analysis appropriate to answer the research question?

Mixed methods:

- 1. Is there adequate rationale for using a mixed methods design to address the research question?
- 2. Are the different components of the study effectively integrated to answer the research question?
- 3. Are the outputs of the integration of qualitative and quantitative components adequately interpreted?
- 4. Are divergences and inconsistencies between quantitative and qualitative results adequately addressed?
- 5 .Do the different components of the study adhere to the quality criteria of each tradition of the methods involved?

Qualitative studies (JBI)

- 1. Is there congruity between the stated philosophical perspective and the research methodology?
- 2 .Is there congruity between the research methodology and the research question or objectives?
- 3 .Is there congruity between the research methodology and the methods used to collect data?
- 4 .Is there congruity between the research methodology and the representation and analysis of data?
- 5. Is there congruity between the research methodology and the interpretation of results?
- 6 .Is there a statement locating the researcher culturally or theoretically?
- 7. Is the influence of the researcher on the research, and vice-versa, addressed?
- 8. Are participants, and their voices, adequately represented?
- 9. Is the research ethical according to current criteria or, for recent studies, and is there evidence of ethical approval by an appropriate body?
- 10. Do the conclusions drawn in the research report flow from the analysis, or interpretation, of the data?

Economic evaluations (Drummond checklist)

- 1. Was a well-defined question posed in an answerable form?
- 2. Was a comprehensive description of the competing alternatives given?
- 3. Was the effectiveness of the programmes or services established?
- 4. Were all the important and relevant costs and consequences for each alternative identified?
- 5. Were cost and effects measured accurately in appropriate physical units (e.g., QALYs)?
- 6 .Were costs and effects valued credibly?
- 7. Were cost and effects adjusted for differential timing?
- 8. Was an incremental analysis of cost and effects of alternatives performed?

9. Were allowances made for uncertainty in the estimates of cost and effects?10. Did the presentation and discussion of study results include all issues of concern to users?

Drummond checklist: (Drummond M et al. Methods for the economic evaluation of health care programmes. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2015). JBI checklist: Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Critical Appraisal Checklist for Qualitative Research (JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis. Appendix 2.1: https://wiki.joannabriggs.org/display/MANUAL/Appendix+2.1%3A+JBI+Critical+Appraisal+Checklist+for+Qualitative+Research). MMAT: Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool, version 18 (Hong QN, Pluye P, Fàbreques S, Bartlett G, Boardman F, Cargo M, Dagenais P, Gagnon M-P, Griffiths F, Nicolau B, O'Cathain A, Rousseau M-C, Vedel I. Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT), version 2018. Registration of Copyright (#1148552, Canadian Intellectual Property Office, Industry Canada). SIGN checklists: Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network checklists https://www.sign.ac.uk/checklists-and-notes